

**2004**

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA  
DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION  
SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757  
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

**JANUARY 2004**

**ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER**  
Governor

**SUNNE WRIGHT MCPEAK, Secretary**  
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

**CHON GUTIERREZ**  
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 2004		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2004 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J. Helander				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Section P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  CAL-DMV-RSS-04-206	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  In this thirteenth annual legislatively mandated report, 2001 and 2002 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and accident rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of twelve years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year postconviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs on the 1-year postconviction records of first offenders.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 146	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	

## DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992 - 2002

	YEAR										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Total DUI arrests <sup>1</sup>	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794	193250	190098	190286	183955	178950	179663
Felony DUI arrests	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364	5612	5379	5269	5524	5711	5915
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430	187638	184719	185017	178431	173239	173748
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) <sup>2</sup>	189205 <sup>r</sup>	170135 <sup>r</sup>	152509 <sup>r</sup>	149513 <sup>r</sup>	149405 <sup>r</sup>	141780 <sup>r</sup>	143238 <sup>r</sup>	148370 <sup>r</sup>	142050 <sup>r</sup>	136950 <sup>r</sup>	127964
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 2002	73%	73%	73%	74%	73%	73%	75%	78% <sup>r</sup>	77% <sup>r</sup>	77%	71%
Estimated final DUI convictions <sup>3</sup>	188116	168964	151550	148725	147153	139626	139245	143414	139147	138766	138329
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	72%	72%	72%	73% <sup>6</sup>	72%	72%	73%	75%	76%	77%	77%
Total reckless driving convictions <sup>4</sup>	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701	25879	22851	24020	22798	23783	24590
Alcohol-involved reckless	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446	16867	15945	15514	14465	15773	15694
Total mandatory susp/rev <sup>5</sup>	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462	238612	236141	240597	231217	236603
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505
Postconviction susp/rev <sup>8</sup>	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951 <sup>7</sup>	63247 <sup>7</sup>	56809	67991	66377	71098
Alcohol-involved fatalities	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254	1100	1072	1170	1233	1308	1416
% of total fatalities	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8	33.1	33.3	34.2
Alcohol-involved injuries	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654	31189	30985	29833	30971	31806	32013
% of total injuries	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3	10.2	10.4	10.4

<sup>1</sup>These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (1.3% in 2002).

<sup>2</sup>These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

<sup>3</sup>These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

<sup>4</sup>These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

<sup>5</sup>Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.

<sup>6</sup>This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

<sup>7</sup>The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

<sup>8</sup>These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements and include workload counts.

<sup>r</sup>Revised from prior reports.

## DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992 - 2002 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462 <sup>2</sup>	238612 <sup>2</sup>	236141	240597	231217	236603
<b>PRECONVICTION</b>											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 <sup>r</sup>	9327 <sup>r</sup>	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129
.08 First-offender suspensions	157545	144321	120582	116636 <sup>r</sup>	122111 <sup>r</sup>	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	62656	57279	47429	43218 <sup>r</sup>	43922 <sup>r</sup>	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	8589	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908
Commercial driver actions	6449	5829	5038	4743 <sup>r</sup>	4939 <sup>r</sup>	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936
Chemical test refusal actions	17963 <sup>r</sup>	15662	13264	11711 <sup>r</sup>	11436 <sup>r</sup>	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270	280	290
.08 Test refusal suspensions	9374 <sup>r</sup>	8256	7022	6307 <sup>r</sup>	6299 <sup>r</sup>	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547
.08 Test refusal revocations	8589 <sup>r</sup>	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505
<hr/>											
<b>POSTCONVICTION<sup>1</sup></b>											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1202	922	879	677	995	769 <sup>2</sup>	1026 <sup>2</sup>	918	741	714	896
First-offender suspensions	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 <sup>2</sup>	9588 <sup>2</sup>	15072	29924	31097	32716
Misdemeanor	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 <sup>2</sup>	7497 <sup>2</sup>	13401	28118	29188	30563
Felony	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 <sup>2</sup>	2091 <sup>2</sup>	1671	1806	1909	2153
Second-offender S/R actions	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 <sup>2</sup>	40238 <sup>2</sup>	31940	29097	26911	29345
Misdemeanor	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 <sup>2</sup>	39065 <sup>2</sup>	31455	28571	26334	28748
Felony	722	564	506	534	540	413 <sup>2</sup>	633 <sup>2</sup>	485	526	577	597
Third-offender revocations	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 <sup>2</sup>	9397 <sup>2</sup>	6573	6163	5727	6171
Misdemeanor	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 <sup>2</sup>	9167 <sup>2</sup>	6452	6015	5585	5996
Felony	320	264	219	210	178	98 <sup>2</sup>	230 <sup>2</sup>	121	148	142	175
Fourth-offender revocations	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 <sup>2</sup>	2998 <sup>2</sup>	2306	2066	1928	1970
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951 <sup>2</sup>	63247 <sup>2</sup>	56809	67991	66377	71098

<sup>1</sup> These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

<sup>2</sup> The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

<sup>r</sup> Revised from prior reports.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2004 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ▶ Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities rose by 8.3% in 2002, continuing a reversal in trend that started in 1999 after well over a decade of continuous decline.
- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 0.3% in 2002, following decreases the prior two years.
- ▶ The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents increased by 0.7% in 2002, following increases of 2.7% in 2001 and 3.8% in 2000 (which was the first such increase in 14 years).
- ▶ 14.1% of all 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.5% in 2000, 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. 43.8% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- ▶ The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .163% in 2001 (same as the last several years), which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.
- ▶ Among 2002 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.4%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (27.5% in 2002). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted fairly closely parallels the ethnic distribution of the arrestees.
- ▶ The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 2002 was 33.4 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- ▶ Among convicted DUI offenders in 2001, 75.4% were first offenders and 24.6% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.
- ▶ 10.9% of 2001 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is an increase from 10.1% in 2000, following a decrease from 17.3% in 1999.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, and Kevin L. Nguyen, Staff Programmer Analyst, and David J. DeYoung, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects.

### Report Authors:

Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigator and  
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager III, Project Manager and Chief, Research & Development Branch.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992-2002 .....	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2004 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	iv
INTRODUCTION .....	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS .....	3
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS .....	9
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS .....	20
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS .....	31
EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS .....	37
Subject Selection and Data Collection .....	37
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures .....	39
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001 .....	39
One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001 .....	40
Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation .....	41
Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation .....	42
Long-Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders .....	42
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS .....	45
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL .....	49
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS .....	59
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975 .....	59
REFERENCES .....	67
GLOSSARY .....	69

## APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>		
A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450 .....	70
B1	2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY .....	72
B2	2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE .....	87
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT .....	96
B4	2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS .....	105
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS .....	134

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)****LIST OF TABLES**

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2000-2002.....	6
2	2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	7
3a	2002 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	8
3b	2002 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	8
4	2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX.....	13
5	MATCHABLE 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX.....	14
6	ADJUSTED 2001 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	14
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES.....	15
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY.....	17
9a	2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS.....	18
9b	2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21.....	19
10	2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL.....	19
11	2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS.....	22
12	2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	23
13	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2001.....	40
14	THE EFFECT OF SANCTIONS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ON SUBSEQUENT ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS.....	41
15	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS.....	42
16	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES OF THE 1994 DUI OFFENDERS.....	43
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1992-2002.....	47
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	48



**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
19	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE .....	52
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	52
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE .....	53
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	53
23	2001 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	54
24a	2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX .....	55
24b	2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED) .....	55
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS .....	56
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED) .....	56
26a	2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS .....	57
26b	2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED) .....	57
27	2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS .....	58

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	DUI management information system .....	2
2	DUI arrests 1992-2002 .....	3
3	Percentage of 2002 DUI arrests and 2002 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 1990 census) by race/ethnicity .....	5
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1992-2002 .....	10

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)****LIST OF FIGURES (continued)**

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity .....	13
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2001).....	21
7	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).....	32
8	Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).....	33
9a	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2001-2002 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction .....	34
9b	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2001) by length of alcohol education program.....	34
10a	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol accidents, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).....	35
10b	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.....	35
10c	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.....	36
10d	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).....	36
10e	Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, and 1994 DUI drivers .....	37
11	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1992-2002.....	46
12	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1992-2002.....	50

## INTRODUCTION

This report is the thirteenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).


The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Figure 1. DUI management information system.



## SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2000-2002. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2000-2002 and the percentage change from 2001-2002 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2002 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2002 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2002 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2002 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1992 to 2002.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1992-2002.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 0.3% in 2002, following decreases of 2.7% in 2001 and 3.8% in 2000.
- ▶ The per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.8% in 2002 (as it was in 2000-01, but down from 0.9% in 1997-99). This represents over a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ▶ Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) were up again in 2002 (3.3% of all DUI arrests, compared to 3.1% in 2001 and 3.0% in 2000), yet continue to constitute a relatively small proportion of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- ▶ 21.5% of all 2002 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 43.1% of all arrests.
- ▶ The 2002 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 7.0 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Ten counties again had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Alameda, Contra Costa, Orange, Santa Clara and Solano (0.6), Los Angeles, Nevada, San Mateo, and Ventura (0.7). Six counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (7.0), Tehama (3.1), Del Norte, Inyo and Trinity (2.2), and Sierra (2.0).
- ▶ As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 2002. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage declines occurred in Santa Barbara (-10.3%) and Contra Costa (-9.0%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Lake (-21.0%) and Colusa (-20.6%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Tehama (41.6%) and Inyo (39.7%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a DUI arrestee in 2002 was 33.4 years. After increasing each year during the early 1990's, the average age of a DUI arrestee has declined slightly each year since 1997. Roughly half (48.3%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.0%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.2% of all arrestees were over age 60.
- ▶ Males comprised 84.3% of all 2002 DUI arrests. The proportion of females among DUI arrestees has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 15.7% in 2002.

- In 2002, Hispanics (44.4%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2002 population parity of 27.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.2% of arrests, 6.5% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2002 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (42.1% of arrests, 53.3% of the population), and "Other" (6.3% of arrests, 12.7% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 2002 DUI arrests and (recently updated) 2002 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.
- Among male 2002 DUI arrestees, 48.5% were Hispanic, 38.2% were White, 7.1% were Black, and 6.3% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 62.8% were White, 22.6% were Hispanic, 8.2% were Black, and 6.4% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- In the following 7 counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2002: Tulare (73.8%), Madera (71.2%), Fresno (68.6%), Merced (68.5%), San Benito (65.2%), Monterey (64.4%) and Imperial (62.4%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.0 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.2 years.




Figure 3. Percentage of 2002 DUI arrests and 2002 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 1990 census) by race/ethnicity. [Note: The updated population proportions for 2000 and 2001 should have been 54.3% and 53.8% (respectively) for Whites, 26.9% and 27.2% for Hispanics, 6.6% (both years) for Blacks, and 12.2% and 12.4% for Other.]

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS\* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2000-2002

COUNTY	2000	2001	2002	% CHANGE 2001-2002
STATEWIDE	181336	176490	177056	0.3
ALAMEDA	5786	5916	5542	-6.3
ALPINE	29	60	73	21.7
AMADOR	230	233	230	-1.3
BUTTE	1406	1395	1276	-8.5
CALAVERAS	237	235	299	27.2
COLUSA	316	267	212	-20.6
CONTRA COSTA	4619	4899	4456	-9.0
DEL NORTE	334	291	375	28.9
EL DORADO	1272	1123	1285	14.4
FRESNO	5548	5442	5676	4.3
GLENN	296	320	307	-4.1
HUMBOLDT	1357	1349	1209	-10.4
IMPERIAL	1341	1321	1157	-12.4
INYO	260	229	320	39.7
KERN	4493	4548	4501	-1.0
KINGS	953	983	931	-5.3
LAKE	701	814	643	-21.0
LASSEN	279	259	263	1.5
LOS ANGELES	41547	38329	38089	-0.6
MADERA	756	913	782	-14.3
MARIN	1305	1455	1472	1.2
MARIPOSA	100	97	120	23.7
MENDOCINO	869	786	748	-4.8
MERCED	1659	1648	1728	4.9
MODOC	116	81	98	21.0
MONO	119	137	145	5.8
MONTEREY	3254	3194	3360	5.2
NAPA	1073	1115	1063	-4.7
NEVADA	692	599	570	-4.8
ORANGE	14002	12074	12183	0.9
PLACER	1631	1708	1652	-3.3
PLUMAS	293	229	231	0.9
RIVERSIDE	8105	7858	8700	10.7
SACRAMENTO	6918	7261	7611	4.8
SAN BENITO	409	305	345	13.1
SAN BERNARDINO	10539	10674	10184	-4.6
SAN DIEGO	14443	15193	15924	4.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1436	1754	1693	-3.5
SAN JOAQUIN	3760	4364	4084	-6.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2185	2298	2343	2.0
SAN MATEO	3572	3500	3678	5.1
SANTA BARBARA	3211	2824	2534	-10.3
SANTA CLARA	8185	7119	6822	-4.2
SANTA CRUZ	1871	1744	1859	6.6
SHASTA	1222	1164	1244	6.9
SIERRA	51	42	54	28.6
SISKIYOU	398	380	369	-2.9
SOLANO	1774	1700	1649	-3.0
SONOMA	3254	2775	2911	4.9
STANISLAUS	2557	2230	2284	2.4
SUTTER	669	762	716	-6.0
TEHAMA	527	847	1199	41.6
TRINITY	234	257	225	-12.5
TULARE	3157	3169	3437	8.5
TUOLUMNE	347	464	434	-6.5
VENTURA	3983	4008	3985	-0.6
YOLO	1211	1082	1067	-1.4
YUBA	445	667	709	6.3

\*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI (N = 313) removed.



TABLE 2: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		100 LICENSED
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	DRIVERS
STATEWIDE	177056	100.0	5776	3.3	1557	0.9	169723	95.9	0.8
ALAMEDA	5542	3.1	114	2.1	40	0.7	5388	97.2	0.6
ALPINE	73	0.0	2	2.7	0	0.0	71	97.3	7.0
AMADOR	230	0.1	15	6.5	1	0.4	214	93.0	0.9
BUTTE	1276	0.7	30	2.4	17	1.3	1229	96.3	0.9
CALAVERAS	299	0.2	10	3.3	2	0.7	287	96.0	0.9
COLUSA	212	0.1	7	3.3	1	0.5	204	96.2	1.7
CONTRA COSTA	4456	2.5	122	2.7	51	1.1	4283	96.1	0.6
DEL NORTE	375	0.2	13	3.5	3	0.8	359	95.7	2.2
EL DORADO	1285	0.7	60	4.7	22	1.7	1203	93.6	1.0
FRESNO	5676	3.2	198	3.5	59	1.0	5419	95.5	1.2
GLENN	307	0.2	16	5.2	4	1.3	287	93.5	1.7
HUMBOLDT	1209	0.7	27	2.2	27	2.2	1155	95.5	1.3
IMPERIAL	1157	0.7	29	2.5	5	0.4	1123	97.1	1.3
INYO	320	0.2	6	1.9	5	1.6	309	96.6	2.2
KERN	4501	2.5	108	2.4	50	1.1	4343	96.5	1.1
KINGS	931	0.5	22	2.4	15	1.6	894	96.0	1.5
LAKE	643	0.4	19	3.0	17	2.6	607	94.4	1.4
LASSEN	263	0.2	3	1.1	3	1.1	257	97.7	1.4
LOS ANGELES	38089	21.5	1549	4.1	147	0.4	36393	95.5	0.7
MADERA	782	0.4	45	5.8	6	0.8	731	93.5	1.1
MARIN	1472	0.8	24	1.6	13	0.9	1435	97.5	0.8
MARIPOSA	120	0.1	5	4.2	0	0.0	115	95.8	0.9
MENDOCINO	748	0.4	19	2.5	9	1.2	720	96.3	1.2
MERCED	1728	1.0	71	4.1	24	1.4	1633	94.5	1.4
MODOC	98	0.1	0	0.0	1	1.0	97	99.0	1.5
MONO	145	0.1	1	0.7	2	1.4	142	97.9	1.5
MONTEREY	3360	1.9	85	2.5	45	1.3	3230	96.1	1.4
NAPA	1063	0.6	24	2.3	14	1.3	1025	96.4	1.2
NEVADA	570	0.3	26	4.6	7	1.2	537	94.2	0.7
ORANGE	12183	6.9	260	2.1	63	0.5	11860	97.3	0.6
PLACER	1652	0.9	49	3.0	23	1.4	1580	95.6	0.8
PLUMAS	231	0.1	10	4.3	2	0.9	219	94.8	1.3
RIVERSIDE	8700	4.9	271	3.1	96	1.1	8333	95.8	0.8
SACRAMENTO	7611	4.3	361	4.7	83	1.1	7167	94.2	0.9
SAN BENITO	345	0.2	10	2.9	6	1.7	329	95.4	1.0
SAN BERNARDINO	10184	5.8	369	3.6	44	0.4	9771	95.9	0.9
SAN DIEGO	15924	9.0	426	2.7	171	1.1	15327	96.3	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1693	1.0	122	7.2	4	0.2	1567	92.6	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4084	2.3	107	2.6	49	1.2	3928	96.2	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2343	1.3	37	1.6	43	1.8	2263	96.6	1.3
SAN MATEO	3678	2.1	82	2.2	28	0.8	3568	97.0	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2534	1.4	73	2.9	36	1.4	2425	95.7	0.9
SANTA CLARA	6822	3.9	268	3.9	55	0.8	6499	95.3	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1859	1.1	46	2.5	18	1.0	1795	96.6	1.0
SHASTA	1244	0.7	52	4.2	29	2.3	1163	93.5	1.0
SIERRA	54	0.0	2	3.7	0	0.0	52	96.3	2.0
SISKIYOU	369	0.2	15	4.1	9	2.4	345	93.5	1.0
SOLANO	1649	0.9	38	2.3	21	1.3	1590	96.4	0.6
SONOMA	2911	1.6	67	2.3	37	1.3	2807	96.4	0.9
STANISLAUS	2284	1.3	105	4.6	28	1.2	2151	94.2	0.8
SUTTER	716	0.4	30	4.2	9	1.3	677	94.6	1.3
TEHAMA	1199	0.7	28	2.3	4	0.3	1167	97.3	3.1
TRINITY	225	0.1	7	3.1	2	0.9	216	96.0	2.2
TULARE	3437	1.9	109	3.2	40	1.2	3288	95.7	1.6
TUOLUMNE	434	0.3	10	2.3	4	0.9	420	96.8	1.0
VENTURA	3985	2.3	110	2.8	41	1.0	3834	96.2	0.7
YOLO	1067	0.6	30	2.8	17	1.6	1020	95.6	0.9
YUBA	709	0.4	32	4.5	5	0.7	672	94.8	1.8

TABLE 3a: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY\*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	177056	100.0	149242	84.3	27814	15.7	74506	42.1	78610	44.4	12819	7.2	11121	6.3
UNDER 18	1557	0.9	1292	83.0	265	17.0	817	52.5	598	38.4	53	3.4	89	5.7
18-20	14410	8.1	12297	85.3	2113	14.7	6176	42.9	6796	47.2	563	3.9	875	6.1
21-30	69532	39.3	59749	85.9	9783	14.1	24414	35.1	36337	52.3	4085	5.9	4696	6.8
31-40	45588	25.7	38063	83.5	7525	16.5	17899	39.3	21095	46.3	3824	8.4	2770	6.1
41-50	30533	17.2	24775	81.1	5758	18.9	15843	51.9	9971	32.7	2873	9.4	1846	6.0
51-60	11537	6.5	9711	84.2	1826	15.8	6816	59.1	3031	26.3	1039	9.0	651	5.6
61-70	3016	1.7	2614	86.7	402	13.3	1906	63.2	635	21.1	303	10.0	172	5.7
71 & ABOVE	883	0.5	741	83.9	142	16.1	635	71.9	147	16.6	79	8.9	22	2.5
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.4		33.2		34.1		35.3		31.2		36.0		32.8	

\*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		177056	100.0	74506	42.1	78610	44.4	12819	7.2	11121	6.3
MALE	UNDER 18	1292	0.9	623	48.2	551	42.6	44	3.4	74	5.7
	18-20	12297	8.2	4893	39.8	6217	50.6	469	3.8	718	5.8
	21-30	59749	40.0	18963	31.7	33546	56.1	3371	5.6	3869	6.5
	31-40	38063	25.5	13255	34.8	19433	51.1	3062	8.0	2313	6.1
	41-50	24775	16.6	11802	47.6	9038	36.5	2337	9.4	1598	6.5
	51-60	9711	6.5	5416	55.8	2810	28.9	901	9.3	584	6.0
	61-70	2614	1.8	1583	60.6	600	23.0	278	10.6	153	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	741	0.5	503	67.9	140	18.9	78	10.5	20	2.7
	TOTAL	149242	100.0	57038	38.2	72335	48.5	10540	7.1	9329	6.3
FEMALE	UNDER 18	265	1.0	194	73.2	47	17.7	9	3.4	15	5.7
	18-20	2113	7.6	1283	60.7	579	27.4	94	4.4	157	7.4
	21-30	9783	35.2	5451	55.7	2791	28.5	714	7.3	827	8.5
	31-40	7525	27.1	4644	61.7	1662	22.1	762	10.1	457	6.1
	41-50	5758	20.7	4041	70.2	933	16.2	536	9.3	248	4.3
	51-60	1826	6.6	1400	76.7	221	12.1	138	7.6	67	3.7
	61-70	402	1.4	323	80.3	35	8.7	25	6.2	19	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	142	0.5	132	93.0	7	4.9	1	0.7	2	1.4
	TOTAL	27814	100.0	17468	62.8	6275	22.6	2279	8.2	1792	6.4

## SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "2001 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2001, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 2001 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2001 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2001 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2001 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 2001 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1992-2002" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.


Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2001 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2001 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because

not all 2001 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (78.4%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 54.6% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2001 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1992 to 2002, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1992-2002."

**Figure 4.** DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1992-2002.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- ▶ The estimated DUI conviction rate for 2002 arrestees (77%) is the same as last year, which was up from previous years.
- ▶ 9.8% of 2001 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 18.4% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts.
- ▶ 2.1% of 2001 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, up from 1.5% last year.
- ▶ 10.9% of 2001 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 10.1% in 2000, 17.3% in 1999, 14.4% in 1998, 15.8% in 1997, 18.5% in 1996, 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.
- ▶ The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 2001, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.163%, which is the same as the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.
- ▶ Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.159% BAC for a first offense to a 0.182% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- ▶ Among 2001 convicted DUI offenders, 75.4% were first offenders, 19.5% were second offenders, 4.1% were third offenders, and 1.1% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (24.6%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- ▶ The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 3.1 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.4 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling over 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is almost a half month longer than in prior years.

Variation by County:

- ▶ Among the larger counties, 2001 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 93.7% in Orange and 89.2% in Ventura to a low of 52.6% in San Francisco. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 75.4%.
- ▶ Among the smaller counties, 2001 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 91.2% in Shasta to a low of 34.4% in Trinity.
- ▶ The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 24.1% in Del Norte County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.


- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 9.2%. Two counties had rates of 5% or more: San Francisco (9.2%) and Lassen (5.4%).
- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.5%. Del Norte, San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, Trinity and Glenn counties had rates of 3% or more.
- ▶ In 7 counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Mariposa, Tehama, Sutter, Imperial, Yuba, and Stanislaus. Twenty-eight counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Lassen, Mono, Nevada, San Benito, Santa Barbara, and Shasta at 0%.

#### Variation by Court:

- ▶ As was true for prior years, the 2001 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- ▶ Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 6.5 months in the Brawley court (Imperial County) to a low of 1.4 months for the Santa Maria (Santa Barbara County) and Shafter (Kern County) courts. Interestingly, the busiest DUI court in the state, Los Angeles Metro, had a time lag from arrest to conviction of only 1.7 months.
- ▶ Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all other dispositions resulting from DUI arrests, was a little less than 10% in 2001 (down slightly from last year). Several counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Del Norte, Plumas, and San Francisco.
- ▶ Statewide, 18% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 2001 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, compared to 17.8% in 2000, 21.1% in 1999, 19.6% in 1998 and 21% in 1997. In Lassen County, 7.7% of all 2001 DUI arrests resulted in a reckless driving conviction, with 70% of them inappropriately designated as nonalcohol.

#### Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 2001 was 34.0 years.
- ▶ 45% of 2001 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 73% were 40 years or younger.
- ▶ Females comprised 15.4% of all 2001 convicted DUI offenders. The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1993.
- ▶ The racial/ethnic distribution of 2001 DUI convictions (White = 44.6%; Hispanic = 42.6%; Black = 6.6%; "Other" = 6.1%) generally paralleled that of 2001 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



**Figure 5.** Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

**TABLE 4: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX\***

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	136322	100.0	115293	84.6	21029	15.4
UNDER 18	593	0.4	507	85.5	86	14.5
18-20	9308	6.8	8107	87.1	1201	12.9
21-30	51614	37.9	44781	86.8	6833	13.2
31-40	37925	27.8	31570	83.2	6355	16.8
41-50	24724	18.1	20024	81.0	4700	19.0
51-60	9045	6.6	7644	84.5	1401	15.5
61-70	2467	1.8	2104	85.3	363	14.7
71 & ABOVE	646	0.5	556	86.1	90	13.9
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.0		33.8		35.1	

\*County-specific tabulations of 2001 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	115442	100.0	39828	34.5	11666	10.1	45220	39.2	3974	3.4	6287	5.4	1377	1.2	6055	5.2	1035	0.9
UNDER 18	551	0.5	271	49.2	69	12.5	161	29.2	12	2.2	10	1.8	2	0.4	21	3.8	5	0.9
18-20	8571	7.4	3060	35.7	745	8.7	3622	42.3	277	3.2	258	3.0	53	0.6	471	5.5	85	1.0
21-30	43146	37.4	13064	30.3	3418	7.9	19909	46.1	1628	3.8	1968	4.6	432	1.0	2274	5.3	453	1.0
31-40	31767	27.5	10067	31.7	3407	10.7	12918	40.7	1200	3.8	1907	6.0	443	1.4	1571	4.9	254	0.8
41-50	20858	18.1	8146	39.1	2766	13.3	6222	29.8	659	3.2	1391	6.7	350	1.7	1145	5.5	179	0.9
51-60	7822	6.8	3740	47.8	936	12.0	1885	24.1	155	2.0	531	6.8	83	1.1	445	5.7	47	0.6
61-70	2153	1.9	1125	52.3	259	12.0	421	19.6	38	1.8	185	8.6	12	0.6	105	4.9	8	0.4
71 & ABOVE	574	0.5	355	61.8	66	11.5	82	14.3	5	0.9	37	6.4	2	0.3	23	4.0	4	0.7

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2001 DUI CONVICTION RATES<sup>1</sup> AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD<sup>2</sup> OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.77	1.00	0.81	1.05	0.75	0.97	0.73	0.95	0.75	0.98
UNDER 18	0.40	0.51	0.45	0.58	0.33	0.43	0.33	0.43	0.36	0.47
18-20	0.72	0.93	0.75	0.96	0.70	0.91	0.63	0.82	0.71	0.92
21-30	0.75	0.97	0.81	1.05	0.71	0.92	0.73	0.95	0.72	0.93
31-40	0.79	1.03	0.83	1.07	0.78	1.01	0.73	0.94	0.78	1.00
41-50	0.81	1.05	0.82	1.07	0.81	1.06	0.74	0.96	0.81	1.05
51-60	0.82	1.06	0.82	1.06	0.84	1.09	0.74	0.96	0.84	1.09
61-70	0.84	1.09	0.84	1.09	0.84	1.09	0.83	1.08	0.86	1.11
71 & ABOVE	0.75	0.97	0.75	0.97	0.76	0.98	0.71	0.92	0.74	0.96



TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>2</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>3</sup> / UNCONST <sup>4</sup>	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.3%	132911	3411	14185	3115	3633	949/63	3.1	3.4
ALAMEDA	70.5%	4116	54	520	124	150	88/3	3.4	4.8
ALPINE	85.0%	51	0	3	2	0	0/0	3.6	3.0
AMADOR	81.5%	186	4	21	8	6	3/1	2.7	1.8
BUTTE	83.8%	1135	34	149	30	21	20/0	3.8	2.2
CALAVERAS	70.1%	157	7	11	6	5	1/0	2.8	2.4
COLUSA	73.4%	194	2	38	4	2	0/0	2.4	4.9
CONTRA COSTA	72.2%	3440	94	634	65	84	15/1	4.7	3.4
DEL NORTE	54.0%	145	12	70	6	16	2/0	2.8	3.8
EL DORADO	77.1%	836	29	72	29	8	15/4	3.1	5.1
FRESNO	60.1%	3146	119	690	73	45	19/1	5.1	3.3
GLENN	60.0%	183	9	23	11	10	0/0	2.9	2.9
HUMBOLDT	63.1%	802	49	189	51	12	9/0	3.7	7.8
IMPERIAL	52.0%	681	6	103	28	8	7/1	6.1	1.4
INYO	65.1%	143	6	33	4	0	0/0	3.2	1.1
KERN	82.6%	3678	76	438	95	59	42/3	1.9	3.2
KINGS	79.4%	765	15	74	16	17	7/0	2.7	2.2
LAKE	77.8%	623	10	39	4	7	5/0	4.0	6.3
LASSEN	90.0%	231	2	6	14	6	0/0	3.7	5.9
LOS ANGELES	75.4%	28412	453	2707	738	1628	69/9	2.6	1.7
MADERA	69.2%	612	20	68	12	11	2/0	3.3	3.3
MARIN	87.6%	1261	13	0	0	23	22/0	3.0	4.4
MARIPOSA <sup>5</sup>	61.4%	79	2	4	3	2	0/0	2.4	4.8
MENDOCINO	71.8%	547	17	113	24	15	7/0	2.5	6.5
MERCED	67.8%	1081	37	118	36	21	9/1	4.1	3.5
MODOC	71.6%	57	1	3	3	1	0/0	2.8	3.5
MONO	89.8%	121	2	21	2	1	0/0	3.0	1.8

<sup>1</sup>Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.<sup>2</sup>This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.<sup>3</sup>These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.<sup>4</sup>These 2001 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.<sup>5</sup>The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests of Yosemite National Park not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>2</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>3</sup> / UNCONST <sup>4</sup>	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	79.5%	2491	49	203	101	34	10/1	1.7	4.9
NAPA	83.1%	895	31	104	22	8	18/1	3.2	2.0
NEVADA	83.8%	486	15	93	11	3	4/2	2.4	5.6
ORANGE	93.7%	11135	176	502	125	101	94/3	3.0	4.8
PLACER	89.6%	1480	50	87	34	6	1/1	3.3	3.3
PLUMAS	73.4%	167	1	45	2	4	4/1	2.5	4.7
RIVERSIDE	87.8%	6692	205	277	115	103	50/1	3.7	2.8
SACRAMENTO	71.1%	4902	257	735	79	67	5/4	2.9	5.5
SAN BENITO	85.9%	252	10	37	3	4	2/5	4.7	2.4
SAN BERNARDINO	74.3%	7635	299	606	134	262	131/1	4.4	0.9
SAN DIEGO	80.8%	12043	237	1439	254	285	26/1	2.6	4.9
SAN FRANCISCO	52.6%	909	13	261	161	19	14/1	3.0	1.2
SAN JOAQUIN	72.1%	3024	122	384	45	41	33/1	2.4	2.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	72.5%	1617	49	376	73	106	24/0	2.3	5.8
SAN MATEO	79.5%	2742	41	404	38	58	3/4	3.3	3.3
SANTA BARBARA	86.7%	2383	64	335	71	46	10/0	2.1	5.1
SANTA CLARA	87.4%	6022	200	519	130	86	2/2	3.3	2.5
SANTA CRUZ	82.7%	1420	22	230	51	19	12/4	2.2	5.6
SHASTA	91.2%	1001	60	113	15	7	5/0	2.9	4.6
SIERRA	61.9%	26	0	5	0	1	1/0	2.8	4.3
SISKIYOU	79.5%	275	27	45	5	4	4/1	3.0	3.1
SOLANO	84.4%	1393	41	177	38	14	29/0	3.0	5.3
SONOMA	76.9%	2035	100	417	69	38	62/1	2.8	5.6
STANISLAUS	59.0%	1257	59	88	63	12	2/2	3.1	4.2
SUTTER	48.0%	343	23	89	5	5	4/0	2.5	3.9
TEHAMA	46.0%	375	12	74	5	8	3/1	3.0	3.6
TRINITY	34.4%	84	3	24	4	8	0/0	2.5	4.6
TULARE	72.7%	2234	68	68	32	32	13/0	3.4	4.8
TUOLUMNE	83.2%	370	16	60	2	13	2/0	3.5	1.5
VENTURA	89.2%	3526	48	0	4	64	27/0	2.4	3.9
YOLO	64.7%	671	29	172	28	11	4/0	3.9	2.1
YUBA	53.2%	344	11	69	8	6	8/1	3.1	1.6

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION <sup>2</sup>
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	75.3	1.9	8.0	1.8	2.1	10.9
ALAMEDA	69.6	0.9	8.8	2.1	2.5	16.0
ALPINE	85.0	0.0	5.0	3.3	0.0	6.7
AMADOR	79.8	1.7	9.0	3.4	2.6	3.4
BUTTE	81.4	2.4	10.7	2.2	1.5	1.9
CALAVERAS	67.1	3.0	4.7	2.6	2.1	20.5
COLUSA	72.7	0.7	14.2	1.5	0.7	10.1
CONTRA COSTA	70.3	1.9	13.0	1.3	1.7	11.8
DEL NORTE	49.8	4.1	24.1	2.1	5.5	14.4
EL DORADO	74.5	2.6	6.4	2.6	0.7	13.2
FRESNO	57.9	2.2	12.7	1.3	0.8	25.1
GLENN	57.2	2.8	7.2	3.4	3.1	26.2
HUMBOLDT	59.5	3.6	14.0	3.8	0.9	18.2
IMPERIAL	51.6	0.5	7.8	2.1	0.6	37.4
INYO	62.4	2.6	14.4	1.7	0.0	18.8
KERN	80.9	1.7	9.6	2.1	1.3	4.4
KINGS	77.9	1.5	7.5	1.6	1.7	9.7
LAKE	76.5	1.2	4.8	0.5	0.9	16.1
LASSEN	89.2	0.8	2.3	5.4	2.3	0.0
LOS ANGELES	74.2	1.2	7.1	1.9	4.3	11.3
MADERA	67.0	2.2	7.4	1.3	1.2	20.8
MARIN	86.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	10.9
MARIPOSA <sup>3</sup>	47.3	1.2	2.4	1.8	1.2	46.1
MENDOCINO	69.6	2.2	14.4	3.1	1.9	8.9
MERCED	65.6	2.2	7.2	2.2	1.3	21.5
MODOC	70.4	1.2	3.7	3.7	1.2	19.8
MONO	88.3	1.5	15.3	1.5	0.7	0.0*
MONTEREY	78.0	1.5	6.4	3.2	1.1	9.9
NAPA	80.3	2.8	9.3	2.0	0.7	4.8
NEVADA	81.3	2.5	15.6	1.8	0.5	0.0*
ORANGE	92.2	1.5	4.2	1.0	0.8	0.3
PLACER	86.7	2.9	5.1	2.0	0.4	2.9
PLUMAS	72.9	0.4	19.7	0.9	1.7	4.4
RIVERSIDE	85.2	2.6	3.5	1.5	1.3	5.9
SACRAMENTO	67.5	3.5	10.1	1.1	0.9	16.8
SAN BENITO	82.6	3.3	12.1	1.0	1.3	0.0*
SAN BERNARDINO	71.5	2.8	5.7	1.3	2.5	16.3
SAN DIEGO	79.3	1.6	9.5	1.7	1.9	6.1
SAN FRANCISCO	51.8	0.7	14.9	9.2	1.1	22.3
SAN JOAQUIN	69.3	2.8	8.8	1.0	0.9	17.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	70.4	2.1	16.4	3.2	4.6	3.4
SAN MATEO	78.3	1.2	11.5	1.1	1.7	6.2
SANTA BARBARA	84.4	2.3	11.9	2.5	1.6	0.0*
SANTA CLARA	84.6	2.8	7.3	1.8	1.2	2.3
SANTA CRUZ	81.4	1.3	13.2	2.9	1.1	0.1
SHASTA	86.0	5.2	9.7	1.3	0.6	0.0*
SIERRA	61.9	0.0	11.9	0.0	2.4	23.8
SISKIYOU	72.4	7.1	11.8	1.3	1.1	6.3
SOLANO	81.9	2.4	10.4	2.2	0.8	2.2
SONOMA	73.3	3.6	15.0	2.5	1.4	4.2
STANISLAUS	56.4	2.6	3.9	2.8	0.5	33.6
SUTTER	45.0	3.0	11.7	0.7	0.7	39.0
TEHAMA	44.5	1.4	8.8	0.6	1.0	43.7
TRINITY	33.2	1.2	9.5	1.6	3.2	51.4
TULARE	70.5	2.1	2.1	1.0	1.0	23.2
TUOLUMNE	79.7	3.4	12.9	0.4	2.8	0.6
VENTURA	88.0	1.2	0.0	0.1	1.6	9.1
YOLO	62.0	2.7	15.9	2.6	1.0	15.8
YUBA	51.6	1.6	10.3	1.2	0.9	34.3

<sup>1</sup>The percentages total to 100 by row (county).<sup>2</sup>These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.0%.<sup>3</sup>The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

\*These counties had more total convictions than arrests, which could be due to arrests occurring in a different county from the county of conviction, or due to underreported arrests by arresting agencies, or underreported arrests by federal agencies (reporting not required by the DOJ MACR system).

TABLE 9a: 2001 REPORTED\* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION  
(BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	75	0.1	.01	15	0.1
.02	53	0.1	.02	18	0.2
.03	66	0.1	.03	16	0.2
.04	105	0.1	.04	30	0.3
.05	129	0.1	.05	58	0.5
.06	193	0.2	.06	179	1.7
.07	438	0.4	.07	602	5.6
.08	1838	1.7	.08	2627	24.4
.09	3329	3.1	.09	3145	29.2
.10	6072	5.7	.10	1908	17.7
.11	7564	7.1	.11	819	7.6
.12	8442	7.9	.12	399	3.7
.13	8481	7.9	.13	256	2.4
.14	8211	7.7	.14	174	1.6
.15	8172	7.6	.15	143	1.3
.16	8051	7.5	.16	103	1.0
.17	7262	6.8	.17	75	0.7
.18	6528	6.1	.18	47	0.4
.19	5698	5.3	.19	41	0.4
.20	5167	4.8	.20	37	0.3
.21	4356	4.1	.21	22	0.2
.22	3511	3.3	.22	15	0.1
.23	2983	2.8	.23	22	0.2
.24	2275	2.1	.24	7	0.1
.25	1822	1.7	.25	2	0.1
.26	1347	1.3	.26	6	0.1
.27	1148	1.1	.27	6	0.1
.28	868	0.8	.28	1	0.0
.29	611	0.6	.29	5	0.1
.30	524	0.5	.31	1	0.0
.31	376	0.4	.34	1	0.0
.32	309	0.3	.38	1	0.0
.33	218	0.2	.39	1	0.0
.34	156	0.2	.41	1	0.0
.35	122	0.1	.42	1	0.0
.36	114	0.1			
.37	58	0.1			
.38	62	0.1			
.39	41	0.0			
.40	27	0.0			
.41	20	0.0			
.42	22	0.0			
.43	14	0.0			
.44	8	0.0			
.45	8	0.0			
.46	2	0.0			
.47	5	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	1	0.0			
.50+	2	0.0			
-----			-----		
TOTAL	106885	100.0	TOTAL	10784	100.0
	MEAN BAC .163			MEAN BAC .096	

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (78.4% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 54.6% showing BAC levels). This chart does not show 977 zero BAC levels, which may include driving-under-the-influence of drug convictions..

TABLE 9b: 2001 REPORTED\* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)  
LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	18	0.2	.20	253	3.3
.02	11	0.1	.21	180	2.4
.03	18	0.2	.22	141	1.8
.04	23	0.3	.23	88	1.2
.05	58	0.7	.24	56	0.7
.06	57	0.8	.25	44	0.6
.07	126	1.6	.26	35	0.5
.08	272	3.6	.27	16	0.2
.09	415	5.4	.28	11	0.1
.10	625	8.2	.29	5	0.1
.11	695	9.1	.30	5	0.1
.12	762	9.9	.31	4	0.1
.13	665	8.7			
.14	676	8.8			
.15	616	8.0			
.16	566	7.4			
.17	489	6.4			
.18	415	5.4	TOTAL	7667	100.0
.19	322	4.2		MEAN BAC .140	

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 2001 convicted under age 21 cases is 87.3%.

TABLE 10: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND  
AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.163	.161
1ST DUI	75.4	.159	.159
2ND DUI	19.5	.172	.171
3RD DUI	4.1	.180	.177
4TH+ DUI	1.1	.182	.181

### SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2001. Although counts of postconviction court license actions are included, total counts of all license actions, including DMV administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. In previous reports, sanction combinations for first and second offenders were reported by county; however, they are no longer presented because various sanction combinations have been eliminated by recent legislation. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 2001 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.


Table 12: 2001 Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 2001. For example:

#### Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.7%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (2.4%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.3% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



**Figure 6.** Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2001).

#### County Variation:

- ▶ The proportion of 2001 first-DUI offenders receiving a court postconviction suspension varied by county from 35.4% in Monterey County to 0% in Alpine, Lake, and Yuba Counties.
- ▶ Use of first DUI offender alcohol programs plus license restriction varies by county from over 90% in 15 counties to less than 30% in Tehama County.

#### Court Variation:

- ▶ Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to 86.2% of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n = 761$ ), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only 51.1% of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n = 364$ ).
- ▶ In Los Angeles County, the Lancaster Municipal Court used jail as a sanction in 96% of their DUI sentences, while four other courts (Inglewood, Malibu, Pasadena, and Santa Monica) used jail as a sanction in less than 30% of their DUI sentences.
- ▶ In 2001, Los Angeles and San Joaquin were the only counties with active 30-month third offender treatment programs. Even within these counties, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality was low and varied significantly by court.
- ▶ Statewide, courts required only 2.4% of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device in 2001. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because new legislation shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new “mandatory” interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- ▶ Less than two-thirds of 2001 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.
- ▶ 88% of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 84% of second offenders, 56% of third offenders, and 30% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ▶ 5.2% of first-DUI offenders and 11.9% of repeat-DUI offenders received court license suspensions in 2001. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.
- ▶ Only 8.1% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 2001, compared to 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old “mandatory” law.

TABLE 11: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS\*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	136322	96.7	73.3	67.2	18.0	0.2	75.5	6.8	2.4
1ST DUI	102586	97.5	66.5	86.0	2.1	0.0	81.5	5.2	0.6
2ND DUI	26495	96.3	94.0	11.4	72.2	0.1	68.3	10.3	7.3
3RD DUI	5716	91.1	93.3	4.0	49.5	2.9	20.1	18.2	12.0
4TH+ DUI	1525	66.7	92.5	2.0	26.8	1.8	7.7	15.5	7.0

\*Entries represent percentages of 2001 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.



TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\*

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		136322	96.7	73.3	67.2	18.0	0.2	75.5	6.8	2.4
ALAMEDA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3109	99.3	96.5	84.5	2.3	0.0	81.5	3.5	0.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	846	99.4	97.4	11.0	79.4	0.0	80.1	2.7	24.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	165	97.6	96.4	5.5	75.8	0.0	39.4	17.0	27.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	50	94.0	100.0	6.0	32.0	0.0	20.0	12.0	18.0
	TOTAL	4170	99.2	96.7	65.5	21.2	0.0	78.8	4.0	6.8
ALPINE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	42	100.0	28.6	92.9	4.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	51	100.0	35.3	78.4	17.6	2.0	94.1	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	134	96.3	56.0	43.3	3.0	0.0	81.3	10.4	15.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	43	95.3	97.7	4.7	83.7	0.0	81.4	9.3	48.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	8.3	41.7	66.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	190	95.8	67.9	32.1	25.8	0.0	76.8	12.1	26.3
BUTTE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	841	95.6	96.3	88.5	2.1	0.0	81.2	9.3	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	255	93.3	98.4	14.5	74.5	0.0	76.9	3.5	2.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	57	91.2	98.2	5.3	61.4	0.0	21.1	42.1	7.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	6.3
	TOTAL	1169	94.3	96.9	67.1	21.1	0.0	76.4	9.5	1.0
CALAVERAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	108	100.0	99.1	47.2	0.0	0.0	63.0	1.9	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	43	100.0	100.0	7.0	81.4	0.0	32.6	2.3	16.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	164	98.8	99.4	33.5	26.2	0.0	50.0	1.8	5.5
COLUSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	129	93.0	97.7	82.2	0.8	0.0	81.4	5.4	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	48	87.5	97.9	2.1	68.8	0.0	68.8	6.3	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	87.5	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	12.5	37.5	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	90.3	97.4	54.6	19.4	0.0	71.4	8.2	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2619	97.9	96.3	89.7	2.2	0.0	86.3	6.7	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	700	97.3	99.1	16.3	71.6	0.0	58.1	27.7	0.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	172	93.0	98.8	1.7	57.6	0.0	21.5	24.4	1.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	43	83.7	100.0	2.3	55.8	0.0	18.6	9.3	0.0
	TOTAL	3534	97.4	97.1	69.8	19.3	0.0	76.7	11.8	0.2
DEL NORTE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	107	96.3	91.6	87.9	0.0	0.0	83.2	0.9	0.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	37	91.9	94.6	13.5	64.9	0.0	67.6	5.4	40.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	33.3	22.2	66.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	157	93.6	92.4	63.1	20.4	0.6	74.5	3.2	14.6

\*Entries represent percentages of 2001 convictees receiving each sanction by county and offender status.

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
EL DORADO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	609	98.4	98.4	89.3	2.6	0.0	91.5	2.5	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	199	97.5	97.5	9.5	77.9	0.0	81.4	7.0	14.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	45	93.3	97.8	4.4	55.6	0.0	28.9	24.4	11.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	83.3	100.0	8.3	50.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	33.3
	TOTAL	865	97.7	98.2	65.4	23.4	0.0	84.9	4.7	4.5
FRESNO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2236	96.8	93.3	84.9	2.0	0.0	78.8	3.7	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	770	95.6	95.3	13.5	70.9	0.0	78.1	1.0	4.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	198	91.9	92.4	4.0	51.0	0.0	21.2	2.5	4.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	61	67.2	95.1	0.0	11.5	0.0	11.5	8.2	0.0
	TOTAL	3265	95.7	93.8	61.6	21.4	0.0	73.9	3.1	1.5
GLENN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	137	94.9	53.3	77.4	4.4	0.0	61.3	8.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	42	100.0	95.2	28.6	59.5	0.0	50.0	7.1	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	192	94.3	65.6	61.5	17.7	0.0	55.2	7.8	0.0
HUMBOLDT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	646	97.2	18.4	80.7	7.1	0.0	89.9	3.7	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	165	98.8	80.0	12.1	78.2	0.0	83.6	10.9	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	31	80.6	83.9	3.2	41.9	0.0	29.0	48.4	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0
	TOTAL	851	96.8	33.5	63.7	22.3	0.0	85.7	6.9	0.0
IMPERIAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	561	99.5	30.7	85.6	1.2	0.0	89.3	0.5	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	100	99.0	71.0	39.0	51.0	1.0	83.0	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	21	100.0	90.5	23.8	61.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	687	99.4	38.9	76.6	10.8	0.1	87.8	0.4	0.0
INYO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	111	96.4	20.7	91.0	2.7	0.0	85.6	1.8	2.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	32	96.9	78.1	15.6	75.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	12.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	60.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	149	94.6	34.9	71.8	18.1	0.0	82.6	1.3	6.0
KERN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2783	97.3	94.7	77.7	0.9	0.1	74.2	3.8	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	738	95.7	97.6	9.8	33.1	0.3	39.4	15.6	4.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	183	92.3	94.0	7.1	11.5	0.5	15.8	29.0	11.5
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	50	52.0	100.0	2.0	10.0	0.0	2.0	16.0	4.0
	TOTAL	3754	96.2	95.3	59.9	7.9	0.1	63.6	7.5	2.1
KINGS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	548	86.9	94.0	77.2	0.5	0.0	67.2	20.1	0.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	172	81.4	96.5	22.1	40.7	0.0	59.9	25.6	10.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	41	70.7	95.1	19.5	14.6	0.0	22.0	24.4	7.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	19	31.6	84.2	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	15.8
	TOTAL	780	83.5	94.4	60.3	10.1	0.0	61.5	21.5	3.7

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	441	98.6	22.9	94.6	1.4	0.0	94.6	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	149	97.3	77.9	24.8	63.8	0.0	69.8	0.0	2.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	36	97.2	94.4	8.3	61.1	0.0	19.4	0.0	11.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	633	97.9	40.8	72.2	19.4	0.0	83.4	0.0	1.3
LASSEN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	181	92.8	95.6	83.4	6.1	0.6	71.8	7.2	0.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	41	92.7	97.6	17.1	70.7	0.0	19.5	31.7	4.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	55.6	11.1	22.2	11.1	11.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	233	91.8	95.7	68.2	19.7	0.9	60.1	11.6	1.7
LOS ANGELES	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	22279	98.0	38.9	90.5	1.9	0.1	84.1	1.4	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5332	96.8	89.5	10.5	79.0	0.5	78.6	2.8	1.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1026	90.5	83.7	2.8	43.5	12.1	17.3	7.3	2.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	228	47.4	93.0	1.8	11.4	3.9	6.1	6.1	0.9
	TOTAL	28865	97.1	50.3	71.9	17.7	0.6	80.1	1.9	0.4
MADERA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	458	94.5	98.0	84.3	4.4	0.0	53.7	16.4	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	129	96.1	96.1	17.1	69.8	0.0	58.1	15.5	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	100.0	100.0	12.1	36.4	0.0	27.3	15.2	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	50.0	100.0	16.7	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	TOTAL	632	94.3	97.8	65.5	19.8	0.0	52.2	16.3	0.0
MARIN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1035	99.1	8.1	86.1	2.1	0.0	90.9	8.2	1.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	185	98.9	83.2	6.5	85.4	0.0	88.1	9.7	5.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	40	100.0	92.5	0.0	27.5	0.0	20.0	75.0	47.5
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	100.0	71.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	64.3	50.0
	TOTAL	1274	99.1	22.4	70.9	15.3	0.0	87.6	11.1	3.6
MARIPOSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	51	98.0	92.2	62.7	3.9	0.0	58.8	5.9	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	26	96.2	80.8	0.0	38.5	11.5	38.5	7.7	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	96.3	88.9	39.5	16.0	3.7	49.4	6.2	0.0
MENDOCINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	387	90.4	94.3	77.8	3.1	0.3	78.6	2.6	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	137	96.4	99.3	7.3	75.2	0.0	78.8	2.9	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	34	82.4	88.2	0.0	55.9	0.0	17.6	2.9	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	TOTAL	564	91.1	95.2	55.1	23.9	0.2	74.1	3.2	0.2
MERCED	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	806	98.1	93.5	83.0	2.5	0.2	89.2	1.9	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	239	97.5	97.9	14.2	70.3	0.0	87.0	4.6	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	62	95.2	98.4	6.5	67.7	0.0	48.4	24.2	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1118	97.6	94.8	63.2	20.8	0.2	85.7	3.7	0.0

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MODOC	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	45	88.9	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	68.9	2.2	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	72.7	100.0	45.5	27.3	0.0	36.4	9.1	9.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TOTAL	58	86.2	84.5	70.7	8.6	0.0	60.3	6.9	1.7
MONO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	99	100.0	65.7	94.9	2.0	0.0	82.8	4.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	94.4	94.4	11.1	77.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	11.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	123	98.4	71.5	78.0	14.6	0.0	79.7	4.9	1.6
MONTEREY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1924	99.0	92.6	68.9	1.6	0.1	46.3	35.4	5.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	462	98.3	98.5	5.2	53.5	0.0	21.4	43.9	65.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	123	95.9	99.2	3.3	38.2	0.0	3.3	62.6	65.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	31	93.5	100.0	3.2	19.4	0.0	0.0	87.1	48.4
	TOTAL	2540	98.6	94.1	53.3	13.0	0.1	39.1	38.9	19.7
NAPA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	701	98.7	97.0	84.2	1.4	0.0	93.7	0.6	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	174	97.7	97.7	12.6	80.5	0.0	94.8	1.1	16.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	39	92.3	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	79.5	2.6	43.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	25.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
	TOTAL	926	97.3	97.3	66.1	20.3	0.0	92.3	0.8	5.5
NEVADA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	371	97.8	94.1	90.3	1.6	0.0	88.9	1.1	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	97	99.0	100.0	6.2	82.5	0.0	83.5	0.0	1.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	100.0	100.0	12.0	64.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	12.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	501	98.0	95.6	68.7	21.2	0.0	85.0	0.8	1.0
ORANGE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	8830	99.3	25.0	94.4	1.2	0.0	87.4	5.2	1.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2049	98.6	91.0	8.0	86.0	0.1	64.8	21.1	14.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	364	96.4	94.8	2.5	79.9	0.5	12.1	12.6	31.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	68	38.2	94.1	1.5	29.4	0.0	1.5	5.9	10.3
	TOTAL	11311	98.7	39.6	75.2	19.3	0.0	80.4	8.3	4.6
PLACER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1148	98.0	98.1	64.1	2.8	0.0	87.4	1.9	3.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	314	96.5	99.4	13.4	73.6	0.0	80.9	2.2	14.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	58	89.7	96.6	1.7	55.2	0.0	24.1	0.0	22.4
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1530	97.1	98.3	50.9	19.4	0.0	83.1	2.0	6.0
PLUMAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	126	98.4	100.0	87.3	7.1	0.0	94.4	0.8	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	32	96.9	100.0	3.1	90.6	0.0	96.9	3.1	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	42.9	14.3	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	97.0	100.0	66.1	26.8	0.0	91.7	1.8	0.0

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5158	97.7	81.9	88.6	2.2	0.0	82.7	3.3	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1304	96.2	95.6	15.9	76.0	0.0	66.1	9.5	1.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	314	90.8	94.6	4.8	71.3	0.0	22.0	18.8	3.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	121	80.2	90.9	1.7	54.5	0.0	4.1	19.8	2.5
	TOTAL	6897	96.8	85.3	69.5	20.2	0.0	75.4	5.5	0.6
SACRAMENTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3614	98.8	96.1	74.4	1.8	0.0	63.1	12.9	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1126	96.7	98.4	9.8	43.7	0.0	41.8	27.6	1.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	317	95.9	99.4	2.5	23.7	0.0	9.1	16.1	0.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	102	86.3	97.1	0.0	35.3	0.0	3.9	17.6	2.9
	TOTAL	5159	97.9	96.8	54.4	12.9	0.0	53.9	16.4	0.4
SAN BENITO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	176	97.7	96.0	69.9	1.7	0.0	67.0	27.8	1.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	70	98.6	98.6	7.1	61.4	0.0	68.6	28.6	18.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	84.6	92.3	0.0	15.4	0.0	7.7	30.8	30.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	TOTAL	262	97.3	96.6	48.9	18.3	0.0	63.7	28.2	7.3
SAN BERNARDINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	6088	97.6	47.6	92.1	1.9	0.0	89.4	2.1	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1449	97.2	90.4	15.4	76.0	0.1	82.1	4.8	0.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	303	91.4	91.1	5.0	44.6	0.0	28.1	14.2	0.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	94	63.8	64.9	2.1	30.9	0.0	8.5	11.7	0.0
	TOTAL	7934	96.9	57.3	73.7	17.4	0.0	84.7	3.2	0.1
SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	9535	96.6	48.5	86.3	3.0	0.0	86.4	3.1	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2226	96.1	88.9	13.1	70.7	0.0	72.2	9.7	2.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	412	89.6	94.7	4.6	57.5	0.0	29.1	17.2	6.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	107	67.3	98.1	4.7	30.8	0.0	18.7	5.6	5.6
	TOTAL	12280	96.0	57.8	69.6	17.4	0.0	81.3	4.8	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	737	99.5	97.3	94.6	1.8	0.0	95.3	0.4	1.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	153	99.3	97.4	22.2	74.5	0.0	94.8	0.7	54.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	100.0	92.0	4.0	76.0	4.0	68.0	0.0	88.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	14.3	57.1
	TOTAL	922	99.5	97.1	79.4	16.3	0.1	93.8	0.5	13.1
SAN JOAQUIN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2215	98.8	98.6	87.9	2.0	0.2	76.8	12.0	0.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	693	98.6	99.4	6.8	81.8	0.1	76.5	15.0	5.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	172	95.9	79.7	1.2	34.3	18.0	14.5	52.9	12.2
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	66	81.8	71.2	0.0	12.1	27.3	3.0	43.9	10.6
	TOTAL	3146	98.3	97.1	63.4	21.6	1.7	71.8	15.6	2.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1234	95.5	96.2	87.9	1.6	0.0	81.6	4.8	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	340	95.9	97.9	7.9	80.3	0.0	82.9	2.1	0.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	76	90.8	98.7	6.6	63.2	0.0	7.9	2.6	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	81.3	100.0	0.0	56.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1666	95.3	96.7	67.0	21.0	0.0	77.7	4.1	0.1

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2185	93.8	98.0	86.9	2.0	0.0	75.7	0.9	1.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	481	92.9	98.8	4.0	80.7	0.0	27.7	4.0	37.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	96	91.7	99.0	4.2	78.1	0.0	9.4	6.3	34.4
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	21	85.7	100.0	0.0	52.4	0.0	9.5	9.5	19.0
	TOTAL	2783	93.5	98.2	69.0	18.6	0.0	64.6	1.7	8.6
SANTA BARBARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1834	97.7	66.7	59.3	1.3	0.0	73.9	19.0	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	485	97.5	96.1	4.9	62.1	0.0	40.0	42.3	4.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	102	95.1	99.0	3.9	53.9	0.0	9.8	72.5	17.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	26	65.4	80.8	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	46.2	3.8
	TOTAL	2447	97.2	74.0	45.6	15.6	0.0	63.7	26.1	2.0
SANTA CLARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4581	94.6	95.0	80.0	2.8	0.0	78.4	7.8	1.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1327	87.6	98.6	9.3	65.7	0.0	59.6	10.3	13.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	274	74.5	97.4	2.2	37.2	1.5	7.3	3.3	24.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	40	65.0	100.0	5.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	6222	92.0	95.9	61.0	17.8	0.1	70.8	8.1	5.4
SANTA CRUZ	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1045	98.7	96.0	81.0	1.2	0.0	80.4	15.8	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	300	99.0	98.7	6.7	61.0	0.0	66.0	31.7	0.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	81	95.1	98.8	0.0	7.4	0.0	6.2	84.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	81.3	87.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	81.3	0.0
	TOTAL	1442	98.3	96.6	60.1	14.1	0.0	72.3	23.6	0.1
SHASTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	745	97.9	96.9	88.9	2.0	0.1	81.1	6.2	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	229	96.5	98.7	7.4	81.7	0.0	79.0	1.7	12.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	67	83.6	94.0	3.0	31.3	0.0	17.9	7.5	29.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	20	40.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	5.0
	TOTAL	1061	95.6	97.2	64.2	21.2	0.1	75.2	5.7	5.1
SIERRA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	13	92.3	100.0	53.8	30.8	0.0	84.6	7.7	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	26	92.3	96.2	34.6	50.0	3.8	84.6	3.8	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	221	91.4	90.0	70.6	1.4	0.0	59.7	6.3	0.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	61	85.2	95.1	23.0	50.8	0.0	44.3	1.6	13.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	88.2	100.0	5.9	64.7	0.0	23.5	0.0	5.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	302	89.1	91.7	56.6	14.9	0.0	54.0	5.0	3.6
SOLANO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1042	95.6	94.8	92.2	1.2	0.0	76.6	2.4	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	296	95.3	98.3	12.5	80.4	0.0	54.7	3.7	2.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	75	78.7	98.7	5.3	52.0	0.0	18.7	20.0	9.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	21	52.4	95.2	0.0	33.3	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8
	TOTAL	1434	94.0	95.7	69.9	20.7	0.0	68.0	3.6	1.3

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SONOMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1545	96.5	94.9	78.1	0.8	0.0	77.4	1.2	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	458	95.6	99.1	4.6	62.9	0.0	60.3	0.0	0.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	93	89.2	100.0	4.3	18.3	0.0	16.1	0.0	1.1
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	39	64.1	97.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2135	95.4	96.1	57.7	14.8	0.0	69.7	0.9	0.2
STANISLAUS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	974	98.0	92.7	91.7	4.1	0.0	76.8	3.9	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	260	98.1	97.7	14.6	77.3	0.0	65.4	4.2	3.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	57	96.5	100.0	5.3	70.2	0.0	28.1	8.8	15.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	84.0	100.0	0.0	72.0	0.0	4.0	20.0	8.0
	TOTAL	1316	97.7	94.1	71.0	22.7	0.0	71.0	4.5	1.5
SUTTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	264	96.2	97.0	90.9	1.1	0.0	83.0	2.7	1.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	83	96.4	97.6	16.9	73.5	0.0	68.7	9.6	37.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	53.3	0.0	20.0	6.7	53.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0
	TOTAL	366	96.2	97.3	69.4	19.9	0.0	76.2	4.6	12.8
TEHAMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	273	89.0	97.4	28.6	1.8	0.0	60.1	7.7	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	83	81.9	98.8	3.6	69.9	0.0	53.0	4.8	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	26	50.0	100.0	0.0	42.3	0.0	15.4	0.0	3.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	387	84.0	97.9	20.9	19.4	0.0	54.8	6.5	0.8
TRINITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	69	100.0	94.2	92.8	2.9	0.0	89.9	8.7	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	17	88.2	94.1	11.8	70.6	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TOTAL	87	97.7	94.3	75.9	17.2	0.0	88.5	8.0	0.0
TULARE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1646	97.3	89.5	85.4	3.6	0.0	62.4	0.7	0.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	498	97.8	98.4	19.9	68.1	0.0	50.2	0.6	5.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	118	92.4	99.2	11.9	50.8	0.0	18.6	4.2	12.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	40	82.5	95.0	5.0	35.0	0.0	7.5	5.0	12.5
	TOTAL	2302	96.9	92.0	66.0	20.5	0.0	56.6	1.0	2.7
TUOLUMNE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	263	96.6	98.9	91.3	0.8	0.0	85.6	8.4	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	87	97.7	100.0	13.8	78.2	0.0	88.5	4.6	3.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	88.0	100.0	8.0	24.0	0.0	20.0	12.0	8.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	36.4	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	386	94.6	99.0	65.8	19.9	0.0	79.5	7.5	1.3
VENTURA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2764	98.6	98.6	85.7	1.7	0.0	84.6	12.6	1.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	649	97.8	97.4	11.1	73.8	0.0	83.8	12.5	11.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	136	95.6	94.9	3.7	64.7	0.0	43.4	41.2	22.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	64.0	96.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	12.0	60.0	20.0
	TOTAL	3574	98.1	98.2	68.4	17.5	0.0	82.4	14.0	4.4

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YOLO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	522	98.7	96.2	88.1	1.9	0.2	79.1	3.6	0.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	139	95.7	98.6	16.5	69.1	0.0	81.3	2.9	7.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	31	96.8	96.8	0.0	87.1	0.0	19.4	38.7	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	700	98.0	96.7	69.0	19.4	0.1	76.0	5.0	1.7
YUBA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	241	99.6	96.3	90.5	1.7	0.0	86.3	0.0	0.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	80	96.3	98.8	15.0	71.3	0.0	68.8	1.2	2.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0	21.7	0.0	17.4	0.0	13.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1
	TOTAL	355	96.6	97.2	64.8	18.9	0.0	75.2	0.3	2.0



## SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents 1-year accident and DUI recidivism rates for first and second offenders over an 11-year time period, cumulative proportions of reoffenses over eight years for a single group of DUI offenders arrested in 1994, and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of alcohol education programs applied to 1) DUI offenders convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving (with a 1-year follow-up period), and 2) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs (1-year follow-up period). The accident and recidivism rates of first and second offenders over time, and the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for the alcohol-reckless and first offenders, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, but also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions only, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Previous DUI-MIS reports included evaluations of sanction effectiveness for second offenders but these analyses were not conducted this year because AB 762 (Torlakson, 7/1/99) not only altered the ignition interlock requirements for second offenders, but also added the application of ignition interlock to offenders who were convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license. These changes to the DUI laws would complicate evaluations of the effectiveness of second offender sanctions, and make the results non-comparable to those of previous DUI-MIS studies. In addition, the law required a new evaluation on the effectiveness of ignition interlock devices; this study is currently underway at the California DMV and provides a rigorous approach to assessing the impact of ignition interlock devices.

Displayed below, in Figures 7 and 8, are unadjusted proportions of DUI recidivist incidents and accidents over time from 1990 through 2001; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses of first and second offenders from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. Each year includes a unique set of first and second offenders who were arrested in the designated year. Unadjusted proportions are shown in this report because reoffense proportions for each offender group as a whole are examined rather than comparing the rates between sanction groups within each offender group. Statistical adjustments are only made when attempting to remove bias among the comparison groups.


Figures 9a and 9b, which display covariate-adjusted data as described below, show the proportion of alcohol-reckless offenders involved in accidents- or DUI-incidents for the period 7/01 - 6/02 (1-year follow-up period), and first offenders who were referred to 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs for the same 1-year subsequent period. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol/drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education/counseling program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts are required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than .20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of .20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program.

Figures 10a through 10e show unadjusted recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI conviction and DUI-incident reoffenses for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994.


The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results.

Based on the data represented in Figures 7 and 8, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offenders from 1990 to 2001:

- ▶ 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2001 continued to remain at the lower level of the past three years. Comparing these rates to the much higher rate of 1990, DUI reoffenses in 2001 were 35.5% lower than for 1990 for all first offenders.
- ▶ The 1-year reoffense rates for second offenders were slightly lower than the two previous years but overall the rates have leveled out in the past six years; recidivism decreased from 1990 to 2001 by 39.2% for all second offenders.
- ▶ Overall, subsequent 1-year accident rates have declined from 1990 to 2001 by 25% for second offenders; although their rate was the lowest in 1996, accidents have gradually increased in recent years for all second offenders. The accident rate for first offenders has been steadily increasing since 1993 and the 2001 rate is now only 1.9% lower than the 1990 accident rate.



**Figure 7.** Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).




**Figure 8.** Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).

Based on the data portrayed in Figure 9a, the following conclusions can be made about the impact of alcohol education programs upon offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving (those arrested for DUI but convicted of the reduced charge of reckless driving).


- In contrast to the previous two yearly evaluations, this year's results show that the subsequent 1-year accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to an alcohol education program were significantly lower than those of the nonparticipants. Although the subsequent DUI offense rates of the program participants were lower than those of the nonparticipants, they were not significantly lower and might possibly be lower by chance alone.

Figure 9b displays the 1-year accident and DUI-related incident rates for those first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. The following tentative conclusions can be made about the evaluation of length of alcohol education programs for first offenders.

- Like last year's evaluation, the 1-year accident rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program did not vary significantly from those attending 6-month programs. However, the subsequent DUI offense rate of the short-term program participants was again significantly lower than that of the long-term participants.



**Figure 9a.** Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2001-2002 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.




**Figure 9b.** Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2001) by length of alcohol education program.


Based on the data presented in Figures 10a through 10e, which address recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over eight years, the following conclusions can be drawn about long-term recidivism rates:

- ▶ At the end of eight years, 24% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 27% incurred at least one DUI incident.
- ▶ Over eight years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third offenders reoffending was 33%, while 27% of second offenders and 22% of first offenders recidivated.
- ▶ Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (25%) of reoffenses than did females (17%) over the 8-year time period.

- ▶ Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and lowest rates with the oldest group.
- ▶ After five years, the proportion reoffending among the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in 1984 (27%) and in 1980 (35%).



**Figure 10a.** Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol accidents, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).



**Figure 10b.** Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.




Figure 10c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.




Figure 10d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).




Figure 10e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, and 1994 DUI drivers.

## EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

### Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, 1-year follow-up data for first and second DUI offenders were compiled from eleven previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. In addition, for the first time, 8-year follow-up data are presented for the convicted DUI offenders who were arrested in 1994. Follow-up data for the groups listed below were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

- 1-year follow-up period for convicted alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2001 through June, 2002.
- 1-year follow-up period for convicted first offenders who were arrested for DUI in 2001, and who were referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the follow-up accident and DUI reoffense data for first and second offenders, for the alcohol-related reckless offenders, and for the 1994 DUI offenders.

The conviction date for the cases involved in the prior/post analyses was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date.

Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2001. For this year's report, subjects selected were: 1) first-DUI

offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past seven years, and 4) first-DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 8-year follow-up evaluation.

Although the sanction analyses were not conducted this year for first- and second-DUI offenders, the 1-year unadjusted accident and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions because their license control penalties were more severe than the misdemeanor offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 18.3% of the original convicted-offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

In the evaluation of the length of time of first offender programs, only first offenders who showed the 3-month and 6-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for this analysis. Unfortunately, there were many first offenders who were referred to the alcohol education programs that did not display the specific length of time, and were not included in this evaluation. Therefore, this analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by courts that were in compliance with the law.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program, and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 64% were assigned to the programs while 36% were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed us to compare subsequent accidents and DUI incidents between the two groups.

For the alcohol-related reckless drivers and first offenders attending 3-month and 6-month programs, prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding their DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and these driver record variables were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starts from the conviction date, and was one year for the 2001 alcohol-related reckless drivers, the 3- and 6-month first offender program participants, and first- and second-offenders from 1990-2001, and was eight years for the 1994 offenders. A buffer period of four months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. For all of these groups, the outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any accident, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). In addition,



both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 8-year followup period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

### Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in both the alcohol-related reckless and 3- and 6-month program participants at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area as covariates; Table B5 shows significant group differences on some of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

There were no significant ( $p = .01$ ) interaction effects between the covariates and treatment factor in the analyses involving the alcohol-related reckless drivers nor the 3-month and 6-month program participants.

### One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the eleven previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figure 7 shows the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 2001 who reoffended within one year after conviction.

Figure 7 and Table 13 show an ongoing decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first-offenders overall from 1990 to 2001; although there are slight upward trends in 1995 and 1998, these trends are followed by a leveling out of the rates over the past 3 years. The overall decline translates into a 35.5% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders compared to the rates of 1990. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2001

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		ACCIDENT-INVOLVED	
	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2001	-35.5%	-39.2%	-1.9%	-25.0%

A similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second-offender group as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13, but the rate of decline is greatest during the years from 1993 to 1996; then the rates level out for several years, followed by a slight decline in 2001. Table 13 shows that, from 1990 to 2001, the reoffense rates decreased 39.2% for the second offender group as a whole. Although the overall reoffense rates of second offenders are higher than those of first offenders, they became closer to the rates of first offenders in more recent years. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001: The 1-year subsequent accident rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of 1990-2001 first and second offenders who had accidents within one year after their conviction.

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2001, Figure 8 and Table 13 show an initial decline in accident rates for the earliest years and then, after 1993, there is a gradual increase in accidents. The difference between first offender accident rates between 1990 and 2001 is only -1.9%, whereas the difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in accidents (-25%).

Figure 8 indicates a declining trend in the overall accident rate of second offenders up to 1996. Following the downward trend in accidents from 1990 through 1996, the accident rates gradually increase and continue on a slow upward trend, and then drop slightly in 2001. Overall, second offenders have lower accident rates than do first offenders (Table 13), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower accident rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

#### Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation

**Total Accidents:** Figure 9a and Table 14 display the results of the third evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations. In contrast to the past two years, the results show that assignment to the alcohol education program appears to have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. The program participants show a 30.8% lower accident rate than that of the non-participants. Comparing these rates to those of the 2001 first DUI offenders, it is evident that alcohol-related reckless drivers who are not assigned to an alcohol program are more involved in accidents (6.59 per 100 drivers) than are first DUI offenders (5.2). However, the program participants have a slightly lower accident rate (5.04) than that of first offenders. It has been suggested in the past that the lack of license suspension/restriction for the alcohol-related reckless drivers might explain the higher accident rates. Past studies have documented the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction. However, in this year's analysis, it appears that for 2001, the alcohol-education program may have contributed to the lower accident rate of the participants.

TABLE 14: THE EFFECT OF SANCTIONS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ON SUBSEQUENT ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$
7/2001-6/2002	1) No program	(2,807)	6.59		3.67	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) Alcohol-education program	(5,036)	5.04	30.8%*	2.95	24.4%

\* $p < .01$

**DUI Incidents:** Figure 9a and Table 14 indicate that program participants show directionally but not statistically significantly ( $p = .08$ ) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than the nonparticipants. Its possible that this finding occurred by chance, particularly in view of the low number of cases. The reoffense rate of the program participants is 24.4% lower than that of alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs, and is similar to the findings from the analysis two years ago (27.8%). These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or

judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

### Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9b and Table 15 display the results of the second evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 6-month programs. Just like last year, the results show that the length of time of the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of first-time DUI offenders. The 6-month program participants have only a 5.5% lower accident rate than that of the 3-month participants. Again, comparing these rates to those of the alcohol-related reckless drivers, it is evident that the alcohol-related reckless drivers are more involved in accidents (6.59, 5.04 per 100 drivers) than are first DUI offenders (4.96, 4.70). As noted earlier, the short-term APS license suspension/restriction for all first offenders might explain their lower accident rates. Past studies have supported the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction.

TABLE 15: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2001	1) 3-month program	(18,007)	4.96		4.26	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) 6-month program	(6,013)	4.70	5.5%	5.77	-26.2%*

\*  $p = .0001$

DUI Incidents: In contrast to the accident findings, Figure 9b and Table 15 indicate that the 3-month program participants have significantly ( $p = .0001$ ) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than do the 6-month program participants. This finding is consistent with last year's evaluation on subsequent DUI incidents. The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 26.2% lower than that of the 6-month participants. Again, this finding is not surprising given that those assigned to the longer term program have higher BAC levels (.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Since this study only selected first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of alcohol program, there are likely unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

### Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders:

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was determined that long-term recidivism rates should be examined for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were

evaluated. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time that display the first reoffense occurring following the initial DUI conviction. These cumulative proportions are shown by yearly increments over eight years.

In looking at the 1994 offenders as a whole, it is evident from Figure 10a that at the end of 8 years, 24% accumulated at least one DUI reoffense. Considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses to include all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increases to 27%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, and then start to flatten out in the 6<sup>th</sup> through 8<sup>th</sup> years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs in the first year following conviction. Its probable that those with more serious alcohol problems would be likely to recidivate earlier than those with lesser drinking problems. Its also possible that those less responsive to the sanctions and penalties imposed by the courts are not as deterred from recidivating over time than those who are more responsive.

One way to explore the extent of drinking severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within seven years of the entry DUI violation. Figure 10b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second and third or more DUI offenders. It is evident from this graph and from Table 16 that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third or more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain the higher proportions over the eight year period of time. At the end of eight years, 33% of third offenders have reoffended compared to 27% of second offenders and 22% of first offenders.

TABLE 16: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT  
DUI REOFFENSES OF THE 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE											
	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994
1 <sup>ST</sup>	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5
2 <sup>ND</sup>	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9
3 <sup>RD</sup>	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13
4 <sup>TH</sup>	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16
5 <sup>TH</sup>	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18
6 <sup>TH</sup>	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21
7 <sup>TH</sup>	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22
8 <sup>TH</sup>	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (88% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 10c and Table 16, males show much higher cumulative proportions reoffending than females. At the end of eight years, 25% of males have reoffended as compared to 17%

of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably flatter throughout the eight years as compared to the curve of males.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed also by age. Figure 10d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over eight years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; their failure curves are steepest during the first two years following the entry conviction. The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the 5<sup>th</sup> year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality factor of the oldest group could influence the lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be imposing self-restricted driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After eight years, the youngest two groups reoffended by 26% and 24%, respectively, while 19% of the middle age group and 11% of the oldest group recidivated.

The final Figure 10e and Table 16 compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980 and 1984 cohorts over a 5-year time period. This long-term historical comparison would allow us to consider the probable influence of the major DUI laws in California over a 14-year time period. Figure 10e reveals that at the end of five years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group and 18% of the 1994 offenders. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 group (18%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 14 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions on DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986).

Continuing with Figure 10e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is equally dramatic; this reduction in reoffenses is likely attributed to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and possibly SB 1150 (Lockyer) which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions on DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the APS law.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within seven years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These are not surprising findings, and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates between the 1994 group and the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 group could be attributed to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders as established by the APS suspension law of 1990.

## SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1992-2002. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1992 through 2002. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.


Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 00/01 through 02/03.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1992-2002. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1992 through 2002, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 60% over that for 1990. These totals declined each subsequent year through 1995, and have fluctuated up and down each year since 1995. After a 1.9% increase in 2000, S/R actions decreased by 3.9% in 2001, and increased again by 2.3% in 2002.
- In 2002, 165,505 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 78% were first-offender actions and 22% were repeat-offender actions.
- In FY 02/03, APS actions decreased by 3.2%, following a 1% decrease the previous fiscal year.

- ▶ Chemical test refusal actions have declined each year since 1991, and dropped by 0.8% in 2002. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 58.8% from the 1991 totals.
- ▶ The number of mandatory postconviction license actions increased by 7.1% in 2002, following a 2.4% increase in 2001. Overall, mandatory postconviction license actions have declined by 10.7% since 1992.
- ▶ In the 13 years since APS was implemented in July 1990, over two and a half million (2,647,316) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- ▶ Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 24.7% in 02/03. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has declined the past several years, but increased from 83.2% upheld in 01/02 to 85.4% upheld in 02/03.
- ▶ During the first 9.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 138,671 suspension actions have been taken.



**Figure 11.** Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1992-2002.



TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1992-2002

	YEAR										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462 <sup>2</sup>	238612 <sup>2</sup>	236141	240597	231217	236603
<b>PRECONVICTION</b>											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 <sup>r</sup>	9327 <sup>r</sup>	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129
.08 First-offender suspensions	157545	144321	120582	116636 <sup>r</sup>	122111 <sup>r</sup>	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	62656	57279	47429	43218 <sup>r</sup>	43922 <sup>r</sup>	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	8589	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908
Commercial driver actions	6449	5829	5038	4743 <sup>r</sup>	4939 <sup>r</sup>	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936
Chemical test refusal actions	17963 <sup>r</sup>	15662	13264	11711 <sup>r</sup>	11436 <sup>r</sup>	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270	280	290
.08 Test refusal suspensions	9374 <sup>r</sup>	8256	7022	6307 <sup>r</sup>	6299 <sup>r</sup>	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547
.08 Test refusal revocations	8589 <sup>r</sup>	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505
<b>POSTCONVICTION<sup>1</sup></b>											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1202	922	879	677	995	769 <sup>2</sup>	1026 <sup>2</sup>	918	741	714	896
First-offender suspensions	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 <sup>2</sup>	9588 <sup>2</sup>	15072	29924	31097	32716
Misdemeanor	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 <sup>2</sup>	7497 <sup>2</sup>	13401	28118	29188	30563
Felony	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 <sup>2</sup>	2091 <sup>2</sup>	1671	1806	1909	2153
Second-offender S/R actions	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 <sup>2</sup>	40238 <sup>2</sup>	31940	29097	26911	29345
Misdemeanor	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 <sup>2</sup>	39065 <sup>2</sup>	31455	28571	26334	28748
Felony	722	564	506	534	540	413 <sup>2</sup>	633 <sup>2</sup>	485	526	577	597
Third-offender revocations	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 <sup>2</sup>	9397 <sup>2</sup>	6573	6163	5727	6171
Misdemeanor	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 <sup>2</sup>	9167 <sup>2</sup>	6452	6015	5585	5996
Felony	320	264	219	210	178	98 <sup>2</sup>	230 <sup>2</sup>	121	148	142	175
Fourth-offender revocations	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 <sup>2</sup>	2998 <sup>2</sup>	2306	2066	1928	1970
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951 <sup>2</sup>	63247 <sup>2</sup>	56809	67991	66377	71098

<sup>1</sup> These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements and include workload counts.

<sup>2</sup> The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

<sup>r</sup> Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/00-6/01	7/01-6/02	7/02-6/03
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	183,979	182,182	176,389
Total .08 <sup>1</sup> APS actions set aside	14,510	15,172	15,538
Total .01 <sup>2</sup> suspensions set aside	1,103	1,288	1,319
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	168,366	165,722	159,532
Net total .08 APS actions	150,092	146,781	141,217
Net total .01 suspensions	18,274	18,941	18,315
<b>APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:<sup>3</sup></b>			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	164,370	161,631	155,684
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	3,996	4,093	3,848
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	42	15	19
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions <sup>4</sup>	112,158	110,416	106,305
4-month license suspensions	84,960	83,287	76,277
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,117	1,296	1,442
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE <sup>5</sup> restrictions	17,317	16,961	20,046
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,598	5,530	5,380
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,166	3,342	3,160
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	37,934	36,326	34,912
Suspensions	34,779	33,421	31,996
Revocations	3,155	2,944	2,916
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	9,601	9,356	9,234
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	555	579	627
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	18	23	26
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,028	8,754	8,581
Net total .08 refusal actions	8,753	8,473	8,296
Net total .01 refusal actions	275	281	285
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.22%	5.13%	5.24%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,598	5,530	5,380
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,155	2,944	2,916
<b>APS Hearings<sup>6</sup></b>			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	39,959	40,035	43,539
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing <sup>7</sup>	21.7%	22.0%	24.7%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	35,408	35,115	39,073
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	30,618	29,222	33,386
Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	86.5%	83.2%	85.4%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	3,183	3,192	3,724
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,698	2,519	3,014
Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	84.8%	78.9%	80.9%
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,873	2,772	3,084
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,714	2,563	2,944
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,202	2,024	2,384

<sup>1</sup>.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>2</sup>.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>3</sup>All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

<sup>4</sup>Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

<sup>5</sup>This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

<sup>6</sup>These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

<sup>7</sup>Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

## SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.<sup>3</sup> Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 2001 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Type of Arrest. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 2001 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal

<sup>3</sup>Among 2001 DUI arrests, 25,320 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 11,086 involving an injury or fatality, and 14,234 are PDO.


and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Accidents. This table shows the mean and frequency distribution of BAC levels for drivers involved in alcohol-related accidents in 2001.

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1992 to 2002. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



**Figure 12.** Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1992-2002.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ▶ The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 8.3% in 2002, following increases of 6.1% in 2001, 5.4% in 2000 and 9.1% in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved also increased (to 34.2%) for the fifth year in a row after over a decade of decreases.
- ▶ The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved was the same (10.4%) in 2002 as in 2001, which had been the first annual increase since 1987. The actual number of alcohol-involved injuries rose for the second year in a row, yet only the third time in 17 years.
- ▶ 14.1% of all 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.5% in 2000, 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 43.8% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality, down slightly from the prior year (44.1% in 2000).
- ▶ In almost a quarter (21.0%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 87.5% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
- ▶ Of all 2001 fatal/injury accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 22.8% had been arrested for felony DUI.
- ▶ 6.2% (11,086) of 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 31.4% (3,478) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 11.9% (1,320) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 79.0% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
- ▶ Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 2001 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.
- ▶ Almost three-quarters (72.6%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE\*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11086	100.0	5574	50.3	4094	36.9	71	6.5	702	6.3
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10253	92.5	5050	49.3	3899	38.0	649	6.3	655	6.4
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	20	0.2	13	65.0	5	25.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	213	1.9	111	52.1	71	33.3	23	10.8	8	3.8
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	91	0.8	51	56.0	30	33.0	3	3.3	7	7.7
NOT REPORTED	509	4.6	349	68.6	89	17.5	39	7.7	32	6.3

\*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST\*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11086	100.0	5574	50.3	4094	36.9	716	6.5	702	6.3
FELONY DUI	3478	31.4	1565	45.0	1453	41.8	242	7.0	218	6.3
JUVENILE DUI	156	1.4	92	59.0	56	35.9	2	1.3	6	3.8
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7452	67.2	3917	52.6	2585	34.7	472	6.3	478	6.4

\*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE\*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11086	100.0	6336	57.2	1565	14.1	453	4.1	169	1.5	236	2.1	2327	21.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10253	92.5	6009	58.6	1477	14.4	413	4.0	141	1.4	176	1.7	2037	19.9
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	20	0.2	6	30.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	12	60.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	213	1.9	103	48.4	28	13.1	10	4.7	1	0.5	7	3.3	64	30.0
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	91	0.8	40	44.0	1	1.1	8	8.8	5	5.5	11	12.1	26	28.6
NOT REPORTED	509	4.6	178	35.0	58	11.4	22	4.3	22	4.3	41	8.1	188	36.9

\*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST\*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11086	100.0	6336	57.2	1565	14.1	453	4.1	169	1.5	236	2.1	2327	21.0
FELONY DUI	3478	31.4	1191	34.2	1320	38.0	71	2.0	34	1.0	70	2.0	792	22.8
JUVENILE DUI	156	1.4	42	26.9	16	10.3	3	1.9	2	1.3	3	1.9	90	57.7
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7452	67.2	5103	68.5	229	3.1	379	5.1	133	1.8	163	2.2	1445	19.4

\*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 2001 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED\* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	4191	707	16.9	156	3.7	3328	79.4
ALAMEDA	176	12	6.8	3	1.7	161	91.5
ALPINE	5	1	20.0	1	20.0	3	60.0
AMADOR	35	6	17.1	2	5.7	27	77.1
BUTTE	15	0	0.0	0	0.0	15	100.0
CALAVERAS	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
COLUSA	111	12	10.8	8	7.2	91	82.0
CONTRA COSTA	5	3	60.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
DEL NORTE	26	6	23.1	2	7.7	18	69.2
EL DORADO	192	31	16.1	9	4.7	152	79.2
FRESNO	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	4	66.7
GLENN	29	4	13.8	3	10.3	22	75.9
HUMBOLDT	30	10	33.3	3	10.0	17	56.7
IMPERIAL	7	3	42.9	1	14.3	3	42.9
INYO	72	16	22.2	3	4.2	53	73.6
KERN	12	2	16.7	0	0.0	10	83.3
KINGS	16	3	18.8	1	6.3	12	75.0
LAKE	7	2	28.6	0	0.0	5	71.4
LASSEN	872	113	13.0	23	2.6	736	84.4
LOS ANGELES	35	4	11.4	1	2.9	30	85.7
MADERA	30	5	16.7	1	3.3	24	80.0
MARIN	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	17	3	17.6	1	5.9	13	76.5
MERCED	52	11	21.2	4	7.7	37	71.2
MODOC	4	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
MONO	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	69	14	20.3	7	10.1	48	69.6
NAPA	15	3	20.0	0	0.0	12	80.0
NEVADA	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
ORANGE	167	22	13.2	2	1.2	143	85.6
PLACER	51	8	15.7	1	2.0	42	82.4
PLUMAS	4	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0
RIVERSIDE	222	24	10.8	9	4.1	189	85.1
SACRAMENTO	196	41	20.9	5	2.6	150	76.5
SAN BENITO	13	5	38.5	0	0.0	8	61.5
SAN BERNARDINO	284	63	22.2	11	3.9	210	73.9
SAN DIEGO	358	95	26.5	22	6.1	241	67.3
SAN FRANCISCO	76	29	38.2	0	0.0	47	61.8
SAN JOAQUIN	126	9	7.1	3	2.4	114	90.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	19	1	5.3	2	10.5	16	84.2
SAN MATEO	76	8	10.5	4	5.3	64	84.2
SANTA BARBARA	22	3	13.6	1	4.5	18	81.8
SANTA CLARA	139	41	29.5	1	0.7	97	69.8
SANTA CRUZ	22	3	13.6	1	4.5	18	81.8
SHASTA	36	6	16.7	1	2.8	29	80.6
SIERRA	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	3	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7
SOLANO	38	8	21.1	1	2.6	29	76.3
SONOMA	58	7	12.1	3	5.2	48	82.8
STANISLAUS	169	21	12.4	6	3.6	142	84.0
SUTTER	14	2	14.3	0	0.0	12	85.7
TEHAMA	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
TRINITY	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
TULARE	92	18	19.6	2	2.2	72	78.3
TUOLUMNE	8	3	37.5	0	0.0	5	62.5
VENTURA	99	13	13.1	5	5.1	81	81.8
YOLO	26	3	11.5	2	7.7	21	80.8
YUBA	9	1	11.1	1	11.1	7	77.8

\*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.



TABLE 24a: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS\*  
BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	20530	100.0	16525	80.5	4005	19.5
UNDER 18	375	1.8	295	78.7	80	21.3
18-20	1943	9.5	1586	81.6	357	18.4
21-30	7019	34.2	5780	82.3	1239	17.7
31-40	4849	23.6	3804	78.4	1045	21.6
41-50	3369	16.4	2565	76.1	804	23.9
51-59	1335	6.5	1079	80.8	256	19.2
60-69	542	2.6	458	84.5	84	15.5
70 & ABOVE	311	1.5	237	76.2	74	23.8
AGE UNKNOWN	787	3.8	721	91.6	66	8.4

\*These data are derived from the 2001 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS  
BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8328	100.0	6582	79.0	1746	21.0
UNDER 18	198	2.4	163	82.3	35	17.7
18-20	824	9.9	664	80.6	160	19.4
21-30	2993	35.9	2432	81.3	561	18.7
31-40	1977	23.7	1518	76.8	459	23.2
41-50	1443	17.3	1100	76.2	343	23.8
51-60	550	6.6	443	80.5	107	19.5
61-70	215	2.6	168	78.1	47	21.9
71 & ABOVE	128	1.5	94	73.4	34	26.6

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS\*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18631	100.0	8259	44.3	8109	43.5	1802	9.7	381	2.0	80	0.4
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14066	75.5	4371	31.1	7559	53.7	1697	12.1	363	2.6	76	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3070	16.5	2805	91.4	224	7.3	34	1.1	7	0.2	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1469	7.9	1061	72.2	323	22.0	71	4.8	11	0.7	3	0.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	19	0.1	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	7	0.0	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3

\*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8328	100.0	5565	66.8	2154	25.9	472	5.7	114	1.4	23	0.3
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	4000	48.0	1765	44.1	1719	43.0	397	9.9	99	2.5	20	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3050	36.6	2793	91.6	217	7.1	33	1.1	7	0.2	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1256	15.1	987	78.6	217	17.3	42	3.3	8	0.6	2	0.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	17	0.2	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	5	0.1	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0

\*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18631	100.0	8259	44.3	8109	43.5	1802	9.7	381	2.0	80	0.4
FATAL	960*	5.2	697	72.6	216	22.5	41	4.3	6	0.6	0	0.0
INJURY	17671	94.8	7562	42.8	7893	44.7	1761	10.0	375	2.1	80	0.5

\*The records of 82.3% (790) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8328	100.0	5565	66.8	2154	25.9	472	5.7	114	1.4	23	0.3
FATAL	845	10.1	639	75.6	170	20.1	32	3.8	4	0.5	0	0.0
INJURY	7483	89.9	4926	65.8	1984	26.5	440	5.9	110	1.5	23	0.3

\*The records of 79.3% (670) of these cases indicated they were deceased. These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 27: 2001 REPORTED\* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)  
LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	105	1.0
.01	53	0.5
.02	66	0.6
.03	81	0.7
.04	106	1.0
.05	120	1.1
.06	179	1.6
.07	248	2.3
.08	423	3.9
.09	398	3.6
.10	479	4.4
.11	548	5.0
.12	587	5.3
.13	587	5.3
.14	592	5.4
.15	674	6.1
.16	687	6.3
.17	649	5.9
.18	596	5.4
.19	585	5.3
.20	546	5.0
.21	463	4.2
.22	426	3.9
.23	344	3.1
.24	280	2.6
.25	245	2.2
.26	182	1.7
.27	149	1.4
.28	144	1.3
.29	91	0.8
.30	84	0.8
.31	66	0.6
.32	50	0.5
.33	45	0.4
.34	30	0.3
.35	17	0.2
.36	17	0.2
.37	12	0.1
.38	16	0.2
.39	5	0.1
.40	2	0.0
.41	4	0.0
.42	5	0.1
.43	1	0.0
.44	2	0.0
.45	2	0.0
	-----	-----
TOTAL	10886	100.0
	MEAN BAC .163	

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-accident drivers (55.7% of the records showed BAC levels).

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

### DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

### DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

### Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

## HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004 prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations

on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury than for those with injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and alcohol treatment program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

- SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.
- SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.
- AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.
- AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.
- SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.
- AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.
- SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.
- SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a

person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

- AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.
- SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.
- SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.
- AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.
- SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/94, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate



their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/93, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior

DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90 for vessel provisions and 1/1/92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/89, allows the 4th DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

- AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.
- SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.
- SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.
- SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.
- SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.
- AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).
- AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.
- SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.
- SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.
- SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/87, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.
- SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.
- AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take

a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petrus), effective 1/1/85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/84, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The

only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

## REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2003). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (2002). *2002 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.

Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington DC.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and*

---

*administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

## GLOSSARY

### ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

### ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

### ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

### ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

### BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

### CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

### COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

**DUI**

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

**LOGISTIC REGRESSION**

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

**MAJOR CONVICTION**

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

**p**

$p$  stands for probability. For example, if  $p < .05$ , the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

**QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS**

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

**SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)**

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

**APPENDIX A**

Assembly Bill No. 757

**CHAPTER 450**

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code, relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

**LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST**

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-



alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

## APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		177056	149242	84.3	27814	15.7	74506	42.1	78610	44.4	12819	7.2	11121	6.3
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	40	39	97.5	1	2.5	21	52.5	11	27.5	5	12.5	3	7.5
	18-20	426	348	81.7	78	18.3	166	39.0	152	35.7	53	12.4	55	12.9
	21-30	2058	1799	87.4	259	12.6	594	28.9	872	42.4	331	16.1	261	12.7
	31-40	1470	1218	82.9	252	17.1	519	35.3	489	33.3	303	20.6	159	10.8
	41-50	1055	855	81.0	200	19.0	451	42.7	228	21.6	273	25.9	103	9.8
	51-60	374	310	82.9	64	17.1	191	51.1	51	13.6	93	24.9	39	10.4
	61-70	106	94	88.7	12	11.3	54	50.9	10	9.4	29	27.4	13	12.3
	71 & ABOVE	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	9	69.2	0	0.0	4	30.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5542	4675	84.4	867	15.6	2005	36.2	1813	32.7	1091	19.7	633	11.4
ALPINE	18-20	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	21-30	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	15	88.2	2	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	21	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	51-60	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
	TOTAL	73	62	84.9	11	15.1	66	90.4	4	5.5	0	0.0	3	4.1
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	61	50	82.0	11	18.0	46	75.4	12	19.7	0	0.0	3	4.9
	31-40	38	26	68.4	12	31.6	29	76.3	7	18.4	1	2.6	1	2.6
	41-50	72	53	73.6	19	26.4	62	86.1	6	8.3	2	2.8	2	2.8
	51-60	35	26	74.3	9	25.7	27	77.1	3	8.6	1	2.9	4	11.4
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	230	176	76.5	54	23.5	185	80.4	30	13.0	5	2.2	10	4.3
BUTTE	UNDER 18	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	12	70.6	4	23.5	0	0.0	1	5.9
	18-20	146	121	82.9	25	17.1	126	86.3	16	11.0	2	1.4	2	1.4
	21-30	468	384	82.1	84	17.9	344	73.5	95	20.3	11	2.4	18	3.8
	31-40	292	233	79.8	59	20.2	231	79.1	41	14.0	5	1.7	15	5.1
	41-50	221	172	77.8	49	22.2	178	80.5	29	13.1	6	2.7	8	3.6
	51-60	99	75	75.8	24	24.2	91	91.9	7	7.1	1	1.0	0	0.0
	61-70	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	23	88.5	1	3.8	1	3.8	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1276	1027	80.5	249	19.5	1012	79.3	193	15.1	26	2.0	45	3.5

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	1	6.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
	21-30	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	54	84.4	10	15.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	72	52	72.2	20	27.8	60	83.3	5	6.9	2	2.8	5	6.9
	41-50	87	74	85.1	13	14.9	78	89.7	4	4.6	3	3.4	2	2.3
	51-60	42	35	83.3	7	16.7	40	95.2	2	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	299	247	82.6	52	17.4	263	88.0	23	7.7	6	2.0	7	2.3
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	24	100.0	0	0.0	6	25.0	18	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	74	70	94.6	4	5.4	30	40.5	42	56.8	2	2.7	0	0.0
	31-40	47	40	85.1	7	14.9	19	40.4	27	57.4	1	2.1	0	0.0
	41-50	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	26	57.8	15	33.3	1	2.2	3	6.7
	51-60	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	13	86.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	212	188	88.7	24	11.3	101	47.6	104	49.1	4	1.9	3	1.4
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	28	54.9	16	31.4	3	5.9	4	7.8
	18-20	387	336	86.8	51	13.2	189	48.8	130	33.6	14	3.6	54	14.0
	21-30	1638	1410	86.1	228	13.9	662	40.4	571	34.9	154	9.4	251	15.3
	31-40	1106	876	79.2	230	20.8	532	48.1	323	29.2	120	10.8	131	11.8
	41-50	858	675	78.7	183	21.3	473	55.1	187	21.8	111	12.9	87	10.1
	51-60	323	272	84.2	51	15.8	212	65.6	53	16.4	32	9.9	26	8.0
	61-70	68	61	89.7	7	10.3	40	58.8	16	23.5	9	13.2	3	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	20	80.0	4	16.0	1	4.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4456	3688	82.8	768	17.2	2156	48.4	1300	29.2	444	10.0	556	12.5
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	16	66.7	5	20.8	0	0.0	3	12.5
	21-30	94	81	86.2	13	13.8	67	71.3	11	11.7	1	1.1	15	16.0
	31-40	97	66	68.0	31	32.0	82	84.5	4	4.1	2	2.1	9	9.3
	41-50	107	78	72.9	29	27.1	92	86.0	6	5.6	0	0.0	9	8.4
	51-60	34	32	94.1	2	5.9	32	94.1	1	2.9	0	0.0	1	2.9
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	11	84.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	15.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	375	292	77.9	83	22.1	305	81.3	28	7.5	3	0.8	39	10.4

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	18	81.8	3	13.6	1	4.5	0	0.0
	18-20	97	85	87.6	12	12.4	80	82.5	12	12.4	0	0.0	5	5.2
	21-30	384	334	87.0	50	13.0	309	80.5	67	17.4	2	0.5	6	1.6
	31-40	285	213	74.7	72	25.3	244	85.6	30	10.5	3	1.1	8	2.8
	41-50	337	281	83.4	56	16.6	308	91.4	19	5.6	2	0.6	8	2.4
	51-60	120	93	77.5	27	22.5	111	92.5	5	4.2	3	2.5	1	0.8
	61-70	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	28	96.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	9	81.8	1	9.1	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1285	1053	81.9	232	18.1	1107	86.1	137	10.7	11	0.9	30	2.3
FRESNO	UNDER 18	59	52	88.1	7	11.9	21	35.6	36	61.0	1	1.7	1	1.7
	18-20	536	483	90.1	53	9.9	157	29.3	342	63.8	13	2.4	24	4.5
	21-30	2379	2169	91.2	210	8.8	413	17.4	1797	75.5	92	3.9	77	3.2
	31-40	1388	1163	83.8	225	16.2	298	21.5	987	71.1	64	4.6	39	2.8
	41-50	882	742	84.1	140	15.9	276	31.3	514	58.3	54	6.1	38	4.3
	51-60	328	294	89.6	34	10.4	126	38.4	174	53.0	16	4.9	12	3.7
	61-70	78	68	87.2	10	12.8	32	41.0	39	50.0	4	5.1	3	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	26	18	69.2	8	30.8	17	65.4	6	23.1	2	7.7	1	3.8
	TOTAL	5676	4989	87.9	687	12.1	1340	23.6	3895	68.6	246	4.3	195	3.4
GLENN	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	13	46.4	14	50.0	0	0.0	1	3.6
	21-30	104	87	83.7	17	16.3	46	44.2	52	50.0	0	0.0	6	5.8
	31-40	97	73	75.3	24	24.7	50	51.5	45	46.4	0	0.0	2	2.1
	41-50	40	34	85.0	6	15.0	26	65.0	10	25.0	0	0.0	4	10.0
	51-60	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	19	76.0	5	20.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	307	253	82.4	54	17.6	164	53.4	129	42.0	0	0.0	14	4.6
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	22	81.5	1	3.7	0	0.0	4	14.8
	18-20	125	99	79.2	26	20.8	92	73.6	15	12.0	1	0.8	17	13.6
	21-30	481	373	77.5	108	22.5	407	84.6	29	6.0	10	2.1	35	7.3
	31-40	246	191	77.6	55	22.4	201	81.7	20	8.1	2	0.8	23	9.3
	41-50	203	146	71.9	57	28.1	184	90.6	4	2.0	1	0.5	14	6.9
	51-60	93	80	86.0	13	14.0	89	95.7	2	2.2	0	0.0	2	2.2
	61-70	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	22	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1209	937	77.5	272	22.5	1026	84.9	72	6.0	14	1.2	97	8.0

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	101	86	85.1	15	14.9	27	26.7	62	61.4	1	1.0	11	10.9
	21-30	418	353	84.4	65	15.6	107	25.6	272	65.1	16	3.8	23	5.5
	31-40	285	239	83.9	46	16.1	71	24.9	182	63.9	9	3.2	23	8.1
	41-50	224	199	88.8	25	11.2	72	32.1	142	63.4	0	0.0	10	4.5
	51-60	76	70	92.1	6	7.9	33	43.4	32	42.1	4	5.3	7	9.2
	61-70	38	38	100.0	0	0.0	15	39.5	21	55.3	2	5.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	2	20.0	7	70.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1157	999	86.3	158	13.7	328	28.3	722	62.4	33	2.9	74	6.4
INYO	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	10	47.6	5	23.8	0	0.0	6	28.6
	21-30	71	64	90.1	7	9.9	36	50.7	15	21.1	0	0.0	20	28.2
	31-40	82	68	82.9	14	17.1	53	64.6	14	17.1	2	2.4	13	15.9
	41-50	79	66	83.5	13	16.5	61	77.2	8	10.1	1	1.3	9	11.4
	51-60	43	38	88.4	5	11.6	35	81.4	4	9.3	1	2.3	3	7.0
	61-70	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	9	81.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	18.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	320	273	85.3	47	14.7	214	66.9	49	15.3	4	1.2	53	16.6
KERN	UNDER 18	50	42	84.0	8	16.0	25	50.0	21	42.0	3	6.0	1	2.0
	18-20	395	347	87.8	48	12.2	150	38.0	230	58.2	12	3.0	3	0.8
	21-30	1740	1533	88.1	207	11.9	587	33.7	1025	58.9	90	5.2	38	2.2
	31-40	1126	934	82.9	192	17.1	446	39.6	600	53.3	66	5.9	14	1.2
	41-50	788	648	82.2	140	17.8	396	50.3	312	39.6	60	7.6	20	2.5
	51-60	280	237	84.6	43	15.4	170	60.7	84	30.0	20	7.1	6	2.1
	61-70	90	80	88.9	10	11.1	59	65.6	19	21.1	9	10.0	3	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	28	87.5	4	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4501	3848	85.5	653	14.5	1861	41.3	2295	51.0	260	5.8	85	1.9
KINGS	UNDER 18	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	3	20.0	11	73.3	0	0.0	1	6.7
	18-20	116	107	92.2	9	7.8	32	27.6	74	63.8	4	3.4	6	5.2
	21-30	381	329	86.4	52	13.6	116	30.4	239	62.7	12	3.1	14	3.7
	31-40	223	175	78.5	48	21.5	64	28.7	135	60.5	19	8.5	5	2.2
	41-50	142	121	85.2	21	14.8	55	38.7	70	49.3	15	10.6	2	1.4
	51-60	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	15	38.5	18	46.2	5	12.8	1	2.6
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	3	37.5	2	25.0	2	25.0	1	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	931	790	84.9	141	15.1	289	31.0	554	59.5	58	6.2	30	3.2

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	13	76.5	2	11.8	2	11.8	0	0.0
	18-20	58	50	86.2	8	13.8	40	69.0	15	25.9	0	0.0	3	5.2
	21-30	179	147	82.1	32	17.9	122	68.2	44	24.6	4	2.2	9	5.0
	31-40	155	119	76.8	36	23.2	122	78.7	20	12.9	4	2.6	9	5.8
	41-50	151	111	73.5	40	26.5	136	90.1	6	4.0	4	2.6	5	3.3
	51-60	58	45	77.6	13	22.4	52	89.7	1	1.7	2	3.4	3	5.2
	61-70	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	12	85.7	0	0.0	1	7.1	1	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	9	81.8	1	9.1	1	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	643	504	78.4	139	21.6	506	78.7	89	13.8	18	2.8	30	4.7
LASSEN	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	76	66	86.8	10	13.2	64	84.2	7	9.2	2	2.6	3	3.9
	31-40	76	57	75.0	19	25.0	68	89.5	5	6.6	1	1.3	2	2.6
	41-50	68	49	72.1	19	27.9	60	88.2	6	8.8	0	0.0	2	2.9
	51-60	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	19	95.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	263	205	77.9	58	22.1	233	88.6	19	7.2	4	1.5	7	2.7
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	147	127	86.4	20	13.6	60	40.8	65	44.2	10	6.8	12	8.2
	18-20	2241	1907	85.1	334	14.9	554	24.7	1410	62.9	113	5.0	164	7.3
	21-30	15260	12989	85.1	2271	14.9	3002	19.7	9796	64.2	1179	7.7	1283	8.4
	31-40	11062	9597	86.8	1465	13.2	2331	21.1	6589	59.6	1365	12.3	777	7.0
	41-50	6367	5401	84.8	966	15.2	1775	27.9	3047	47.9	1014	15.9	531	8.3
	51-60	2269	1972	86.9	297	13.1	774	34.1	936	41.3	381	16.8	178	7.8
	61-70	589	543	92.2	46	7.8	240	40.7	182	30.9	124	21.1	43	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	154	140	90.9	14	9.1	78	50.6	39	25.3	32	20.8	5	3.2
	TOTAL	38089	32676	85.8	5413	14.2	8814	23.1	22064	57.9	4218	11.1	2993	7.9
MADERA	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	82	74	90.2	8	9.8	11	13.4	70	85.4	1	1.2	0	0.0
	21-30	347	331	95.4	16	4.6	59	17.0	283	81.6	2	0.6	3	0.9
	31-40	183	170	92.9	13	7.1	47	25.7	131	71.6	3	1.6	2	1.1
	41-50	105	83	79.0	22	21.0	47	44.8	51	48.6	3	2.9	4	3.8
	51-60	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	30	65.2	14	30.4	1	2.2	1	2.2
	61-70	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	6	50.0	3	25.0	3	25.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	782	714	91.3	68	8.7	202	25.8	557	71.2	13	1.7	10	1.3

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	10	76.9	2	15.4	0	0.0	1	7.7
	18-20	90	83	92.2	7	7.8	54	60.0	27	30.0	4	4.4	5	5.6
	21-30	461	371	80.5	90	19.5	263	57.0	154	33.4	15	3.3	29	6.3
	31-40	406	308	75.9	98	24.1	272	67.0	88	21.7	18	4.4	28	6.9
	41-50	322	238	73.9	84	26.1	269	83.5	29	9.0	8	2.5	16	5.0
	51-60	141	111	78.7	30	21.3	119	84.4	8	5.7	7	5.0	7	5.0
	61-70	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	28	96.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1472	1152	78.3	320	21.7	1023	69.5	310	21.1	52	3.5	87	5.9
MARIPOSA	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	5	55.6	3	33.3	0	0.0	1	11.1
	21-30	31	29	93.5	2	6.5	28	90.3	1	3.2	0	0.0	2	6.5
	31-40	25	22	88.0	3	12.0	23	92.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	0	0.0
	41-50	30	22	73.3	8	26.7	23	76.7	3	10.0	0	0.0	4	13.3
	51-60	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	120	99	82.5	21	17.5	104	86.7	7	5.8	2	1.7	7	5.8
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	5	55.6	4	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	78	67	85.9	11	14.1	51	65.4	20	25.6	1	1.3	6	7.7
	21-30	246	209	85.0	37	15.0	138	56.1	76	30.9	4	1.6	28	11.4
	31-40	173	138	79.8	35	20.2	115	66.5	40	23.1	4	2.3	14	8.1
	41-50	150	112	74.7	38	25.3	122	81.3	18	12.0	4	2.7	6	4.0
	51-60	67	56	83.6	11	16.4	59	88.1	2	3.0	0	0.0	6	9.0
	61-70	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	21	95.5	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3
	TOTAL	748	611	81.7	137	18.3	512	68.4	161	21.5	14	1.9	61	8.2
MERCED	UNDER 18	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	6	25.0	17	70.8	0	0.0	1	4.2
	18-20	161	140	87.0	21	13.0	34	21.1	117	72.7	4	2.5	6	3.7
	21-30	714	662	92.7	52	7.3	129	18.1	554	77.6	13	1.8	18	2.5
	31-40	444	391	88.1	53	11.9	102	23.0	309	69.6	22	5.0	11	2.5
	41-50	246	217	88.2	29	11.8	81	32.9	135	54.9	22	8.9	8	3.3
	51-60	94	85	90.4	9	9.6	43	45.7	38	40.4	8	8.5	5	5.3
	61-70	34	31	91.2	3	8.8	17	50.0	12	35.3	5	14.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1728	1555	90.0	173	10.0	421	24.4	1184	68.5	74	4.3	49	2.8

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	32	24	75.0	8	25.0	17	53.1	8	25.0	0	0.0	7	21.9
	31-40	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	20	80.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	4	16.0
	41-50	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	23	95.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.2
	51-60	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	98	75	76.5	23	23.5	77	78.6	9	9.2	0	0.0	12	12.2
MONO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	32	71.1	11	24.4	0	0.0	2	4.4
	31-40	35	31	88.6	4	11.4	28	80.0	6	17.1	0	0.0	1	2.9
	41-50	31	24	77.4	7	22.6	25	80.6	3	9.7	0	0.0	3	9.7
	51-60	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	17	85.0	1	5.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	145	125	86.2	20	13.8	113	77.9	24	16.6	2	1.4	6	4.1
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	45	33	73.3	12	26.7	16	35.6	25	55.6	1	2.2	3	6.7
	18-20	339	309	91.2	30	8.8	73	21.5	250	73.7	8	2.4	8	2.4
	21-30	1473	1318	89.5	155	10.5	279	18.9	1106	75.1	41	2.8	47	3.2
	31-40	754	657	87.1	97	12.9	220	29.2	485	64.3	27	3.6	22	2.9
	41-50	494	403	81.6	91	18.4	240	48.6	218	44.1	21	4.3	15	3.0
	51-60	202	173	85.6	29	14.4	119	58.9	66	32.7	13	6.4	4	2.0
	61-70	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	30	73.2	11	26.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	7	58.3	2	16.7	3	25.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3360	2942	87.6	418	12.4	984	29.3	2163	64.4	114	3.4	99	2.9
NAPA	UNDER 18	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	9	64.3	5	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	106	90	84.9	16	15.1	55	51.9	49	46.2	2	1.9	0	0.0
	21-30	356	307	86.2	49	13.8	161	45.2	184	51.7	2	0.6	9	2.5
	31-40	270	222	82.2	48	17.8	143	53.0	112	41.5	8	3.0	7	2.6
	41-50	190	137	72.1	53	27.9	139	73.2	33	17.4	13	6.8	5	2.6
	51-60	97	79	81.4	18	18.6	84	86.6	13	13.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	20	87.0	1	4.3	2	8.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1063	873	82.1	190	17.9	617	58.0	398	37.4	27	2.5	21	2.0



TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	31	86.1	5	13.9	29	80.6	5	13.9	2	5.6	0	0.0
	21-30	153	136	88.9	17	11.1	122	79.7	26	17.0	2	1.3	3	2.0
	31-40	147	109	74.1	38	25.9	116	78.9	24	16.3	4	2.7	3	2.0
	41-50	134	98	73.1	36	26.9	122	91.0	8	6.0	1	0.7	3	2.2
	51-60	72	66	91.7	6	8.3	67	93.1	3	4.2	1	1.4	1	1.4
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	570	467	81.9	103	18.1	481	84.4	68	11.9	10	1.8	11	1.9
ORANGE	UNDER 18	63	51	81.0	12	19.0	36	57.1	19	30.2	0	0.0	8	12.7
	18-20	817	665	81.4	152	18.6	422	51.7	324	39.7	14	1.7	57	7.0
	21-30	4759	4018	84.4	741	15.6	2057	43.2	2184	45.9	126	2.6	392	8.2
	31-40	3328	2786	83.7	542	16.3	1615	48.5	1355	40.7	91	2.7	267	8.0
	41-50	2130	1688	79.2	442	20.8	1321	62.0	583	27.4	65	3.1	161	7.6
	51-60	837	694	82.9	143	17.1	581	69.4	168	20.1	24	2.9	64	7.6
	61-70	209	170	81.3	39	18.7	159	76.1	33	15.8	7	3.3	10	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	34	85.0	3	7.5	0	0.0	3	7.5
	TOTAL	12183	10101	82.9	2082	17.1	6225	51.1	4669	38.3	327	2.7	962	7.9
PLACER	UNDER 18	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	21	91.3	1	4.3	0	0.0	1	4.3
	18-20	145	109	75.2	36	24.8	122	84.1	19	13.1	2	1.4	2	1.4
	21-30	590	493	83.6	97	16.4	444	75.3	114	19.3	15	2.5	17	2.9
	31-40	393	302	76.8	91	23.2	329	83.7	47	12.0	8	2.0	9	2.3
	41-50	341	269	78.9	72	21.1	299	87.7	30	8.8	6	1.8	6	1.8
	51-60	119	101	84.9	18	15.1	108	90.8	4	3.4	6	5.0	1	0.8
	61-70	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	28	82.4	3	8.8	1	2.9	2	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	0	0.0	2	28.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1652	1324	80.1	328	19.9	1356	82.1	218	13.2	40	2.4	38	2.3
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	13	9	69.2	4	30.8	12	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7
	21-30	49	43	87.8	6	12.2	42	85.7	3	6.1	1	2.0	3	6.1
	31-40	56	47	83.9	9	16.1	47	83.9	3	5.4	1	1.8	5	8.9
	41-50	73	62	84.9	11	15.1	63	86.3	2	2.7	5	6.8	3	4.1
	51-60	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	231	195	84.4	36	15.6	203	87.9	8	3.5	7	3.0	13	5.6

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	96	78	81.3	18	18.8	39	40.6	53	55.2	2	2.1	2	2.1
	18-20	832	720	86.5	112	13.5	326	39.2	462	55.5	23	2.8	21	2.5
	21-30	3324	2965	89.2	359	10.8	1023	30.8	2048	61.6	165	5.0	88	2.6
	31-40	2204	1917	87.0	287	13.0	775	35.2	1220	55.4	147	6.7	62	2.8
	41-50	1428	1197	83.8	231	16.2	750	52.5	536	37.5	98	6.9	44	3.1
	51-60	575	484	84.2	91	15.8	346	60.2	170	29.6	41	7.1	18	3.1
	61-70	185	152	82.2	33	17.8	119	64.3	48	25.9	11	5.9	7	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	56	46	82.1	10	17.9	43	76.8	11	19.6	2	3.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	8700	7559	86.9	1141	13.1	3421	39.3	4548	52.3	489	5.6	242	2.8
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	83	65	78.3	18	21.7	54	65.1	16	19.3	5	6.0	8	9.6
	18-20	715	596	83.4	119	16.6	371	51.9	210	29.4	78	10.9	56	7.8
	21-30	3065	2504	81.7	561	18.3	1475	48.1	937	30.6	419	13.7	234	7.6
	31-40	1888	1471	77.9	417	22.1	946	50.1	442	23.4	373	19.8	127	6.7
	41-50	1278	976	76.4	302	23.6	743	58.1	196	15.3	246	19.2	93	7.3
	51-60	415	345	83.1	70	16.9	251	60.5	58	14.0	78	18.8	28	6.7
	61-70	136	104	76.5	32	23.5	92	67.6	17	12.5	19	14.0	8	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	22	71.0	3	9.7	6	19.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	7611	6089	80.0	1522	20.0	3954	52.0	1879	24.7	1224	16.1	554	7.3
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	2	33.3	3	50.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	37	33	89.2	4	10.8	13	35.1	23	62.2	0	0.0	1	2.7
	21-30	124	118	95.2	6	4.8	18	14.5	101	81.5	2	1.6	3	2.4
	31-40	80	67	83.8	13	16.2	28	35.0	49	61.3	1	1.2	2	2.5
	41-50	56	47	83.9	9	16.1	24	42.9	29	51.8	1	1.8	2	3.6
	51-60	35	28	80.0	7	20.0	18	51.4	17	48.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	3	42.9	3	42.9	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	345	306	88.7	39	11.3	106	30.7	225	65.2	4	1.2	10	2.9
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	21	47.7	20	45.5	1	2.3	2	4.5
	18-20	805	693	86.1	112	13.9	350	43.5	394	48.9	39	4.8	22	2.7
	21-30	3870	3380	87.3	490	12.7	1277	33.0	2238	57.8	240	6.2	115	3.0
	31-40	2670	2260	84.6	410	15.4	953	35.7	1392	52.1	256	9.6	69	2.6
	41-50	1837	1537	83.7	300	16.3	875	47.6	694	37.8	219	11.9	49	2.7
	51-60	701	611	87.2	90	12.8	381	54.4	231	33.0	64	9.1	25	3.6
	61-70	197	181	91.9	16	8.1	130	66.0	30	15.2	26	13.2	11	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	60	52	86.7	8	13.3	40	66.7	13	21.7	6	10.0	1	1.7
	TOTAL	10184	8750	85.9	1434	14.1	4027	39.5	5012	49.2	851	8.4	294	2.9

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	171	142	83.0	29	17.0	97	56.7	60	35.1	8	4.7	6	3.5
	18-20	1508	1244	82.5	264	17.5	798	52.9	578	38.3	71	4.7	61	4.0
	21-30	6823	5717	83.8	1106	16.2	3345	49.0	2623	38.4	447	6.6	408	6.0
	31-40	3817	3106	81.4	711	18.6	1776	46.5	1504	39.4	333	8.7	204	5.3
	41-50	2437	1951	80.1	486	19.9	1373	56.3	756	31.0	187	7.7	121	5.0
	51-60	916	744	81.2	172	18.8	571	62.3	242	26.4	62	6.8	41	4.5
	61-70	188	157	83.5	31	16.5	132	70.2	32	17.0	15	8.0	9	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	64	55	85.9	9	14.1	49	76.6	11	17.2	4	6.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	15924	13116	82.4	2808	17.6	8141	51.1	5806	36.5	1127	7.1	850	5.3
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
	18-20	107	82	76.6	25	23.4	39	36.4	21	19.6	10	9.3	37	34.6
	21-30	698	577	82.7	121	17.3	279	40.0	138	19.8	83	11.9	198	28.4
	31-40	470	399	84.9	71	15.1	247	52.6	60	12.8	81	17.2	82	17.4
	41-50	274	240	87.6	34	12.4	145	52.9	33	12.0	48	17.5	48	17.5
	51-60	116	95	81.9	21	18.1	76	65.5	8	6.9	18	15.5	14	12.1
	61-70	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	8	47.1	1	5.9	4	23.5	4	23.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	3	42.9	1	14.3	3	42.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1693	1420	83.9	273	16.1	800	47.3	262	15.5	248	14.6	383	22.6
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	49	40	81.6	9	18.4	20	40.8	24	49.0	0	0.0	5	10.2
	18-20	340	304	89.4	36	10.6	110	32.4	194	57.1	14	4.1	22	6.5
	21-30	1638	1484	90.6	154	9.4	409	25.0	1055	64.4	88	5.4	86	5.3
	31-40	1035	871	84.2	164	15.8	379	36.6	522	50.4	82	7.9	52	5.0
	41-50	678	555	81.9	123	18.1	327	48.2	242	35.7	71	10.5	38	5.6
	51-60	243	211	86.8	32	13.2	117	48.1	70	28.8	39	16.0	17	7.0
	61-70	73	66	90.4	7	9.6	36	49.3	24	32.9	5	6.8	8	11.0
	71 & ABOVE	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	14	50.0	10	35.7	3	10.7	1	3.6
	TOTAL	4084	3552	87.0	532	13.0	1412	34.6	2141	52.4	302	7.4	229	5.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	43	37	86.0	6	14.0	34	79.1	9	20.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	302	243	80.5	59	19.5	217	71.9	77	25.5	4	1.3	4	1.3
	21-30	908	730	80.4	178	19.6	608	67.0	261	28.7	18	2.0	21	2.3
	31-40	474	373	78.7	101	21.3	322	67.9	134	28.3	9	1.9	9	1.9
	41-50	410	322	78.5	88	21.5	317	77.3	76	18.5	10	2.4	7	1.7
	51-60	149	115	77.2	34	22.8	130	87.2	17	11.4	1	0.7	1	0.7
	61-70	45	37	82.2	8	17.8	36	80.0	9	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2343	1869	79.8	474	20.2	1675	71.5	584	24.9	42	1.8	42	1.8

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	15	53.6	7	25.0	0	0.0	6	21.4
	18-20	278	229	82.4	49	17.6	106	38.1	113	40.6	11	4.0	48	17.3
	21-30	1436	1241	86.4	195	13.6	499	34.7	662	46.1	61	4.2	214	14.9
	31-40	934	768	82.2	166	17.8	396	42.4	337	36.1	66	7.1	135	14.5
	41-50	641	509	79.4	132	20.6	355	55.4	170	26.5	32	5.0	84	13.1
	51-60	267	215	80.5	52	19.5	166	62.2	44	16.5	19	7.1	38	14.2
	61-70	75	60	80.0	15	20.0	47	62.7	15	20.0	4	5.3	9	12.0
	71 & ABOVE	19	10	52.6	9	47.4	17	89.5	0	0.0	1	5.3	1	5.3
	TOTAL	3678	3055	83.1	623	16.9	1601	43.5	1348	36.7	194	5.3	535	14.5
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	11	30.6	24	66.7	0	0.0	1	2.8
	18-20	191	155	81.2	36	18.8	87	45.5	90	47.1	3	1.6	11	5.8
	21-30	1116	900	80.6	216	19.4	489	43.8	555	49.7	32	2.9	40	3.6
	31-40	573	450	78.5	123	21.5	278	48.5	262	45.7	16	2.8	17	3.0
	41-50	415	312	75.2	103	24.8	257	61.9	133	32.0	21	5.1	4	1.0
	51-60	160	125	78.1	35	21.9	114	71.3	38	23.7	3	1.9	5	3.1
	61-70	27	20	74.1	7	25.9	19	70.4	8	29.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	10	62.5	6	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2534	2008	79.2	526	20.8	1265	49.9	1116	44.0	75	3.0	78	3.1
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	55	44	80.0	11	20.0	24	43.6	19	34.5	3	5.5	9	16.4
	18-20	475	396	83.4	79	16.6	141	29.7	267	56.2	13	2.7	54	11.4
	21-30	2956	2573	87.0	383	13.0	745	25.2	1723	58.3	116	3.9	372	12.6
	31-40	1735	1472	84.8	263	15.2	580	33.4	845	48.7	69	4.0	241	13.9
	41-50	1086	896	82.5	190	17.5	523	48.2	371	34.2	58	5.3	134	12.3
	51-60	402	340	84.6	62	15.4	212	52.7	119	29.6	20	5.0	51	12.7
	61-70	88	79	89.8	9	10.2	50	56.8	24	27.3	5	5.7	9	10.2
	71 & ABOVE	25	24	96.0	1	4.0	19	76.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	TOTAL	6822	5824	85.4	998	14.6	2294	33.6	3372	49.4	284	4.2	872	12.8
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	12	66.7	6	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	162	129	79.6	33	20.4	92	56.8	62	38.3	2	1.2	6	3.7
	21-30	725	595	82.1	130	17.9	393	54.2	288	39.7	17	2.3	27	3.7
	31-40	423	346	81.8	77	18.2	267	63.1	135	31.9	15	3.5	6	1.4
	41-50	353	271	76.8	82	23.2	251	71.1	84	23.8	12	3.4	6	1.7
	51-60	140	102	72.9	38	27.1	119	85.0	15	10.7	2	1.4	4	2.9
	61-70	35	29	82.9	6	17.1	29	82.9	5	14.3	1	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1859	1490	80.2	369	19.8	1166	62.7	595	32.0	49	2.6	49	2.6

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	29	18	62.1	11	37.9	23	79.3	1	3.4	2	6.9	3	10.3
	18-20	100	88	88.0	12	12.0	84	84.0	6	6.0	2	2.0	8	8.0
	21-30	384	312	81.3	72	18.8	316	82.3	40	10.4	9	2.3	19	4.9
	31-40	291	212	72.9	79	27.1	247	84.9	20	6.9	7	2.4	17	5.8
	41-50	285	209	73.3	76	26.7	259	90.9	15	5.3	5	1.8	6	2.1
	51-60	110	88	80.0	22	20.0	101	91.8	5	4.5	1	0.9	3	2.7
	61-70	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	28	93.3	1	3.3	0	0.0	1	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1244	968	77.8	276	22.2	1073	86.3	88	7.1	26	2.1	57	4.6
SIERRA	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	12.5
	41-50	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	54	48	88.9	6	11.1	51	94.4	1	1.9	0	0.0	2	3.7
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	92	78	84.8	14	15.2	69	75.0	14	15.2	2	2.2	7	7.6
	31-40	102	83	81.4	19	18.6	79	77.5	15	14.7	1	1.0	7	6.9
	41-50	91	70	76.9	21	23.1	82	90.1	3	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3
	51-60	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	32	86.5	3	8.1	1	2.7	1	2.7
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	369	304	82.4	65	17.6	308	83.5	36	9.8	7	1.9	18	4.9
SOLANO	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	14	66.7	5	23.8	0	0.0	2	9.5
	18-20	185	165	89.2	20	10.8	89	48.1	60	32.4	21	11.4	15	8.1
	21-30	567	495	87.3	72	12.7	199	35.1	234	41.3	90	15.9	44	7.8
	31-40	396	330	83.3	66	16.7	168	42.4	114	28.8	95	24.0	19	4.8
	41-50	307	246	80.1	61	19.9	164	53.4	46	15.0	73	23.8	24	7.8
	51-60	126	109	86.5	17	13.5	75	59.5	9	7.1	37	29.4	5	4.0
	61-70	40	36	90.0	4	10.0	18	45.0	9	22.5	10	25.0	3	7.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	0	0.0	3	42.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1649	1406	85.3	243	14.7	731	44.3	477	28.9	329	20.0	112	6.8

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	37	29	78.4	8	21.6	20	54.1	15	40.5	1	2.7	1	2.7
	18-20	302	263	87.1	39	12.9	165	54.6	122	40.4	4	1.3	11	3.6
	21-30	1150	983	85.5	167	14.5	536	46.6	537	46.7	27	2.3	50	4.3
	31-40	634	508	80.1	126	19.9	394	62.1	214	33.8	12	1.9	14	2.2
	41-50	475	353	74.3	122	25.7	369	77.7	69	14.5	16	3.4	21	4.4
	51-60	229	181	79.0	48	21.0	192	83.8	26	11.4	7	3.1	4	1.7
	61-70	61	46	75.4	15	24.6	50	82.0	7	11.5	1	1.6	3	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	22	95.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.3
	TOTAL	2911	2379	81.7	532	18.3	1748	60.0	990	34.0	68	2.3	105	3.6
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	14	50.0	13	46.4	1	3.6	0	0.0
	18-20	264	240	90.9	24	9.1	103	39.0	144	54.5	4	1.5	13	4.9
	21-30	931	828	88.9	103	11.1	316	33.9	547	58.8	36	3.9	32	3.4
	31-40	527	418	79.3	109	20.7	224	42.5	253	48.0	29	5.5	21	4.0
	41-50	356	273	76.7	83	23.3	193	54.2	128	36.0	20	5.6	15	4.2
	51-60	136	119	87.5	17	12.5	76	55.9	47	34.6	8	5.9	5	3.7
	61-70	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	16	55.2	8	27.6	1	3.4	4	13.8
	71 & ABOVE	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	7	53.8	3	23.1	1	7.7	2	15.4
	TOTAL	2284	1940	84.9	344	15.1	949	41.5	1143	50.0	100	4.4	92	4.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	5	55.6	0	0.0	3	33.3	1	11.1
	18-20	72	63	87.5	9	12.5	38	52.8	25	34.7	0	0.0	9	12.5
	21-30	291	254	87.3	37	12.7	126	43.3	134	46.0	10	3.4	21	7.2
	31-40	163	140	85.9	23	14.1	84	51.5	55	33.7	9	5.5	15	9.2
	41-50	114	95	83.3	19	16.7	72	63.2	29	25.4	3	2.6	10	8.8
	51-60	46	44	95.7	2	4.3	25	54.3	15	32.6	2	4.3	4	8.7
	61-70	17	17	100.0	0	0.0	13	76.5	2	11.8	0	0.0	2	11.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	716	623	87.0	93	13.0	367	51.3	260	36.3	27	3.8	62	8.7
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	86	75	87.2	11	12.8	56	65.1	30	34.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	365	327	89.6	38	10.4	210	57.5	148	40.5	4	1.1	3	0.8
	31-40	302	240	79.5	62	20.5	228	75.5	67	22.2	3	1.0	4	1.3
	41-50	309	227	73.5	82	26.5	259	83.8	40	12.9	5	1.6	5	1.6
	51-60	87	73	83.9	14	16.1	73	83.9	14	16.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	38	32	84.2	6	15.8	33	86.8	5	13.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1199	983	82.0	216	18.0	869	72.5	306	25.5	12	1.0	12	1.0

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	13	92.9	1	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	54	47	87.0	7	13.0	52	96.3	1	1.9	1	1.9	0	0.0
	31-40	48	35	72.9	13	27.1	46	95.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.2
	41-50	67	53	79.1	14	20.9	63	94.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	6.0
	51-60	29	28	96.6	1	3.4	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	225	186	82.7	39	17.3	216	96.0	2	0.9	1	0.4	6	2.7
TULARE	UNDER 18	40	37	92.5	3	7.5	6	15.0	34	85.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	389	365	93.8	24	6.2	81	20.8	300	77.1	2	0.5	6	1.5
	21-30	1491	1359	91.1	132	8.9	258	17.3	1190	79.8	29	1.9	14	0.9
	31-40	857	755	88.1	102	11.9	192	22.4	627	73.2	16	1.9	22	2.6
	41-50	463	396	85.5	67	14.5	152	32.8	281	60.7	19	4.1	11	2.4
	51-60	150	135	90.0	15	10.0	57	38.0	88	58.7	0	0.0	5	3.3
	61-70	36	33	91.7	3	8.3	22	61.1	13	36.1	0	0.0	1	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3437	3089	89.9	348	10.1	777	22.6	2535	73.8	66	1.9	59	1.7
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	34	94.4	2	5.6	34	94.4	2	5.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	123	96	78.0	27	22.0	117	95.1	6	4.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	103	82	79.6	21	20.4	98	95.1	4	3.9	0	0.0	1	1.0
	41-50	101	72	71.3	29	28.7	97	96.0	2	2.0	1	1.0	1	1.0
	51-60	49	40	81.6	9	18.4	48	98.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	434	340	78.3	94	21.7	416	95.9	15	3.5	1	0.2	2	0.5
VENTURA	UNDER 18	41	38	92.7	3	7.3	23	56.1	18	43.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	323	273	84.5	50	15.5	146	45.2	161	49.8	4	1.2	12	3.7
	21-30	1549	1326	85.6	223	14.4	548	35.4	918	59.3	45	2.9	38	2.5
	31-40	1012	837	82.7	175	17.3	411	40.6	545	53.9	33	3.3	23	2.3
	41-50	727	567	78.0	160	22.0	442	60.8	251	34.5	20	2.8	14	1.9
	51-60	249	199	79.9	50	20.1	166	66.7	70	28.1	8	3.2	5	2.0
	61-70	61	51	83.6	10	16.4	45	73.8	12	19.7	1	1.6	3	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	19	82.6	3	13.0	1	4.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3985	3309	83.0	676	17.0	1800	45.2	1978	49.6	112	2.8	95	2.4

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	10	58.8	7	41.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	127	111	87.4	16	12.6	67	52.8	45	35.4	6	4.7	9	7.1
	21-30	411	370	90.0	41	10.0	182	44.3	194	47.2	10	2.4	25	6.1
	31-40	251	212	84.5	39	15.5	139	55.4	96	38.2	11	4.4	5	2.0
	41-50	164	138	84.1	26	15.9	126	76.8	32	19.5	2	1.2	4	2.4
	51-60	70	57	81.4	13	18.6	48	68.6	19	27.1	1	1.4	2	2.9
	61-70	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	14	70.0	5	25.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	0	0.0	1	14.3	1	14.3
	TOTAL	1067	928	87.0	139	13.0	591	55.4	398	37.3	31	2.9	47	4.4
YUBA	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	67	60	89.6	7	10.4	40	59.7	19	28.4	0	0.0	8	11.9
	21-30	227	194	85.5	33	14.5	123	54.2	82	36.1	7	3.1	15	6.6
	31-40	176	136	77.3	40	22.7	110	62.5	59	33.5	3	1.7	4	2.3
	41-50	153	120	78.4	33	21.6	112	73.2	28	18.3	8	5.2	5	3.3
	51-60	57	49	86.0	8	14.0	43	75.4	7	12.3	5	8.8	2	3.5
	61-70	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	709	586	82.7	123	17.3	455	64.2	197	27.8	23	3.2	34	4.8



TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		136322	100.0	115293	100.0	21029	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	15	0.4	13	0.4	2	0.3
	18-20	253	6.1	221	6.3	32	4.8
	21-30	1479	35.5	1281	36.6	198	29.7
	31-40	1209	29.0	987	28.2	222	33.3
	41-50	846	20.3	694	19.8	152	22.8
	51-60	281	6.7	232	6.6	49	7.4
	61-70	72	1.7	64	1.8	8	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.4	12	0.3	3	0.5
	TOTAL	4170	100.0	3504	100.0	666	100.0
ALPINE	18-20	1	2.0	1	2.1	0	0.0
	21-30	8	15.7	7	14.6	1	33.3
	31-40	12	23.5	12	25.0	0	0.0
	41-50	21	41.2	20	41.7	1	33.3
	51-60	5	9.8	4	8.3	1	33.3
	61-70	3	5.9	3	6.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	2.0	1	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	51	100.0	48	100.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	13	6.8	12	7.5	1	3.2
	21-30	48	25.3	45	28.3	3	9.7
	31-40	45	23.7	37	23.3	8	25.8
	41-50	55	28.9	42	26.4	13	41.9
	51-60	20	10.5	15	9.4	5	16.1
	61-70	6	3.2	5	3.1	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.6	3	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	190	100.0	159	100.0	31	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	9	0.8	7	0.7	2	0.9
	18-20	100	8.6	80	8.5	20	8.8
	21-30	414	35.4	334	35.5	80	35.1
	31-40	275	23.5	213	22.6	62	27.2
	41-50	247	21.1	207	22.0	40	17.5
	51-60	88	7.5	71	7.5	17	7.5
	61-70	27	2.3	22	2.3	5	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.8	7	0.7	2	0.9
	TOTAL	1169	100.0	941	100.0	228	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	18	11.0	16	11.3	2	8.7
	21-30	30	18.3	27	19.1	3	13.0
	31-40	46	28.0	36	25.5	10	43.5
	41-50	41	25.0	34	24.1	7	30.4
	51-60	23	14.0	23	16.3	0	0.0
	61-70	4	2.4	4	2.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.2	1	0.7	1	4.3
	TOTAL	164	100.0	141	100.0	23	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	12	6.1	12	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	62	31.6	57	31.8	5	29.4
	31-40	67	34.2	58	32.4	9	52.9
	41-50	36	18.4	33	18.4	3	17.6
	51-60	12	6.1	12	6.7	0	0.0
	61-70	4	2.0	4	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	100.0	179	100.0	17	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	13	0.4	10	0.3	3	0.5
	18-20	253	7.2	218	7.4	35	5.8
	21-30	1293	36.6	1121	38.3	172	28.4
	31-40	921	26.1	746	25.5	175	28.9
	41-50	690	19.5	538	18.4	152	25.1
	51-60	266	7.5	216	7.4	50	8.3
	61-70	77	2.2	63	2.2	14	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.6	17	0.6	4	0.7
	TOTAL	3534	100.0	2929	100.0	605	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	18-20	5	3.2	5	4.0	0	0.0
	21-30	40	25.5	32	25.8	8	24.2
	31-40	42	26.8	27	21.8	15	45.5
	41-50	40	25.5	32	25.8	8	24.2
	51-60	20	12.7	19	15.3	1	3.0
	61-70	6	3.8	5	4.0	1	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.5	4	3.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	157	100.0	124	100.0	33	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	44	5.1	36	5.3	8	4.3
	21-30	263	30.4	220	32.3	43	23.4
	31-40	238	27.5	185	27.2	53	28.8
	41-50	220	25.4	158	23.2	62	33.7
	51-60	73	8.4	60	8.8	13	7.1
	61-70	22	2.5	17	2.5	5	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	3	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	865	100.0	681	100.0	184	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	11	0.3	11	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	227	7.0	199	7.1	28	5.9
	21-30	1244	38.1	1085	38.8	159	33.8
	31-40	899	27.5	741	26.5	158	33.5
	41-50	608	18.6	507	18.1	101	21.4
	51-60	208	6.4	188	6.7	20	4.2
	61-70	60	1.8	55	2.0	5	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.2	8	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3265	100.0	2794	100.0	471	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	12	6.3	12	7.0	0	0.0
	21-30	78	40.6	72	42.1	6	28.6
	31-40	37	19.3	29	17.0	8	38.1
	41-50	34	17.7	30	17.5	4	19.0
	51-60	21	10.9	18	10.5	3	14.3
	61-70	4	2.1	4	2.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.6	5	2.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	192	100.0	171	100.0	21	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	66	7.8	51	7.9	15	7.3
	21-30	373	43.8	289	44.7	84	41.0
	31-40	194	22.8	145	22.4	49	23.9
	41-50	137	16.1	99	15.3	38	18.5
	51-60	58	6.8	44	6.8	14	6.8
	61-70	15	1.8	12	1.9	3	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	5	0.8	2	1.0
	TOTAL	851	100.0	646	100.0	205	100.0
IMPERIAL	18-20	49	7.1	45	7.3	4	5.9
	21-30	227	33.0	198	32.0	29	42.6
	31-40	185	26.9	169	27.3	16	23.5
	41-50	140	20.4	125	20.2	15	22.1
	51-60	56	8.2	52	8.4	4	5.9
	61-70	24	3.5	24	3.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	6	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	687	100.0	619	100.0	68	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	2	1.3	2	1.7	0	0.0
	18-20	8	5.4	7	5.8	1	3.4
	21-30	30	20.1	26	21.7	4	13.8
	31-40	45	30.2	35	29.2	10	34.5
	41-50	50	33.6	38	31.7	12	41.4
	51-60	11	7.4	9	7.5	2	6.9
	61-70	2	1.3	2	1.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	149	100.0	120	100.0	29	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
KERN	UNDER 18	36	1.0	32	1.0	4	0.7
	18-20	343	9.1	307	9.6	36	6.6
	21-30	1379	36.7	1211	37.8	168	30.7
	31-40	1028	27.4	839	26.2	189	34.6
	41-50	635	16.9	524	16.3	111	20.3
	51-60	236	6.3	206	6.4	30	5.5
	61-70	77	2.1	70	2.2	7	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.5	18	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3754	100.0	3207	100.0	547	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	8	1.0	7	1.0	1	1.0
	18-20	83	10.6	77	11.4	6	5.8
	21-30	308	39.5	276	40.8	32	31.1
	31-40	190	24.4	147	21.7	43	41.7
	41-50	124	15.9	112	16.5	12	11.7
	51-60	50	6.4	43	6.4	7	6.8
	61-70	15	1.9	13	1.9	2	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	780	100.0	677	100.0	103	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	43	6.8	38	7.9	5	3.2
	21-30	164	25.9	136	28.5	28	18.1
	31-40	165	26.1	115	24.1	50	32.3
	41-50	158	25.0	114	23.8	44	28.4
	51-60	68	10.7	50	10.5	18	11.6
	61-70	25	3.9	17	3.6	8	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	1.3	6	1.3	2	1.3
	TOTAL	633	100.0	478	100.0	155	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	4	1.7	2	1.0	2	5.3
	18-20	19	8.2	15	7.7	4	10.5
	21-30	56	24.0	51	26.2	5	13.2
	31-40	65	27.9	49	25.1	16	42.1
	41-50	52	22.3	44	22.6	8	21.1
	51-60	21	9.0	19	9.7	2	5.3
	61-70	16	6.9	15	7.7	1	2.6
	TOTAL	233	100.0	195	100.0	38	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	30	0.1	26	0.1	4	0.1
	18-20	1463	5.1	1256	5.0	207	5.2
	21-30	11091	38.4	9602	38.6	1489	37.3
	31-40	8934	31.0	7707	31.0	1227	30.7
	41-50	5077	17.6	4323	17.4	754	18.9
	51-60	1721	6.0	1482	6.0	239	6.0
	61-70	456	1.6	399	1.6	57	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	93	0.3	79	0.3	14	0.4
	TOTAL	28865	100.0	24874	100.0	3991	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	6	0.9	5	0.9	1	1.9
	18-20	43	6.8	39	6.7	4	7.4
	21-30	231	36.6	220	38.1	11	20.4
	31-40	169	26.7	153	26.5	16	29.6
	41-50	111	17.6	98	17.0	13	24.1
	51-60	52	8.2	47	8.1	5	9.3
	61-70	16	2.5	14	2.4	2	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	2	0.3	2	3.7
	TOTAL	632	100.0	578	100.0	54	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	12	0.9	10	1.0	2	0.6
	18-20	64	5.0	51	5.3	13	4.2
	21-30	438	34.4	359	37.2	79	25.6
	31-40	312	24.5	223	23.1	89	28.9
	41-50	270	21.2	190	19.7	80	26.0
	51-60	138	10.8	101	10.5	37	12.0
	61-70	29	2.3	22	2.3	7	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.9	10	1.0	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1274	100.0	966	100.0	308	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	6.2	3	4.8	2	11.1
	21-30	15	18.5	14	22.2	1	5.6
	31-40	22	27.2	18	28.6	4	22.2
	41-50	20	24.7	14	22.2	6	33.3
	51-60	15	18.5	11	17.5	4	22.2
	61-70	4	4.9	3	4.8	1	5.6
	TOTAL	81	100.0	63	100.0	18	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	50	8.9	41	8.9	9	8.8
	21-30	163	28.9	142	30.7	21	20.6
	31-40	151	26.8	114	24.7	37	36.3
	41-50	127	22.5	102	22.1	25	24.5
	51-60	61	10.8	54	11.7	7	6.9
	61-70	8	1.4	7	1.5	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	1	0.2	2	2.0
	TOTAL	564	100.0	462	100.0	102	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	6	0.5	6	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	93	8.3	85	8.5	8	6.5
	21-30	425	38.0	396	39.8	29	23.6
	31-40	299	26.7	256	25.7	43	35.0
	41-50	201	18.0	169	17.0	32	26.0
	51-60	68	6.1	59	5.9	9	7.3
	61-70	21	1.9	19	1.9	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.4	5	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1118	100.0	995	100.0	123	100.0
MODOC	18-20	3	5.2	2	4.3	1	8.3
	21-30	17	29.3	13	28.3	4	33.3
	31-40	13	22.4	10	21.7	3	25.0
	41-50	21	36.2	17	37.0	4	33.3
	51-60	3	5.2	3	6.5	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1.7	1	2.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	58	100.0	46	100.0	12	100.0
MONO	UNDER 18	3	2.4	3	2.9	0	0.0
	18-20	5	4.1	5	4.9	0	0.0
	21-30	37	30.1	33	32.0	4	20.0
	31-40	35	28.5	30	29.1	5	25.0
	41-50	23	18.7	17	16.5	6	30.0
	51-60	14	11.4	12	11.7	2	10.0
	61-70	5	4.1	2	1.9	3	15.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	123	100.0	103	100.0	20	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	7	0.3	7	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	203	8.0	192	8.5	11	3.9
	21-30	1115	43.9	1026	45.4	89	31.8
	31-40	666	26.2	584	25.8	82	29.3
	41-50	376	14.8	300	13.3	76	27.1
	51-60	131	5.2	114	5.0	17	6.1
	61-70	36	1.4	31	1.4	5	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.2	6	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2540	100.0	2260	100.0	280	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.7
	18-20	74	8.0	70	9.0	4	2.8
	21-30	331	35.7	295	37.8	36	24.8
	31-40	239	25.8	189	24.2	50	34.5
	41-50	181	19.5	138	17.7	43	29.7
	51-60	61	6.6	52	6.7	9	6.2
	61-70	31	3.3	30	3.8	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.7
	TOTAL	926	100.0	781	100.0	145	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	6	1.2	6	1.5	0	0.0
	18-20	31	6.2	30	7.3	1	1.1
	21-30	152	30.3	126	30.7	26	28.9
	31-40	120	24.0	100	24.3	20	22.2
	41-50	127	25.3	92	22.4	35	38.9
	51-60	52	10.4	45	10.9	7	7.8
	61-70	10	2.0	9	2.2	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.6	3	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	501	100.0	411	100.0	90	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	54	0.5	42	0.4	12	0.6
	18-20	657	5.8	559	5.9	98	5.1
	21-30	4288	37.9	3643	38.8	645	33.7
	31-40	3336	29.5	2778	29.6	558	29.1
	41-50	2041	18.0	1607	17.1	434	22.7
	51-60	709	6.3	581	6.2	128	6.7
	61-70	178	1.6	143	1.5	35	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.4	42	0.4	6	0.3
	TOTAL	11311	100.0	9395	100.0	1916	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	7	0.5	6	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	146	9.5	132	10.7	14	4.7
	21-30	526	34.4	440	35.6	86	29.2
	31-40	391	25.6	297	24.0	94	31.9
	41-50	341	22.3	264	21.4	77	26.1
	51-60	92	6.0	73	5.9	19	6.4
	61-70	22	1.4	18	1.5	4	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.3	5	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1530	100.0	1235	100.0	295	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	5	3.0	4	3.1	1	2.7
	21-30	32	19.0	25	19.1	7	18.9
	31-40	43	25.6	30	22.9	13	35.1
	41-50	56	33.3	44	33.6	12	32.4
	51-60	22	13.1	19	14.5	3	8.1
	61-70	8	4.8	7	5.3	1	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.2	2	1.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	100.0	131	100.0	37	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	13	0.2	13	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	563	8.2	500	8.5	63	6.3
	21-30	2483	36.0	2193	37.2	290	29.0
	31-40	1831	26.5	1545	26.2	286	28.6
	41-50	1286	18.6	1044	17.7	242	24.2
	51-60	507	7.4	423	7.2	84	8.4
	61-70	166	2.4	137	2.3	29	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.7	43	0.7	5	0.5
	TOTAL	6897	100.0	5898	100.0	999	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	45	0.9	37	0.9	8	0.8
	18-20	298	5.8	249	5.9	49	5.1
	21-30	2019	39.1	1688	40.2	331	34.3
	31-40	1447	28.0	1134	27.0	313	32.4
	41-50	919	17.8	723	17.2	196	20.3
	51-60	320	6.2	270	6.4	50	5.2
	61-70	83	1.6	69	1.6	14	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.5	24	0.6	4	0.4
	TOTAL	5159	100.0	4194	100.0	965	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	5	1.9	4	1.7	1	3.4
	18-20	23	8.8	21	9.0	2	6.9
	21-30	105	40.1	95	40.8	10	34.5
	31-40	56	21.4	49	21.0	7	24.1
	41-50	45	17.2	36	15.5	9	31.0
	51-60	21	8.0	21	9.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	2.3	6	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	262	100.0	233	100.0	29	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	517	6.5	453	6.6	64	6.0
	21-30	3057	38.5	2710	39.4	347	32.7
	31-40	2165	27.3	1854	27.0	311	29.3
	41-50	1474	18.6	1223	17.8	251	23.7
	51-60	526	6.6	462	6.7	64	6.0
	61-70	151	1.9	131	1.9	20	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	43	0.5	40	0.6	3	0.3
	TOTAL	7934	100.0	6874	100.0	1060	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	75	0.6	68	0.7	7	0.4
	18-20	923	7.5	792	7.7	131	6.8
	21-30	5103	41.6	4396	42.5	707	36.5
	31-40	3309	26.9	2767	26.8	542	28.0
	41-50	1954	15.9	1543	14.9	411	21.2
	51-60	706	5.7	599	5.8	107	5.5
	61-70	168	1.4	143	1.4	25	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	42	0.3	34	0.3	8	0.4
	TOTAL	12280	100.0	10342	100.0	1938	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	34	3.7	25	3.2	9	6.6
	21-30	353	38.3	307	39.1	46	33.8
	31-40	295	32.0	247	31.4	48	35.3
	41-50	150	16.3	123	15.6	27	19.9
	51-60	59	6.4	54	6.9	5	3.7
	61-70	24	2.6	23	2.9	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	922	100.0	786	100.0	136	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	26	0.8	21	0.8	5	1.1
	18-20	277	8.8	248	9.2	29	6.3
	21-30	1109	35.3	985	36.6	124	27.1
	31-40	864	27.5	711	26.5	153	33.4
	41-50	579	18.4	467	17.4	112	24.5
	51-60	200	6.4	174	6.5	26	5.7
	61-70	68	2.2	63	2.3	5	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.7	19	0.7	4	0.9
	TOTAL	3146	100.0	2688	100.0	458	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	10	0.6	9	0.7	1	0.3
	18-20	158	9.5	135	9.9	23	7.6
	21-30	639	38.4	546	40.0	93	30.9
	31-40	393	23.6	308	22.6	85	28.2
	41-50	301	18.1	233	17.1	68	22.6
	51-60	124	7.4	102	7.5	22	7.3
	61-70	29	1.7	23	1.7	6	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.7	9	0.7	3	1.0
	TOTAL	1666	100.0	1365	100.0	301	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	11	0.4	11	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	164	5.9	143	6.1	21	4.9
	21-30	976	35.1	871	37.0	105	24.6
	31-40	816	29.3	669	28.4	147	34.4
	41-50	545	19.6	440	18.7	105	24.6
	51-60	199	7.2	167	7.1	32	7.5
	61-70	55	2.0	42	1.8	13	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.6	13	0.6	4	0.9
	TOTAL	2783	100.0	2356	100.0	427	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	10	0.4	6	0.3	4	0.9
	18-20	216	8.8	183	9.2	33	7.4
	21-30	993	40.6	839	42.0	154	34.5
	31-40	620	25.3	495	24.7	125	28.0
	41-50	404	16.5	312	15.6	92	20.6
	51-60	152	6.2	123	6.2	29	6.5
	61-70	43	1.8	36	1.8	7	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	6	0.3	3	0.7
	TOTAL	2447	100.0	2000	100.0	447	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	46	0.7	38	0.7	8	0.9
	18-20	384	6.2	334	6.3	50	5.5
	21-30	2538	40.8	2235	42.0	303	33.5
	31-40	1651	26.5	1382	26.0	269	29.8
	41-50	1072	17.2	874	16.4	198	21.9
	51-60	399	6.4	344	6.5	55	6.1
	61-70	105	1.7	88	1.7	17	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.4	23	0.4	4	0.4
	TOTAL	6222	100.0	5318	100.0	904	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	13	0.9	11	0.9	2	0.8
	18-20	131	9.1	110	9.3	21	8.0
	21-30	555	38.5	468	39.6	87	33.3
	31-40	353	24.5	279	23.6	74	28.4
	41-50	275	19.1	220	18.6	55	21.1
	51-60	98	6.8	80	6.8	18	6.9
	61-70	12	0.8	9	0.8	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.3	4	0.3	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1442	100.0	1181	100.0	261	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	14	1.3	12	1.4	2	0.9
	18-20	88	8.3	73	8.8	15	6.6
	21-30	341	32.1	265	31.9	76	33.2
	31-40	264	24.9	203	24.4	61	26.6
	41-50	224	21.1	174	20.9	50	21.8
	51-60	96	9.0	75	9.0	21	9.2
	61-70	28	2.6	26	3.1	2	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.6	4	0.5	2	0.9
	TOTAL	1061	100.0	832	100.0	229	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	1	3.8	1	4.5	0	0.0
	21-30	7	26.9	5	22.7	2	50.0
	31-40	8	30.8	8	36.4	0	0.0
	41-50	6	23.1	5	22.7	1	25.0
	51-60	2	7.7	1	4.5	1	25.0
	61-70	2	7.7	2	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	26	100.0	22	100.0	4	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	5	1.7	5	2.0	0	0.0
	18-20	26	8.6	22	8.9	4	7.1
	21-30	70	23.2	58	23.6	12	21.4
	31-40	85	28.1	69	28.0	16	28.6
	41-50	74	24.5	55	22.4	19	33.9
	51-60	31	10.3	28	11.4	3	5.4
	61-70	8	2.6	6	2.4	2	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	3	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	302	100.0	246	100.0	56	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	14	1.0	9	0.7	5	2.1
	18-20	128	8.9	110	9.2	18	7.7
	21-30	502	35.0	443	36.9	59	25.3
	31-40	349	24.3	285	23.7	64	27.5
	41-50	272	19.0	214	17.8	58	24.9
	51-60	117	8.2	92	7.7	25	10.7
	61-70	39	2.7	36	3.0	3	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.9	12	1.0	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1434	100.0	1201	100.0	233	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	21	1.0	18	1.0	3	0.9
	18-20	179	8.4	164	9.1	15	4.5
	21-30	812	38.0	728	40.5	84	24.9
	31-40	513	24.0	418	23.2	95	28.2
	41-50	373	17.5	276	15.4	97	28.8
	51-60	194	9.1	159	8.8	35	10.4
	61-70	31	1.5	25	1.4	6	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.6	10	0.6	2	0.6
	TOTAL	2135	100.0	1798	100.0	337	100.0

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	13	1.0	12	1.1	1	0.5
	18-20	113	8.6	100	9.0	13	6.3
	21-30	513	39.0	450	40.5	63	30.6
	31-40	334	25.4	265	23.9	69	33.5
	41-50	219	16.6	181	16.3	38	18.4
	51-60	93	7.1	76	6.8	17	8.3
	61-70	26	2.0	21	1.9	5	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.4	5	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1316	100.0	1110	100.0	206	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	5	1.4	2	0.7	3	5.1
	18-20	46	12.6	40	13.0	6	10.2
	21-30	140	38.3	126	41.0	14	23.7
	31-40	95	26.0	73	23.8	22	37.3
	41-50	58	15.8	45	14.7	13	22.0
	51-60	11	3.0	10	3.3	1	1.7
	61-70	9	2.5	9	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.5	2	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	366	100.0	307	100.0	59	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	5.4	20	6.4	1	1.4
	21-30	123	31.8	102	32.6	21	28.4
	31-40	108	27.9	84	26.8	24	32.4
	41-50	73	18.9	57	18.2	16	21.6
	51-60	43	11.1	37	11.8	6	8.1
	61-70	15	3.9	9	2.9	6	8.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	387	100.0	313	100.0	74	100.0
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1.1	1	1.4	0	0.0
	18-20	7	8.0	5	7.1	2	11.8
	21-30	26	29.9	21	30.0	5	29.4
	31-40	17	19.5	13	18.6	4	23.5
	41-50	25	28.7	19	27.1	6	35.3
	51-60	8	9.2	8	11.4	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2.3	2	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.1	1	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	87	100.0	70	100.0	17	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	8	0.3	7	0.3	1	0.4
	18-20	256	11.1	235	11.6	21	7.5
	21-30	933	40.5	843	41.7	90	32.0
	31-40	592	25.7	511	25.3	81	28.8
	41-50	355	15.4	286	14.2	69	24.6
	51-60	121	5.3	108	5.3	13	4.6
	61-70	27	1.2	22	1.1	5	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	9	0.4	1	0.4
	TOTAL	2302	100.0	2021	100.0	281	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	1.0	4	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	29	7.5	25	8.0	4	5.4
	21-30	109	28.2	83	26.6	26	35.1
	31-40	88	22.8	68	21.8	20	27.0
	41-50	96	24.9	81	26.0	15	20.3
	51-60	46	11.9	39	12.5	7	9.5
	61-70	8	2.1	7	2.2	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.6	5	1.6	1	1.4
	TOTAL	386	100.0	312	100.0	74	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	249	7.0	216	7.1	33	6.0
	21-30	1388	38.8	1213	40.1	175	31.9
	31-40	1006	28.1	853	28.2	153	27.9
	41-50	618	17.3	481	15.9	137	25.0
	51-60	237	6.6	196	6.5	41	7.5
	61-70	56	1.6	49	1.6	7	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	15	0.5	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3574	100.0	3026	100.0	548	100.0



TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	58	8.3	55	9.4	3	2.7
	21-30	262	37.4	223	37.9	39	34.8
	31-40	172	24.6	137	23.3	35	31.3
	41-50	140	20.0	119	20.2	21	18.8
	51-60	46	6.6	37	6.3	9	8.0
	61-70	15	2.1	10	1.7	5	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	5	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	700	100.0	588	100.0	112	100.0
YUBA	18-20	28	7.9	27	9.0	1	1.8
	21-30	101	28.5	86	28.8	15	26.8
	31-40	101	28.5	84	28.1	17	30.4
	41-50	81	22.8	67	22.4	14	25.0
	51-60	33	9.3	25	8.4	8	14.3
	61-70	7	2.0	6	2.0	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.1	4	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	355	100.0	299	100.0	56	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.3%		132911	3411	14185	3115	3633	949/63	3.1	3.4
ALAMEDA	70.5%	SUP OAKLAND	56	22	0	0	3	0/0	3.3	3.8
		JUV SAN LEANDRO	18	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.6	3.5
		ALAMEDA	175	0	22	6	7	0/0	1.5	3.0
		BERKELEY	199	0	19	12	4	4/0	1.6	3.9
		FREMONT	648	3	93	13	21	3/0	3.5	4.4
		PLEASANTON	947	9	146	22	24	0/3	3.3	3.1
		OAKLAND	936	15	124	39	14	79/0	3.3	4.4
		HAYWARD	1137	4	116	32	77	2/0	4.1	7.4
		TOTAL	4116	54	520	124	150	88/3	---	---
ALPINE	85.0%	SUP MARKLEVILLE	51	0	3	2	0	0/0	3.6	3.0
		TOTAL	51	0	3	2	0	0/0	---	---
AMADOR	81.5%	SUP AMADOR	1	0	1	0	0	1/0	3.4	3.0
		JACKSON	185	4	20	8	6	2/1	2.7	1.8
		TOTAL	186	4	21	8	6	3/1	---	---
BUTTE	83.8%	SUP OROVILLE	84	21	12	2	2	7/0	4.0	3.1
		JUV OROVILLE	13	0	4	0	1	0/0	3.0	3.0
		CHICO	667	1	98	11	9	8/0	3.5	1.7
		GRIDLEY	2	0	1	0	1	0/0	5.9	1.2
		OROVILLE	367	12	34	17	8	4/0	4.2	2.8
		PARADISE	2	0	0	0	0	1/0	1.6	0.2
		TOTAL	1135	34	149	30	21	20/0	---	---
CALAVERAS	70.1%	SUP CALAVERAS	157	6	11	6	5	0/0	2.8	2.4
		SAN ANDREAS	0	1	0	0	0	1/0	7.7	0.5
		TOTAL	157	7	11	6	5	1/0	---	---
COLUSA	73.4%	JUV COLUSA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.1	2.3
		COLUSA	192	2	38	4	2	0/0	2.4	5.0
		TOTAL	194	2	38	4	2	0/0	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	72.2%	SUP CONTRA COSTA	43	73	5	0	3	0/0	7.3	3.7
		JUV CONTRA COSTA	16	2	1	1	3	0/0	3.2	3.9
		CONCORD	833	6	143	8	8	4/0	4.0	3.9
		RICHMOND	839	6	142	28	34	7/0	4.7	3.0
		PITTSBURG	911	5	142	13	19	3/1	5.1	2.7
		WALNUT CREEK	798	2	201	15	17	1/0	4.4	4.1
		TOTAL	3440	94	634	65	84	15/1	---	---
DEL NORTE	54.0%	SUP DEL NORTE	38	9	14	2	1	0/0	4.2	6.7
		CRESCENT CITY	107	3	56	4	15	2/0	2.2	2.6
		TOTAL	145	12	70	6	16	2/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	77.1%	SUP EL DORADO	27	1	0	2	0	0/0	2.2	4.3
		SUPJUV PLCRVLE	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.4	1.0
		CAMERON PARK	37	4	12	0	1	0/1	3.0	5.1
		SO LAKE TAHOE	315	6	28	17	2	7/2	2.6	5.6
		PLACERVILLE	454	18	32	10	5	8/1	3.4	4.9
		TOTAL	836	29	72	29	8	15/4	---	---
FRESNO	60.1%	SUP FRESNO	44	28	0	0	1	1/0	9.1	3.9
		JUV FRESNO	13	1	1	0	0	0/0	5.1	4.4
		FRESNO	1834	75	444	51	29	9/1	5.3	3.3
		CLOVIS	232	0	70	4	3	1/0	5.4	2.9
		COALINGA	132	0	26	3	0	0/0	3.8	4.1
		FIREBAUGH	165	1	21	3	1	0/0	3.0	3.4
		FOWLER	188	5	16	1	3	0/0	4.5	3.1
		KERMAN	72	3	12	3	2	0/0	5.4	2.4
		KINGSBURG	74	2	19	3	0	0/0	5.2	1.9
		REEDLEY MUNI	128	3	23	0	0	1/0	4.6	3.0
		SANGER	132	0	34	0	2	3/0	3.4	3.5
		SELMA MUNI	131	1	24	5	4	4/0	4.9	4.2
		US CT FRESNO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.1	5.8
		TOTAL	3146	119	690	73	45	19/1	---	---
GLENN	60.0%	SUP WILLOWS	180	9	23	11	10	0/0	2.8	2.9
		JUV GLENN	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	12.8	2.0
		TOTAL	183	9	23	11	10	0/0	---	---
HUMBOLDT	63.1%	SUPJUV HMBLDT	773	49	180	49	12	9/0	3.7	8.0
		GARBRVLE SPMUN	29	0	9	2	0	0/0	3.8	3.8
		TOTAL	802	49	189	51	12	9/0	---	---
IMPERIAL	52.0%	SUP IMPERIAL	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.0	0.5
		JUV IMPERIAL	3	0	0	1	0	0/0	4.1	2.6
		BRAWLEY	187	0	29	3	2	3/0	6.5	1.4
		CALEXICO	246	1	30	12	5	1/1	5.7	1.1
		EL CENTRO	244	5	44	12	1	3/0	6.2	1.8
		TOTAL	681	6	103	28	8	7/1	---	---
INYO	65.1%	SUP INYO	5	3	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	2.8
		BISHOP	138	3	33	4	0	0/0	3.1	1.0
		TOTAL	143	6	33	4	0	0/0	---	---
KERN	82.6%	SUP KERN	29	19	0	0	0	1/0	3.7	3.1
		JUV KERN	39	3	1	7	1	0/0	2.1	0.6
		ARVIN LAMONT	312	4	26	5	8	5/0	1.9	3.8
		BAKERSFIELD	2086	26	257	28	16	23/3	1.9	3.2
		DELANO	254	9	20	1	2	1/0	2.0	3.4
		LAKE ISABELLA	118	1	10	3	0	0/0	2.2	1.8
		TAFT	196	3	21	1	8	0/0	2.0	2.3

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM¹/ UNCONST²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN (cont.)		SHAFTER	208	7	41	1	8	3/0	1.4	3.2
		MOJAVE	244	1	42	46	9	2/0	1.9	3.9
		RIDGECREST	190	3	20	3	7	7/0	1.7	2.8
		TC EDW AFB	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	6.7
		TOTAL	3678	76	438	95	59	42/3	---	---
KINGS	79.4%	SUP KINGS	28	3	0	0	0	2/0	2.8	1.5
		JUV HANFORD	11	0	0	1	0	0/0	4.3	0.6
		HANFORD	526	9	59	14	10	2/0	2.5	2.3
		AVENAL	75	1	5	0	1	1/0	3.1	1.8
		CORCORAN	61	1	2	1	2	0/0	3.1	1.9
		LEMOORE	64	1	8	0	4	1/0	3.1	3.3
		TOTAL	765	15	74	16	17	7/0	---	---
LAKE	77.8%	SUP LAKE	355	8	26	4	5	1/0	4.1	5.1
		JUV LAKEPORT	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	2.4
		CLEARLAKE	249	2	10	0	2	4/0	4.1	7.9
		LAKEPORT	16	0	3	0	0	0/0	1.5	6.4
		TOTAL	623	10	39	4	7	5/0	---	---
LASSEN	90.0%	SUP LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	7.7	4.0
		JUV LASSEN	5	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.2	6.1
		SUSANVILLE	223	2	6	14	5	0/0	3.7	5.9
		USMAG SUSANVL	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.4	2.4
		TOTAL	231	2	6	14	6	0/0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	75.4%	SUP LA	141	59	2	0	1	0/0	4.9	1.4
		SUP POMONA	69	30	1	0	0	0/0	4.6	1.3
		SUP LANCSTR	24	11	1	0	1	0/0	4.9	1.5
		SUP VAN NUYS	88	20	0	0	1	0/0	3.9	1.1
		SUP PASADENA	28	12	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	1.1
		SUP VAN NUYS	23	9	1	0	2	0/0	6.6	0.8
		SUP LONG BEACH	34	12	0	1	1	0/0	5.0	1.0
		SUP COMPTON	12	6	0	0	0	0/0	5.2	1.0
		SUP NORWALK	49	18	0	0	2	0/0	4.3	0.9
		SUP TORRANCE	43	12	0	1	0	0/0	5.1	1.2
		SUP SNTA MONCA	32	18	0	0	1	0/0	4.7	1.4
		LA JUV	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	2.2	6.1
		LA JUV CENTRAL	20	2	0	0	1	0/0	4.1	4.1
		LA MUNI	998	4	82	21	58	0/3	2.8	1.7
		ALHAMBRA	595	4	57	5	24	2/0	3.9	2.0
		LANCASTER	669	7	130	23	17	2/0	2.6	1.4
		BEVERLY HILLS	292	4	41	15	13	4/0	3.5	0.9
		BURBANK	313	1	58	8	4	0/0	2.1	1.4
		WEST COVINA	1462	27	54	10	22	1/0	2.7	1.0
		SUP CHATSWORTH	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	13.3	2.1

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		COMPTON	1340	14	64	82	33	0/1	4.6	1.8
		DOWNEY	731	2	32	6	15	8/0	3.2	1.2
		EAST LA	1031	6	104	138	33	10/0	3.8	1.2
		EL MONTE	917	5	37	7	31	4/0	2.8	1.0
		GLENDALE	496	8	39	3	12	0/0	3.4	2.5
		INGLEWOOD	662	4	28	31	15	6/0	3.1	1.7
		LONG BEACH	1166	13	85	70	21	0/1	2.3	5.0
		LA METRO	5506	12	496	32	735	2/1	1.7	2.1
		BELLFLOWER	445	2	13	1	13	3/0	3.0	1.4
		VALENCIA	785	12	109	17	17	0/0	2.3	1.7
		PASADENA	699	5	150	47	21	4/0	2.9	0.9
		MALIBU	366	11	82	31	18	0/0	4.1	1.3
		POMONA	866	7	22	13	27	6/0	2.9	1.0
		HUNTINGTON PK	698	11	23	7	32	0/0	2.6	1.5
		MONROVIA	348	2	37	10	17	2/0	3.4	1.4
		SANTA MONICA	175	0	44	10	8	1/0	2.8	1.3
		TORRANCE	1342	14	274	56	38	5/3	3.0	1.0
		SOUTH GATE	326	1	7	8	7	2/0	3.8	1.1
		WHITTIER	781	10	45	9	21	4/0	3.0	2.2
		HOLLYWOOD	302	0	26	9	60	1/0	1.8	1.3
		SAN FERNANDO	1662	13	197	43	79	1/0	1.6	1.8
		SAN PEDRO	407	1	40	6	46	0/0	1.8	1.8
		VAN NUYS	2456	44	324	17	177	1/0	1.7	1.2
		LOS ANGELES	0	0	1	1	1	0/0	---	---
		AVALON	7	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.9	0.7
		US DIST CT LA	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	28412	453	2707	738	1628	69/9	---	---
MADERA	69.2%	SUP MADERA	50	15	3	1	4	0/0	3.1	3.4
		JUV MADERA	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	3.5
		CHOWCHILLA	458	2	43	8	6	2/0	3.2	2.9
		BASS LAKE	98	3	22	3	1	0/0	3.8	4.9
		TOTAL	612	20	68	12	11	2/0	---	---
MARIN	87.6%	SAN RAFAEL	1261	13	0	0	23	22/0	3.0	4.4
		TOTAL	1261	13	0	0	23	22/0	---	---
MARIPOSA	61.4%	MARIPOSA	61	2	2	3	1	0/0	2.4	4.8
		USMAG YOSEMITE	18	0	2	0	1	0/0	2.5	4.5
		TOTAL	79	2	4	3	2	0/0	---	---
MENDOCINO	71.8%	SUP UKIAH	5	1	1	0	0	1/0	4.8	9.2
		UKIAH JUV	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	---	---
		WILLITS	115	5	24	2	3	0/0	2.4	6.7
		UKIAH	287	7	56	14	7	6/0	2.3	6.7
		BOONVILLE	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	1.6
		PT. ARENA	11	0	4	0	0	0/0	3.3	2.2

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM¹/ UNCONST²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLETION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MENDOCINO (cont.)		LEGGETT	29	0	12	3	1	0/0	2.7	6.0
		COVELO	7	0	2	1	1	0/0	1.5	9.5
		FORT BRAGG	88	4	14	4	1	0/0	2.9	5.7
		TOTAL	547	17	113	24	15	7/0	---	---
MERCED	67.8%	SUP MERCED	32	15	0	0	1	0/0	4.3	4.1
		MERCED	733	14	97	28	13	4/1	4.5	3.4
		LOS BANOS	316	8	21	8	7	5/0	3.1	3.6
		TOTAL	1081	37	118	36	21	9/1	---	---
MODOC	71.6%	SUP MODOC	57	1	3	3	1	0/0	2.8	3.5
		TOTAL	57	1	3	3	1	0/0	---	---
MONO	89.8%	JUV MONO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	12.9
		BRIDGEPORT	39	1	7	0	0	0/0	3.4	3.0
		MAMMOTH LAKES	81	1	14	2	1	0/0	2.9	1.1
		TOTAL	121	2	21	2	1	0/0	---	---
MONTEREY	79.5%	SUP MONTEREY	64	29	2	0	3	0/0	3.1	3.3
		JUV SALINAS	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	4.6
		MARINA MUNI	3	0	2	0	6	3/0	0.7	2.3
		SALINAS	1912	13	169	98	23	6/0	1.6	5.5
		KING CITY	503	7	30	3	2	1/1	1.7	3.1
		USMAG MONTREY	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.7	3.6
		TOTAL	2491	49	203	101	34	10/1	---	---
NAPA	83.1%	NAPA SUP	885	29	101	21	8	0/1	3.2	1.9
		NAPA JUV	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.1	0.5
		NAPA MUNI	7	2	3	1	0	18/0	2.5	11.2
		TOTAL	895	31	104	22	8	18/1	---	---
NEVADA	83.8%	NEVADA COUNTY	9	8	0	0	1	0/0	5.3	1.8
		TRUCKEE JUV	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.0	0.1
		NEVADA CITY MUN	272	4	49	8	1	1/0	2.4	5.1
		TRUCKEE MUNI	204	3	44	3	1	3/2	2.2	6.6
		TOTAL	486	15	93	11	3	4/2	---	---
ORANGE	93.7%	SUP SANTA ANA	112	38	0	0	1	0/0	3.2	2.4
		JUV ORANGE	68	5	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	3.1
		FULLERTON	2893	38	80	61	32	12/0	2.6	4.8
		WESTMINSTER	2456	33	90	8	26	17/1	3.2	4.2
		LAGUNA HILLS	919	11	51	17	10	5/0	4.1	4.4
		NEWPORT BEACH	2754	22	217	32	19	19/1	2.6	4.8
		SANTA ANA MUNI	1933	29	64	7	13	1/1	3.2	5.7
		TOTAL	11135	176	502	125	101	94/3	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM¹/ UNCONST²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
PLACER	89.6%	SUP AUBURN	1239	42	54	28	4	0/0	3.4	3.4
		JUV AUBURN	8	1	2	1	1	0/0	4.8	1.3
		AUBURN SUPMUNI	5	0	0	0	0	1/0	1.0	3.5
		SUP TAHOE CITY	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	16.6	0.0
		TAHOE CITY	227	7	31	5	1	0/1	3.1	3.0
		TOTAL	1480	50	87	34	6	1/1	---	---
PLUMAS	73.4%	CHESTER MUNI	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
		PORTOLA	14	0	2	0	1	0/0	4.1	5.1
		QUINCY	153	1	43	2	2	4/1	2.4	4.6
		TOTAL	167	1	45	2	4	4/1	---	---
RIVERSIDE	87.8%	SUP RIVERSIDE	380	74	1	1	2	8/0	3.5	5.9
		SUP INDIO	78	35	3	0	1	26/0	5.4	3.2
		JUV INDIO	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	3.8
		CORONA	470	1	18	1	7	4/0	3.2	3.2
		HEMET MUNI	561	10	16	7	6	2/0	3.6	2.2
		BANNING	499	1	21	5	7	3/0	3.8	3.2
		INDIO CONSOLID	1511	14	95	54	34	1/1	3.8	2.5
		MORENO VLY RIV	1643	42	48	12	12	0/0	3.8	2.4
		PALM SPRINGS	1	0	0	0	0	1/0	0.1	9.2
		BLYTHE SUPMUN	140	1	5	6	3	4/0	2.1	3.6
		PERRIS CNSOLID	1403	27	70	29	27	1/0	3.9	2.4
		TEMCULA CONSOL	4	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.3	3.2
		ELSINORE MUNI	0	0	0	0	3	0/0	4.0	2.1
		TOTAL	6692	205	277	115	103	50/1	---	---
SACRAMENTO	71.1%	SUP SACRAMENTO	90	163	4	0	3	0/0	4.2	6.0
		JUV SACTO TRF	52	4	3	2	2	0/0	3.0	3.6
		SACRAMENTO	4578	90	693	67	58	5/4	2.9	5.6
		ELK GROVE	117	0	20	8	2	0/0	2.2	4.1
		GALT	42	0	8	2	2	0/0	2.1	6.9
		WALNUT GROVE	20	0	6	0	0	0/0	3.1	8.5
		SACTO US MAG	3	0	1	0	0	0/0	5.2	5.8
		TOTAL	4902	257	735	79	67	5/4	---	---
SAN BENITO	85.9%	SUP SAN BENITO	232	10	36	3	4	1/5	4.9	2.4
		JUV HOLLISTER	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	2.5
		HOLLISTER	13	0	1	0	0	1/0	1.8	3.2
		TOTAL	252	10	37	3	4	2/5	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	74.3%	SUPMUN SAN BERN	249	35	19	3	7	4/0	3.0	1.2
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	492	38	33	3	15	3/0	3.3	0.7
		VCTRVLE SUPMUN	133	31	9	2	2	1/0	3.6	1.1
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	371	10	70	18	20	12/0	3.3	1.1
		JOSHTREE SUPMUN	10	18	2	1	0	7/0	2.9	5.0
		JUV TF SN BERN	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		R CCMNGA SPMUN	2	0	0	0	0	0/1	3.0	2.5

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)		CHINO SUPMUN	403	20	21	5	8	2/0	3.6	0.9
		REDLND SUPMUN	529	20	44	11	14	14/0	3.9	1.0
		SN BERN SUPMUN	1178	15	93	17	57	4/0	4.9	1.3
		FONTANA SUPMUN	861	65	24	4	13	12/0	4.7	0.9
		VCTRVLE SUPMUN	714	12	60	11	25	20/0	5.5	0.7
		RANCHO CUCMNGA	1968	14	82	17	56	37/0	4.8	0.5
		BGBEAR LK SPMU	144	5	21	7	7	2/0	3.0	1.6
		TWNPEKS SUPMUN	181	2	25	9	7	1/0	3.4	1.5
		NEEDLES SUPMUN	134	8	38	18	25	12/0	4.7	1.1
		JSHTREE SUPMUN	266	5	65	8	6	0/0	3.4	1.1
		TOTAL	7635	299	606	134	262	131/1	---	---
SAN DIEGO	80.8%	SUP SAN DIEGO	146	37	0	0	0	0/0	4.9	5.4
		SUP VISTA	161	69	11	0	4	2/0	3.0	3.2
		SUP CHULA VSTA	53	4	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	5.0
		SUP EL CAJON	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		JUV SAN DIEGO	96	3	0	0	4	0/0	5.3	3.0
		EL CAJON	2009	71	273	64	39	7/0	2.7	5.6
		VISTA	3255	28	549	103	43	13/1	2.1	4.1
		SAN MARCOS MUNI	0	0	0	0	7	1/0	3.9	3.8
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	4468	4	397	55	175	2/0	2.8	4.9
		CHULA VISTA	1847	21	209	32	13	1/0	2.7	6.1
		US CT SN DIEGO	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.9	4.2
		TOTAL	12043	237	1439	254	285	26/1	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	52.6%	JUV SAN FRANCIS	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	2.5
		SUP SAN FRANCIS	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.1	0.8
		SAN FRANCISCO	897	13	261	161	19	14/1	2.9	1.2
		US DIST CT SF	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	13.2	2.8
		TOTAL	909	13	261	161	19	14/1	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	72.1%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	1.7
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	36	3	4	1	0	0/0	5.9	3.3
		LODI	642	22	95	10	10	4/0	1.7	1.6
		MANTECA	443	17	61	6	5	0/1	2.6	1.5
		TRACY	311	12	47	16	2	2/0	3.0	3.2
		STCKTON SUPMUN	1591	68	177	12	24	27/0	2.3	3.2
		TOTAL	3024	122	384	45	41	33/1	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	72.5%	SUP S L OBISPO	16	26	4	1	2	0/0	2.9	1.4
		JUV S L OBISPO	9	0	4	1	0	0/0	2.3	1.9
		SL OBSPO SUPMN	1591	23	368	71	104	24/0	2.3	5.9
		MORRO BAY	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	1.8
		TOTAL	1617	49	376	73	106	24/0	---	---



TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	79.5%	RDWDCTY SUPMUN	61	21	2	0	3	0/1	4.7	3.3
		SNMATEO SUPMUN	13	1	1	1	4	0/0	2.9	3.4
		SO SF SUPMUN	1519	15	204	18	34	3/1	3.4	3.6
		REDWOOD CITY	1149	4	197	19	17	0/2	2.9	2.9
		TOTAL	2742	41	404	38	58	3/4	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	86.7%	SUP SNTA BARBAR	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	10.2
		JUV SNTA BARBAR	9	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.5	7.3
		JUV LOMPOC	4	0	2	0	0	0/0	4.1	2.8
		SANTA BARBARA	1286	21	246	57	30	2/0	2.5	4.4
		SANTA MARIA	727	34	43	8	6	3/0	1.4	5.7
		LOMPOC	356	8	44	6	10	5/0	1.9	6.4
		SOLVANG	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	0.3
		TOTAL	2383	64	335	71	46	10/0	---	---
SANTA CLARA	87.4%	SUP SNTA CLARA	162	115	0	0	5	0/1	4.6	2.6
		JUV SNTA CLARA	58	2	3	0	1	0/0	4.7	3.9
		PALO ALTO MUNI	510	0	76	12	5	0/0	3.5	2.1
		SAN JOSE	4026	69	315	101	52	2/1	3.1	2.9
		TRAF SAN JOSE	5	0	0	0	6	0/0	2.3	1.9
		SUNNYVALE	576	4	57	14	6	0/0	3.1	0.9
		GILROY	685	10	68	3	11	0/0	3.6	1.2
		TOTAL	6022	200	519	130	86	2/2	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	82.7%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	21	2	2	0	1	7/0	5.8	1.9
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	20	1	0	0	1	0/0	3.2	1.8
		SANTA CRUZ	1015	18	174	49	15	4/2	2.3	5.6
		WATSONVILLE	364	1	54	2	2	1/2	1.7	6.2
		TOTAL	1420	22	230	51	19	12/4	---	---
SHASTA	91.2%	SUP REDDING	0	4	0	0	0	0/0	4.3	0.1
		JUV SHASTA	15	3	3	0	0	0/0	3.3	1.9
		BURNEY	41	0	7	0	1	0/0	3.1	2.7
		REDDING	945	53	103	15	6	5/0	2.8	4.7
		TOTAL	1001	60	113	15	7	5/0	---	---
SIERRA	61.9%	DOWNIEVILLE	26	0	5	0	1	1/0	2.8	4.3
		TOTAL	26	0	5	0	1	1/0	---	---
SISKIYOU	79.5%	SUP SISKIYOU	4	6	0	1	0	0/0	4.0	2.0
		WEED	125	0	17	2	1	1/0	3.5	2.5
		YREKA JUD DIST	146	21	28	2	3	3/1	2.6	3.6
		TOTAL	275	27	45	5	4	4/1	---	---
SOLANO	84.4%	SUP SOLANO	44	22	4	0	1	0/0	5.6	5.8
		JUV SOLANO	20	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	4.2
		FAIRFIELD	993	15	154	7	8	17/0	2.7	5.7
		BENICIA	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	4.3	2.8
		VLLEJO BENICIA	334	4	18	31	5	12/0	3.3	4.3
		TOTAL	1393	41	177	38	14	29/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SONOMA	76.9%	SUP SONOMA	2	40	0	0	3	0/0	5.8	4.4
		JUV SONOMA	19	1	0	0	1	0/0	1.5	2.1
		SANTA ROSA	2014	59	417	69	34	62/1	2.8	5.7
		TOTAL	2035	100	417	69	38	62/1	---	---
STANISLAUS	59.0%	SUP STANISLAUS	1235	59	87	63	10	0/2	3.1	4.2
		JUV STANISLAUS	17	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.8	4.2
		MODESTO	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	15.3
		TURLOCK	1	0	0	0	2	2/0	2.3	6.0
		TOTAL	1257	59	88	63	12	2/2	---	---
SUTTER	48.0%	YUBA CTY JUV	1	0	0	0	0	1/0	3.0	11.6
		YUBA CTY SUPMUN	342	23	89	5	5	3/0	2.5	3.9
		TOTAL	343	23	89	5	5	4/0	---	---
TEHAMA	46.0%	SUP TEHAMA	15	10	0	0	1	0/0	6.6	1.9
		JUV TEHAMA	5	0	2	0	0	0/0	2.8	0.9
		CORNING	117	0	34	3	1	1/0	2.7	5.6
		RED BLUFF	238	2	38	2	6	2/1	2.7	2.9
		TOTAL	375	12	74	5	8	3/1	---	---
TRINITY	34.4%	SUP TRINITY	84	3	24	4	8	0/0	2.5	4.6
		TOTAL	84	3	24	4	8	0/0	---	---
TULARE	72.7%	SUP TULARE	22	6	0	0	2	2/0	6.3	3.5
		JUV TULARE	10	1	1	0	0	0/0	5.3	0.7
		DINUBA MUNI	323	10	11	4	3	0/0	3.3	5.0
		PORTERVILLE	628	15	14	4	2	1/0	3.6	4.0
		TULARE	404	21	18	4	7	2/0	3.3	4.9
		VISALIA	847	15	24	20	18	8/0	3.1	5.4
		TOTAL	2234	68	68	32	32	13/0	---	---
TUOLUMNE	83.2%	TUOLUMNE SUP	363	16	59	2	12	1/0	3.4	1.4
		SUP SONORA	7	0	1	0	1	1/0	4.4	4.4
		TOTAL	370	16	60	2	13	2/0	---	---
VENTURA	89.2%	JUV VENTURA	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.8	7.1
		VENTURA	3521	48	0	4	64	27/0	2.4	3.9
		TOTAL	3526	48	0	4	64	27/0	---	---
YOLO	64.7%	WOODLND SUPMUN	671	29	172	28	11	4/0	3.9	2.1
		TOTAL	671	29	172	28	11	4/0	---	---
YUBA	53.2%	SUP YUBA	5	6	0	0	0	0/0	5.9	2.6
		MARYSVILLE	339	5	69	8	6	8/1	3.0	1.6
		TOTAL	344	11	69	8	6	8/1	---	---

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			136322	96.7	73.3	67.2	18.0	0.2	75.5	6.8	2.4
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	26	88.5	100.0	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	23	78.3	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	24	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		TOTAL	78	84.6	100.0	1.3	3.8	0.0	3.8	1.3	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	19	78.9	0.0	47.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	57.9	0.0
		TOTAL	19	78.9	0.0	47.4	0.0	0.0	5.3	57.9	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	140	100.0	97.9	83.6	4.3	0.0	96.4	2.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	27	100.0	96.3	0.0	92.6	0.0	85.2	11.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	175	100.0	97.7	66.9	22.3	0.0	92.6	5.7	0.0
	BERKELEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	161	98.8	99.4	82.0	1.9	0.0	88.8	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	31	100.0	93.5	38.7	51.6	0.0	54.8	9.7	9.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	199	99.0	98.5	72.4	11.6	0.0	80.9	1.5	2.0
	FREMONT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	482	99.2	95.6	85.5	4.6	0.0	64.5	0.2	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	137	100.0	99.3	10.2	83.9	0.0	48.2	0.0	5.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	89.7	0.0	27.6	0.0	10.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	651	99.4	96.6	65.6	25.5	0.0	59.1	0.2	1.8
	PLEASANTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	721	99.9	98.8	86.5	1.4	0.0	93.2	2.1	0.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	194	100.0	98.5	9.8	84.0	0.0	92.3	1.5	5.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	37	97.3	97.3	2.7	78.4	0.0	29.7	8.1	8.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0
		TOTAL	956	99.8	98.6	67.6	21.2	0.0	90.3	2.4	1.8
	OAKLAND	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	731	99.0	98.9	87.3	1.2	0.0	92.1	1.8	1.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	177	100.0	99.4	12.4	77.4	0.0	91.5	3.4	65.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	32	100.0	90.6	12.5	65.6	0.0	56.3	9.4	50.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	100.0	100.0	9.1	63.6	0.0	36.4	9.1	54.5
		TOTAL	951	99.3	98.7	69.9	18.3	0.0	90.1	2.4	15.5
	HAYWARD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	829	100.0	94.1	83.8	2.7	0.0	72.3	8.0	0.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	257	100.0	94.6	10.1	83.3	0.0	89.5	3.1	27.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	48	95.8	95.8	6.3	77.1	0.0	45.8	37.5	47.9
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	28.6	28.6
		TOTAL	1141	99.8	94.3	63.5	24.4	0.0	75.0	8.2	9.0
ALPINE	SUP MARKLEEVLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	42	100.0	28.6	92.9	4.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	100.0	35.3	78.4	17.6	2.0	94.1	0.0	0.0

\*Entries represent percentages of 2001 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
AMADOR	SUP AMADOR	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JACKSON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	133	96.2	55.6	42.9	3.0	0.0	82.0	9.8	15.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	43	95.3	97.7	4.7	83.7	0.0	81.4	9.3	48.8
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	8.3	41.7	66.7
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	95.8	67.7	31.7	25.9	0.0	77.2	11.6	26.5
BUTTE	SUP OROVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	71	88.7	98.6	77.5	1.4	0.0	71.8	7.0	1.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	72.2	94.4	38.9	16.7	0.0	38.9	5.6	5.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	10.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	105	82.9	97.1	59.0	7.6	0.0	55.2	8.6	3.8
	JUV OROVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	13	84.6	7.7	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0
		TOTAL	13	84.6	7.7	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0
	CHICO MUNI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	493	98.0	98.0	93.7	1.4	0.0	85.0	12.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	143	95.8	98.6	7.0	86.7	0.0	90.2	2.8	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	30	93.3	100.0	10.0	63.3	0.0	30.0	46.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	668	97.0	98.2	71.1	22.5	0.0	83.4	11.7	0.0
	GRIDLEY	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	OROVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	261	93.9	96.9	85.4	3.8	0.0	80.8	2.7	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	90	94.4	98.9	20.0	68.9	0.0	63.3	4.4	4.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	94.1	100.0	0.0	76.5	0.0	17.6	41.2	17.6
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	376	93.4	97.6	64.1	23.4	0.0	72.6	4.8	2.1
	PARADISE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	OROVILLE-87	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	107	100.0	99.1	46.7	0.0	0.0	63.6	1.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	43	100.0	100.0	7.0	81.4	0.0	32.6	2.3	16.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	163	98.8	99.4	33.1	26.4	0.0	50.3	1.8	5.5
	SAN ANDREAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COLUSA	JUV COLUSA	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
COLUSA (cont.)	COLUSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	127	92.9	99.2	83.5	0.8	0.0	82.7	4.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	48	87.5	97.9	2.1	68.8	0.0	68.8	6.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	87.5	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	12.5	37.5	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	90.2	98.5	55.2	19.6	0.0	72.2	7.7	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	48	81.3	97.9	37.5	22.9	0.0	31.3	8.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	30	80.0	100.0	10.0	36.7	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	66.7	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	6.7	6.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	23	73.9	100.0	0.0	43.5	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	116	77.6	99.1	18.1	32.8	0.0	19.0	7.8	0.0
	JUV CONTRA COSTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	18	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	18	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
	CONCORD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	644	99.4	96.7	90.2	2.5	0.0	79.7	15.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	156	99.4	99.4	5.8	76.9	0.0	29.5	66.7	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	37	97.3	100.0	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	24.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	839	99.3	97.4	70.3	16.8	0.0	66.6	25.1	0.1
	RICHMOND	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	605	99.7	97.7	94.2	1.5	0.2	93.7	3.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	177	99.4	98.9	26.6	67.8	0.0	67.2	18.6	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	59	98.3	96.6	3.4	83.1	0.0	27.1	18.6	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	845	99.4	97.9	73.3	21.4	0.1	83.2	7.5	0.0
	PITTSBURG	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	669	97.2	98.5	91.3	1.9	0.0	90.0	5.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	195	94.4	100.0	16.4	73.3	0.0	83.6	8.2	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	40	90.0	100.0	0.0	72.5	0.0	50.0	27.5	7.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	916	96.3	98.9	70.2	21.2	0.0	86.4	7.2	0.3
	WALNUT CREEK	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	635	99.4	95.0	89.8	1.3	0.0	88.7	2.4	0.5
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	142	100.0	97.9	16.2	75.4	0.0	51.4	26.8	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	21	95.2	100.0	4.8	52.4	0.0	0.0	47.6	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	800	99.4	95.6	74.4	15.9	0.0	79.6	7.9	0.4
DELNORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	26	100.0	88.5	88.5	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	3.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	100.0	93.3	26.7	46.7	0.0	60.0	13.3	40.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	100.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	47	95.7	89.4	57.4	21.3	2.1	63.8	6.4	23.4
	CRESCENT CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	81	95.1	92.6	87.7	0.0	0.0	85.2	1.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	22	86.4	95.5	4.5	77.3	0.0	72.7	0.0	40.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	50.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	92.7	93.6	65.5	20.0	0.0	79.1	1.8	10.9
	SUP EL DORADO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	23	91.3	91.3	82.6	8.7	0.0	87.0	8.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	85.7	92.9	67.9	17.9	0.0	82.1	7.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
EL DORADO (cont.)	JUV/SUP PLACERVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	27	96.3	100.0	92.6	0.0	0.0	81.5	3.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	8	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	62.5	37.5	25.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	40.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	41	97.6	95.1	63.4	22.0	0.0	70.7	12.2	12.2
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	258	98.8	97.7	94.6	1.9	0.0	93.8	0.4	0.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	53	94.3	96.2	11.3	81.1	0.0	79.2	0.0	22.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	321	96.9	97.5	78.2	15.9	0.0	88.8	0.3	4.7
	PLACERVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	297	99.0	99.7	85.9	2.4	0.0	90.9	3.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	132	100.0	99.2	9.8	77.3	0.0	84.8	7.6	10.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	100.0	97.0	3.0	63.6	0.0	36.4	30.3	6.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	37.5
		TOTAL	470	99.1	99.4	57.2	28.5	0.0	83.8	6.8	4.0
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	41	80.5	90.2	24.4	2.4	0.0	26.8	7.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	17	88.2	100.0	17.6	23.5	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	75.0	93.1	18.1	6.9	0.0	20.8	5.6	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	14	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	FRESNO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1276	96.7	92.6	83.8	2.0	0.0	81.7	0.7	0.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	468	95.1	93.8	15.2	69.0	0.0	79.5	0.4	6.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	129	93.8	93.0	4.7	51.9	0.0	20.2	2.3	3.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	36	75.0	94.4	0.0	16.7	0.0	19.4	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1909	95.7	93.0	60.0	22.1	0.0	75.9	0.8	1.9
	CLOVIS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	165	98.2	98.2	90.9	1.8	0.0	89.7	0.6	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	55	100.0	100.0	16.4	80.0	0.0	94.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	75.0	75.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	41.7	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	232	97.4	97.4	68.5	22.4	0.0	88.4	0.4	0.9
	COALINGA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	97	94.8	97.9	88.7	5.2	0.0	58.8	2.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	31	96.8	93.5	25.8	64.5	0.0	58.1	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	132	95.5	97.0	71.2	21.2	0.0	57.6	1.5	0.0
	FIREBAUGH	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	122	100.0	99.2	90.2	0.0	0.0	86.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	35	97.1	94.3	5.7	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	166	98.8	97.6	67.5	13.3	0.0	76.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	FOWLER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	129	99.2	99.2	93.8	3.1	0.0	90.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	46	93.5	97.8	2.2	82.6	0.0	80.4	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	31.3	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	193	97.4	99.0	63.2	28.0	0.0	82.4	0.0	0.0
	KERMAN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	58	96.6	98.3	94.8	0.0	0.0	87.9	3.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	60.0	6.7	6.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	75	93.3	97.3	73.3	13.3	0.0	80.0	5.3	1.3
	KINGSBURG	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	52	98.1	98.1	88.5	1.9	0.0	78.8	13.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	86.7	6.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TOTAL	76	98.7	98.7	69.7	21.1	0.0	73.7	13.2	0.0	
	REEDLEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	90	97.8	93.3	87.8	2.2	0.0	43.3	43.3	2.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	28	96.4	100.0	10.7	82.1	0.0	71.4	10.7	14.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	30.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	TOTAL	131	97.7	95.4	62.6	24.4	0.0	45.8	32.8	6.9	
	SANGER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	103	99.0	99.0	95.1	0.0	0.0	82.5	1.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	26	100.0	100.0	3.8	88.5	0.0	96.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	132	98.5	99.2	75.0	18.2	0.0	84.1	1.5	0.0
	SELMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	89	93.3	76.4	83.1	4.5	0.0	73.0	3.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	33	97.0	97.0	3.0	90.9	0.0	90.9	3.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	132	91.7	82.6	56.8	26.5	0.0	72.0	3.0	0.0	
	US CT FRESNO	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	SUP WILLOWS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	134	97.0	54.5	79.1	4.5	0.0	62.7	8.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	42	100.0	95.2	28.6	59.5	0.0	50.0	7.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	189	95.8	66.7	62.4	18.0	0.0	56.1	7.9	0.0
JUV GLENN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
HUMBOLDT	SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	624	97.1	18.9	80.4	7.2	0.0	89.6	3.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	159	98.7	79.9	11.9	78.0	0.0	83.0	11.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	30	80.0	83.3	3.3	40.0	0.0	26.7	50.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	822	96.7	33.8	63.5	22.3	0.0	85.2	7.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
HUMBOLDT (cont.)	GARBERVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	22	100.0	4.5	86.4	4.5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	83.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	24.1	69.0	24.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	JUV IMPERIAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	150	99.3	45.3	90.7	1.3	0.0	95.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	30	100.0	83.3	36.7	60.0	0.0	96.7	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	99.5	53.5	80.2	12.8	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	202	99.5	22.3	86.1	1.0	0.0	91.6	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	32	96.9	53.1	46.9	43.8	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	100.0	77.8	22.2	55.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	247	99.2	29.6	78.1	9.3	0.0	90.3	0.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	205	99.5	28.3	82.9	1.5	0.0	84.4	1.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	38	100.0	76.3	34.2	50.0	2.6	68.4	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	249	99.6	37.3	73.5	10.8	0.4	80.7	0.8	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	60.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	50.0	87.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	25.0	12.5
	BISHOP	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	106	98.1	17.9	93.4	2.8	0.0	89.6	0.0	2.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	31	96.8	77.4	16.1	74.2	0.0	87.1	0.0	9.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	75.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	141	97.2	31.9	74.5	18.4	0.0	86.5	0.0	5.7
KERN	SUP KERN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	35	40.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	5.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	31.3	100.0	14.6	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0
	JUV KERN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	42	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN-LAMONT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	224	98.7	80.4	83.0	1.3	0.0	55.8	12.1	1.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	65	95.4	93.8	9.2	56.9	0.0	29.2	26.2	3.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	21	95.2	100.0	4.8	4.8	0.0	4.8	61.9	14.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	316	96.8	84.8	61.1	13.3	0.0	45.9	18.7	2.5



TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	BAKERSFIELD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1557	97.9	98.6	75.1	0.1	0.0	84.1	1.6	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	429	97.4	99.1	9.1	18.6	0.0	38.0	18.4	2.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	101	93.1	96.0	7.9	1.0	0.0	13.9	26.7	9.9
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	44.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	4.0
		TOTAL	2112	96.9	98.6	57.6	3.9	0.0	70.4	6.3	1.3
	DELANO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	183	97.8	87.4	82.5	3.8	0.0	54.6	0.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	56	89.3	96.4	7.1	66.1	0.0	41.1	5.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	18	94.4	94.4	5.6	50.0	0.0	33.3	11.1	5.6
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	16.7
		TOTAL	263	95.8	90.1	59.3	20.9	0.0	49.0	3.4	0.8
	LAKE ISABELLA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	91	100.0	100.0	87.9	1.1	0.0	85.7	1.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	22	100.0	90.9	13.6	22.7	0.0	40.9	0.0	4.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	119	99.2	98.3	70.6	5.0	0.0	73.9	1.7	0.8
	TAFT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	159	96.9	96.9	86.2	3.8	1.3	68.6	25.2	6.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	30	96.7	93.3	23.3	63.3	6.7	40.0	36.7	70.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	50.0	75.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	199	95.5	95.5	72.4	14.6	2.5	60.8	27.6	18.6
	SHAFTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	156	99.4	94.2	91.7	2.6	0.0	59.0	5.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	44	95.5	93.2	18.2	54.5	0.0	50.0	9.1	2.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	84.6	76.9	0.0	30.8	0.0	7.7	38.5	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	215	97.7	93.0	70.7	15.3	0.0	54.0	8.4	0.5
	MOJAVE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	178	98.9	99.4	75.8	1.1	0.0	75.8	0.0	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	50	100.0	98.0	10.0	54.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	91.0	91.7	0.0	25.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	245	98.0	98.8	57.1	13.1	0.0	68.2	0.0	0.4
	RIDGECREST	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	156	96.8	99.4	73.1	0.6	0.0	73.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	33	100.0	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	45.5	3.0	3.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	193	97.4	99.5	60.1	8.3	0.0	67.9	0.5	1.0
	EDWARDS AFB	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	9	44.4	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	9.1	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	25.8	83.9	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV HANFORD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KINGS (cont.)	HANFORD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	381	88.2	96.9	80.6	0.5	0.0	68.5	19.7	1.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	123	85.4	96.7	24.4	41.5	0.0	63.4	26.8	11.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	76.0	92.0	32.0	12.0	0.0	24.0	32.0	4.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	66.7	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
		TOTAL	535	86.7	96.6	64.7	10.5	0.0	64.5	22.4	3.9
	AVENAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	53	96.2	94.3	77.4	1.9	0.0	77.4	15.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	20	75.0	90.0	10.0	60.0	0.0	70.0	20.0	10.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	76	90.8	93.4	56.6	18.4	0.0	73.7	15.8	3.9
	CORCORAN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	43	90.7	95.3	81.4	0.0	0.0	74.4	20.9	2.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	13	92.3	100.0	15.4	46.2	0.0	53.8	38.5	15.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7
		TOTAL	62	88.7	96.8	59.7	11.3	0.0	64.5	24.2	6.5
	LEMOORE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	51	90.2	94.1	76.5	0.0	0.0	66.7	13.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	9	66.7	100.0	44.4	11.1	0.0	44.4	22.2	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	65	84.6	95.4	66.2	3.1	0.0	60.0	15.4	1.5
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	254	98.4	26.0	95.3	2.0	0.0	96.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	84	97.6	82.1	25.0	71.4	0.0	96.4	0.0	1.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	20	100.0	95.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	363	97.8	43.8	73.0	20.1	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.3
	JUV LAKEPORT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	174	98.9	17.8	93.7	0.6	0.0	93.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	63	96.8	71.4	25.4	52.4	0.0	33.3	0.0	4.8
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	91.7	91.7	8.3	83.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	33.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	251	98.0	35.5	71.7	17.5	0.0	73.7	0.0	2.8
	LAKEPORT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	10	100.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	62.5	62.5	37.5	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	SUP LASSEN	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LASSEN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0
	SUSANVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	175	94.9	97.7	85.1	6.3	0.6	73.7	5.7	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	40	95.0	100.0	17.5	72.5	0.0	20.0	30.0	5.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	77.8	88.9	11.1	55.6	11.1	22.2	11.1	11.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	225	94.2	97.8	69.8	20.4	0.9	61.8	10.2	1.8

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LASSEN (cont.)	US MAG SUSANVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	95	70.5	88.4	24.2	0.0	1.1	10.5	9.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	42	42.9	100.0	2.4	14.3	0.0	7.1	4.8	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	18	16.7	94.4	0.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	11.1	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	45	24.4	95.6	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	200	49.5	93.0	12.0	3.5	1.5	7.0	8.0	0.0
		TOTAL	200	49.5	93.0	12.0	3.5	1.5	7.0	8.0	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	57	63.2	87.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	22.8	8.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	44.4	94.4	0.0	27.8	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	33.3	91.7	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	16.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	33.3	83.3	0.0	8.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	99	52.5	88.9	19.2	7.1	3.0	17.2	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	99	52.5	88.9	19.2	7.1	3.0	17.2	7.1	0.0
	SUP LANCASTR	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	23	60.9	60.9	21.7	0.0	0.0	13.0	13.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	28.6	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	45.7	74.3	17.1	2.9	0.0	8.6	8.6	0.0
		TOTAL	35	45.7	74.3	17.1	2.9	0.0	8.6	8.6	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	72	56.9	79.2	6.9	1.4	0.0	5.6	9.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	28	46.4	92.9	7.1	7.1	0.0	10.7	17.9	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	13.0	91.3	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	21.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	17	11.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6	0.0
		TOTAL	140	42.1	86.4	5.0	2.1	1.4	5.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	140	42.1	86.4	5.0	2.1	1.4	5.0	14.3	0.0
	SUP PASADENA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	25	88.0	76.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	4.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	67.5	85.0	7.5	2.5	0.0	7.5	2.5	0.0
		TOTAL	40	67.5	85.0	7.5	2.5	0.0	7.5	2.5	0.0
	SUP LONGBEACH	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	20	55.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	12	66.7	83.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	27.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	46	50.0	87.0	6.5	2.2	2.2	8.7	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	46	50.0	87.0	6.5	2.2	2.2	8.7	4.3	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	10	80.0	90.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	61.1	94.4	16.7	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	18	61.1	94.4	16.7	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	18	61.1	94.4	16.7	0.0	0.0	5.6	11.1	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	41	63.4	82.9	36.6	0.0	0.0	29.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	13	69.2	92.3	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	40.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	61.2	86.6	23.9	9.0	0.0	23.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	61.2	86.6	23.9	9.0	0.0	23.9	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SUP TORRANCE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	32	78.1	87.5	31.3	3.1	0.0	34.4	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	81.8	90.9	9.1	36.4	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	10	40.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	70.9	90.9	20.0	12.7	0.0	30.9	0.0	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	26	69.2	88.5	30.8	3.8	0.0	19.2	15.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	9	66.7	66.7	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3	11.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	13	46.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	64.0	88.0	16.0	8.0	0.0	16.0	12.0	0.0
	LA JUV CENTRAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	22	100.0	9.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0
		TOTAL	22	100.0	9.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0
	LA MUNI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	798	99.4	32.5	92.9	1.6	0.1	81.8	1.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	172	98.8	84.3	15.7	73.3	1.2	76.2	3.5	1.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	30	96.7	83.3	6.7	40.0	6.7	23.3	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1002	99.2	43.0	76.8	15.2	0.5	78.9	1.6	0.2
	ALHAMBRA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	444	94.1	59.2	85.1	1.6	0.0	80.9	0.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	138	92.0	94.9	7.2	79.7	0.0	67.4	1.4	5.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	87.5	81.3	0.0	31.3	12.5	12.5	0.0	6.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	599	93.5	68.1	64.8	20.4	0.3	75.8	0.7	1.3
	LANCASTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	541	98.3	96.5	95.2	1.3	0.0	88.7	2.0	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	116	100.0	98.3	8.6	87.1	0.9	78.4	8.6	5.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	19	94.7	68.4	0.0	52.6	26.3	10.5	10.5	26.3
		TOTAL	676	98.5	96.0	77.7	17.5	0.9	84.8	3.4	1.9
	BEVERLY HILLS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	250	99.6	29.6	96.0	0.4	0.0	94.0	0.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	37	97.3	81.1	18.9	70.3	0.0	83.8	5.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	100.0	44.4	11.1	33.3	33.3	33.3	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	296	99.3	36.5	83.8	10.1	1.0	90.9	1.4	0.0
	BURBANK	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	241	99.2	53.5	90.0	5.4	0.4	96.7	0.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	50	96.0	92.0	14.0	74.0	2.0	84.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	19	100.0	63.2	5.3	26.3	31.6	5.3	31.6	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	314	97.8	60.8	71.7	17.5	2.5	87.9	2.9	0.0
	WEST COVINA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1135	97.5	50.4	93.3	1.9	0.0	85.5	1.6	0.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	300	95.0	94.3	11.3	80.0	0.0	83.7	2.3	5.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	51	94.1	94.1	0.0	74.5	5.9	11.8	2.0	9.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1489	96.8	60.8	73.4	20.2	0.2	82.4	1.8	1.5
	SUP CHATSWORTH	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	100.0	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	66.7	66.7	16.7	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	COMPTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	996	97.8	85.1	90.7	3.6	0.0	90.9	0.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	270	99.3	93.7	18.1	72.6	0.0	91.1	1.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	65	98.5	80.0	3.1	60.0	12.3	52.3	1.5	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	23	87.0	91.3	0.0	30.4	4.3	30.4	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1354	97.9	86.7	70.5	20.5	0.7	88.0	0.7	0.0
	DOWNEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	567	98.8	22.4	81.3	1.9	0.0	87.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	144	99.3	81.9	5.6	82.6	2.8	80.6	1.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	22	100.0	72.7	4.5	54.5	22.7	31.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	733	98.9	35.6	64.1	19.4	1.2	84.6	0.3	0.0
	EAST LA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	804	98.9	20.9	92.3	1.0	0.0	92.5	1.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	194	99.5	81.4	16.5	75.3	0.5	91.8	1.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	38	97.4	57.9	0.0	34.2	39.5	5.3	7.9	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL MONTE	TOTAL	1037	98.9	33.6	74.6	16.1	1.6	89.1	1.3	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	722	98.1	23.1	94.0	1.4	0.0	90.7	1.8	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	167	99.4	76.6	17.4	75.4	0.0	84.4	2.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	93.9	97.0	3.0	60.6	3.0	21.2	0.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	TOTAL	922	98.2	35.5	76.9	16.9	0.1	87.1	1.8	0.1
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	400	99.0	19.0	95.0	0.5	0.0	91.8	1.2	1.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	86	96.5	90.7	14.0	75.6	0.0	81.4	3.5	16.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	86.7	86.7	0.0	66.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	20.0
	INGLEWOOD	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	504	98.0	33.7	77.8	15.5	0.2	86.9	1.8	4.4
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	507	96.8	12.4	90.9	1.4	0.2	90.5	1.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	129	96.9	67.4	20.2	69.0	2.3	86.8	4.7	0.0
	LONG BEACH	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	29	93.1	69.0	10.3	37.9	13.8	41.4	6.9	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	666	96.7	25.5	73.7	16.1	1.2	87.7	2.0	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	904	99.2	28.8	85.0	0.8	0.0	71.0	0.1	0.0
	LA METRO	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	220	100.0	74.5	9.1	71.8	0.0	57.7	0.9	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	53	98.1	66.0	3.8	15.1	22.6	11.3	1.9	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1179	99.3	39.1	67.0	14.8	1.0	65.7	0.3	0.0
	BELLFLOWER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4385	99.0	48.8	94.7	2.5	0.0	85.5	0.2	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	962	98.9	95.6	6.9	88.9	0.2	84.4	0.3	1.8
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	160	95.6	95.0	2.5	45.6	3.1	11.9	3.1	3.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	72.7	72.7	18.2	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
	BELLFLOWER	TOTAL	5518	98.9	58.3	76.5	18.8	0.1	83.1	0.3	0.5
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	344	99.1	14.0	94.8	0.9	0.0	86.6	7.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	86	96.5	94.2	4.7	82.6	1.2	72.1	17.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	87.5	100.0	6.3	37.5	0.0	18.8	18.8	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	447	98.2	32.7	74.0	18.1	0.2	81.2	10.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	VALENCIA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	614	99.5	34.0	93.0	2.0	0.0	84.4	0.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	144	96.5	95.8	6.3	81.3	0.0	79.2	0.7	0.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	90.9	93.9	3.0	66.7	3.0	18.2	9.1	3.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	83.3	50.0	16.7	16.7	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	797	98.5	47.8	73.0	19.1	0.4	80.2	0.9	0.3
	PASADENA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	533	99.4	12.2	94.2	0.6	0.0	97.2	0.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	144	98.6	81.3	12.5	81.3	0.0	93.8	0.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	100.0	92.0	0.0	36.0	4.0	16.0	32.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	704	99.3	29.4	73.9	18.3	0.1	93.3	1.6	0.0
	MALIBU	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	298	98.0	11.7	92.6	1.7	0.3	93.0	0.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	63	96.8	90.5	7.9	84.1	0.0	87.3	0.0	3.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	84.6	46.2	0.0	30.8	53.8	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	377	97.1	26.8	74.5	16.4	2.1	88.3	0.5	0.5
	POMONA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	669	99.4	30.0	94.0	1.2	0.0	86.7	0.7	0.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	174	98.9	90.2	9.2	85.1	0.0	87.4	1.1	1.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	27	92.6	92.6	0.0	33.3	3.7	14.8	0.0	7.4
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	873	99.0	44.2	73.9	18.9	0.1	84.3	0.8	0.8
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	554	98.6	26.4	88.8	1.6	0.2	91.0	1.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	132	96.2	84.1	12.9	76.5	3.0	82.6	2.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	95.7	78.3	13.0	56.5	13.0	39.1	13.0	0.0
		TOTAL	709	98.0	38.8	72.2	17.3	1.1	87.7	2.3	0.0
	MONROVIA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	269	99.6	15.6	91.8	0.7	0.0	92.2	3.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	72	100.0	77.8	18.1	73.6	0.0	90.3	4.2	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	350	98.9	30.3	74.3	16.0	0.3	89.7	3.7	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	138	100.0	15.2	94.9	0.7	0.7	91.3	2.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	30	100.0	76.7	10.0	76.7	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	175	100.0	29.1	76.6	14.9	0.6	86.9	2.3	0.0
	TORRANCE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1067	98.4	18.0	94.8	1.0	0.1	88.0	2.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	243	96.7	84.0	15.6	79.0	0.4	86.0	3.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	41	90.2	68.3	0.0	36.6	22.0	29.3	17.1	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1356	97.8	31.6	77.4	16.3	0.8	85.5	2.9	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	261	97.3	31.4	89.7	2.3	0.0	83.5	1.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	54	98.1	85.2	11.1	79.6	5.6	75.9	1.9	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	327	97.6	42.2	73.4	16.8	1.5	80.1	1.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	WHITTIER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	608	97.9	20.4	88.5	1.6	1.6	87.8	5.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	149	98.0	90.6	10.7	81.2	0.0	87.2	4.0	0.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	32	96.9	84.4	0.0	25.0	15.6	9.4	6.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	791	97.9	36.3	70.0	17.7	2.0	84.5	5.2	0.1
	HOLLYWOOD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	266	99.2	28.6	88.3	1.5	0.0	87.2	0.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	33	100.0	75.8	21.2	78.8	0.0	87.9	3.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	302	99.3	34.4	80.1	10.3	0.0	86.4	1.3	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1281	99.4	41.9	92.1	2.7	0.0	84.6	2.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	330	99.1	94.5	6.7	88.8	0.0	73.3	9.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	59	96.6	91.5	1.7	69.5	8.5	16.9	10.2	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1675	99.2	54.2	71.8	22.2	0.3	79.8	4.4	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	306	98.4	38.9	89.2	4.2	0.3	70.3	1.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	78	97.4	91.0	14.1	73.1	1.3	59.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	24	100.0	83.3	12.5	62.5	8.3	12.5	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	408	98.3	51.5	70.3	20.8	1.0	64.7	1.5	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1943	98.3	39.6	88.3	2.2	0.0	72.9	0.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	464	97.4	95.9	7.1	80.8	0.2	67.7	3.2	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	79	96.2	84.8	2.5	38.0	15.2	6.3	5.1	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	7.1	21.4	0.0
		TOTAL	2500	97.8	51.8	70.0	18.0	0.5	69.5	1.5	0.0
	AVALON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	6	100.0	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	14.3	85.7	14.3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	45	82.2	91.1	60.0	0.0	0.0	24.4	15.6	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	13	84.6	100.0	7.7	38.5	0.0	38.5	7.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	80.0	93.8	44.6	12.3	0.0	26.2	12.3	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	334	96.7	99.4	88.3	5.4	0.0	57.8	11.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	90	97.8	97.8	22.2	73.3	0.0	65.6	10.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	28	100.0	100.0	7.1	32.1	0.0	25.0	14.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	62.5	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	460	96.5	99.1	69.3	20.7	0.0	56.3	12.0	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	75	92.0	100.0	85.3	2.7	0.0	54.7	34.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	24	95.8	95.8	4.2	79.2	0.0	45.8	33.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	93.1	99.0	65.3	21.8	0.0	52.5	34.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1035	99.1	8.1	86.1	2.1	0.0	90.9	8.2	1.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	185	98.9	83.2	6.5	85.4	0.0	88.1	9.7	5.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	40	100.0	92.5	0.0	27.5	0.0	20.0	75.0	47.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	100.0	71.4	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6	64.3	50.0
		TOTAL	1274	99.1	22.4	70.9	15.3	0.0	87.6	11.1	3.6
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	43	97.7	90.7	74.4	4.7	0.0	69.8	7.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	94.4	77.8	0.0	55.6	16.7	55.6	11.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	63	95.2	87.3	50.8	20.6	4.8	63.5	7.9	0.0
	US MAG YOSEMITE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	UKIAH CONSLD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	WILLITS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	79	97.5	96.2	81.0	2.5	0.0	83.5	1.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	37	94.6	100.0	5.4	67.6	0.0	75.7	2.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	120	96.7	97.5	55.0	24.2	0.0	79.2	1.7	0.0
	UKIAH	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	216	86.6	94.4	75.5	3.7	0.5	77.8	1.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	64	96.9	98.4	9.4	75.0	0.0	78.1	1.6	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	294	87.8	95.6	57.5	21.8	0.3	75.2	1.4	0.0
	BOONVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	80.0	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	8	87.5	100.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	90.9	100.0	63.6	27.3	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0
	LEGGETT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	16	93.8	100.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	93.8	6.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	93.1	100.0	55.2	24.1	0.0	72.4	10.3	0.0
	COVELO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	57.1	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	55	98.2	98.2	87.3	1.8	0.0	81.8	5.5	1.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	27	96.3	100.0	3.7	85.2	0.0	85.2	7.4	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	92	97.8	96.7	53.3	32.6	0.0	73.9	5.4	1.1



TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	28	67.9	67.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	21.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	47	68.1	80.9	0.0	2.1	0.0	8.5	12.8	0.0
	MERCED	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	513	99.2	95.5	80.9	3.3	0.4	91.8	1.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	180	98.3	97.8	13.3	72.8	0.0	91.7	5.6	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	49	98.0	98.0	8.2	71.4	0.0	53.1	26.5	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	747	98.7	96.3	59.3	24.5	0.3	88.6	4.0	0.0
	LOS BANOS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	265	99.2	92.5	95.8	1.1	0.0	92.1	0.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	48	100.0	97.9	20.8	77.1	0.0	89.6	2.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	44.4	22.2	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	324	99.4	93.5	81.5	14.8	0.0	90.1	1.5	0.0
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	45	88.9	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	68.9	2.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	72.7	100.0	45.5	27.3	0.0	36.4	9.1	9.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	86.2	84.5	70.7	8.6	0.0	60.3	6.9	1.7
MONO	JUV MONO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	34	100.0	79.4	94.1	2.9	0.0	76.5	2.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	95.0	82.5	80.0	10.0	0.0	70.0	5.0	2.5
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	64	100.0	59.4	96.9	1.6	0.0	87.5	3.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	14	100.0	92.9	14.3	78.6	0.0	85.7	0.0	7.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	82	100.0	67.1	78.0	17.1	0.0	85.4	3.7	1.2
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	41	85.4	97.6	43.9	0.0	0.0	12.2	56.1	4.9
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	17	64.7	88.2	0.0	11.8	0.0	5.9	41.2	11.8
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	75.0	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	56.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	19	89.5	100.0	0.0	21.1	0.0	0.0	78.9	31.6
		TOTAL	93	80.6	95.7	19.4	9.7	0.0	6.5	58.1	10.8
	JUV SALINAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	7	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA MUNI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	SALINAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1498	99.1	91.3	72.9	1.7	0.1	49.1	33.6	4.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	336	99.7	98.5	6.0	61.3	0.0	22.3	34.8	64.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	84	98.8	100.0	4.8	50.0	0.0	3.6	53.6	67.9
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	100.0	57.1
		TOTAL	1925	99.2	92.9	58.0	14.3	0.1	42.2	34.9	18.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONTEREY (cont.)	KING CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	374	99.7	98.7	57.2	1.1	0.0	39.6	40.9	8.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	108	100.0	100.0	3.7	36.1	0.0	21.3	73.1	76.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	4.3	100.0	100.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		TOTAL	510	99.8	99.0	42.7	8.8	0.0	33.7	51.0	28.2
	US MAG MONTEREY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
NAPA	NAPA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	701	98.7	97.0	84.2	1.4	0.0	93.7	0.6	0.7
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	174	97.7	97.7	12.6	80.5	0.0	94.8	1.1	16.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	39	92.3	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	79.5	2.6	43.6
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	25.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	926	97.3	97.3	66.1	20.3	0.0	92.3	0.8	5.5
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	216	97.2	91.2	88.0	0.9	0.0	84.7	1.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	59	98.3	100.0	0.0	83.1	0.0	72.9	0.0	1.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	25.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	293	97.6	93.5	64.8	20.5	0.0	78.2	1.0	1.4
	TRUCKEE JUV	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TRUCKEE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	154	98.7	98.1	93.5	2.6	0.0	94.8	0.6	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	38	100.0	100.0	15.8	81.6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI		2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	TOTAL	207	98.6	98.6	73.9	22.2	0.0	94.7	0.5	0.5
1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI		87	81.6	75.9	52.9	8.0	0.0	48.3	11.5	0.0	
2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI		23	60.9	82.6	13.0	26.1	4.3	21.7	8.7	4.3	
3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI		15	40.0	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	
4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI		25	44.0	100.0	4.0	32.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	4.0	
	JUV ORANGE	TOTAL	150	68.0	82.7	33.3	14.7	0.7	31.3	10.7	1.3
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	72	95.8	9.7	80.6	1.4	0.0	8.3	45.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	95.9	11.0	80.8	1.4	0.0	8.2	45.2	0.0
		FULLERTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2317	99.7	30.3	92.9	1.4	0.0	87.7	7.3
2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	530		99.4	89.6	8.5	84.7	0.2	55.3	30.6	20.8	
3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	81		97.5	93.8	3.7	82.7	0.0	7.4	16.0	35.8	
4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3		0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	2931		99.5	42.8	75.1	18.7	0.0	79.5	11.7	5.4	
	WESTMINSTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1922	99.4	16.4	94.6	1.4	0.0	93.9	0.4	0.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	465	99.1	91.8	9.2	86.7	0.0	78.3	2.8	13.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	83	100.0	97.6	2.4	88.0	0.0	21.7	3.6	45.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	19	36.8	100.0	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8
		TOTAL	2489	98.9	33.8	74.8	20.4	0.0	87.9	1.0	4.8

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont.)	LAGUNA HILLS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	721	99.4	15.3	95.0	0.7	0.0	85.4	7.1	0.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	171	98.8	89.5	4.7	88.9	0.0	78.9	11.1	7.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	37	100.0	97.3	0.0	73.0	0.0	13.5	2.7	8.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	930	99.2	32.3	74.5	19.8	0.0	81.3	7.6	1.8
	NEWPORT BEACH	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2190	99.3	19.9	95.9	1.1	0.0	92.4	4.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	481	99.2	91.7	6.9	87.7	0.0	49.9	47.0	5.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	89	97.8	93.3	3.4	78.7	0.0	4.5	29.2	13.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	25.0	87.5	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3
		TOTAL	2776	98.8	35.1	77.0	18.7	0.0	81.7	13.0	1.4
	SANTA ANA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1521	99.3	37.5	96.9	0.9	0.0	78.6	5.1	5.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	378	98.1	92.1	7.9	87.3	0.3	77.0	2.9	20.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	59	100.0	93.2	1.7	89.8	3.4	18.6	1.7	54.2
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1962	99.1	49.8	76.7	20.4	0.2	76.4	4.6	9.5
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	949	98.0	98.7	60.3	2.6	0.0	87.7	0.8	3.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	270	95.9	99.3	13.0	72.6	0.0	80.7	1.5	16.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	53	88.7	96.2	1.9	52.8	0.0	20.8	0.0	24.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1281	97.0	98.8	47.5	19.6	0.0	82.8	1.0	7.0
	JUV AUBURN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	9	77.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	77.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0
	SUP TAHOE CITY	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	187	98.9	99.5	84.5	3.7	0.0	90.9	1.6	1.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	41	100.0	100.0	17.1	78.0	0.0	80.5	7.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	234	98.7	99.6	70.5	18.4	0.0	88.0	2.6	0.9
PLUMAS	PORTOLA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	100.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	118	98.3	100.0	86.4	7.6	0.0	94.1	0.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	27	96.3	100.0	3.7	88.9	0.0	96.3	3.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	154	96.8	100.0	66.9	25.3	0.0	90.9	1.9	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	310	87.7	93.2	76.8	3.9	0.0	43.2	3.2	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	76	81.6	97.4	30.3	51.3	0.0	50.0	3.9	7.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	32	71.9	100.0	3.1	62.5	0.0	21.9	0.0	12.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	36	66.7	91.7	2.8	50.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0
		TOTAL	454	83.9	94.3	57.9	19.6	0.0	39.6	3.3	2.6
	SUP INDIO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	49	77.6	89.8	57.1	8.2	0.0	40.8	18.4	2.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	24	79.2	87.5	16.7	50.0	0.0	50.0	20.8	4.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	81.3	50.0	6.3	18.8	0.0	6.3	18.8	18.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	24	75.0	87.5	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	37.5	8.3
		TOTAL	113	77.9	83.2	29.2	23.9	0.0	29.2	23.0	6.2
	JUV INDIO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0
	CORONA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	389	99.5	97.7	94.6	2.3	0.0	91.3	3.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	72	100.0	97.2	13.9	81.9	0.0	29.2	63.9	4.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	55.6	0.0	11.1	33.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	471	99.4	97.7	80.5	15.5	0.0	80.0	13.0	0.6
	HEMET	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	416	97.6	92.5	92.5	2.9	0.0	82.9	1.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	126	96.0	99.2	15.1	79.4	0.0	65.9	2.4	0.8
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	27	92.6	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	25.9	3.7	3.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	571	97.0	94.4	70.8	24.2	0.0	76.2	2.1	0.4
	BANNING	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	351	100.0	54.1	95.2	2.3	0.0	89.5	5.1	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	113	100.0	93.8	21.2	77.0	0.0	76.1	13.3	0.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	100.0	92.0	8.0	88.0	0.0	32.0	8.0	12.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	500	100.0	66.0	72.0	25.6	0.0	81.6	7.2	1.2
	INDIO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1165	98.7	61.4	93.3	1.2	0.0	95.1	0.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	268	98.1	92.2	13.1	79.5	0.0	91.0	4.1	0.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	73	90.4	94.5	5.5	56.2	0.0	31.5	49.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	19	78.9	73.7	0.0	26.3	0.0	5.3	57.9	0.0
		TOTAL	1525	98.0	68.5	73.8	17.9	0.0	90.2	4.3	0.1
	MORENO VALLEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1271	97.7	94.3	81.4	2.0	0.0	80.2	6.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	336	95.5	98.2	14.9	75.0	0.0	75.9	8.9	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	65	93.8	98.5	4.6	81.5	0.0	23.1	9.2	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	69.2	0.0	23.1	0.0	7.7
		TOTAL	1685	97.2	95.3	64.6	20.1	0.0	76.7	7.2	0.2
	PALM SPRINGS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BLYTHE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	115	96.5	27.8	87.8	4.3	0.0	84.3	8.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	100.0	83.3	22.2	66.7	0.0	77.8	16.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0
		TOTAL	141	97.2	39.0	74.5	14.9	0.0	79.4	14.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	PERRIS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1087	99.2	91.0	91.1	2.1	0.0	80.3	0.6	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	269	97.8	95.5	13.8	80.3	0.0	40.1	3.0	1.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	59	93.1	96.6	5.2	87.9	0.0	10.3	1.7	1.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	86.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1428	98.6	92.2	72.1	21.2	0.0	69.0	1.2	0.4
SACRAMENTO	TEMECULA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP SACTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	101	77.2	97.0	34.7	16.8	0.0	5.9	34.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	68	66.2	98.5	5.9	39.7	0.0	8.8	29.4	7.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	32	71.9	93.8	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	31.3	3.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	52	80.8	94.2	0.0	51.9	0.0	0.0	28.8	3.8
		TOTAL	253	74.3	96.4	15.4	32.8	0.0	4.7	31.6	3.2
	JUV SACTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	53	100.0	94.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	49.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	56	100.0	94.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	5.4	48.2	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3327	99.4	96.3	76.3	1.3	0.0	64.6	12.1	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1014	98.6	98.4	10.0	42.9	0.0	42.5	28.4	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	278	98.6	100.0	2.9	21.9	0.0	10.1	14.7	0.4
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	49	91.8	100.0	0.0	18.4	0.0	8.2	6.1	2.0
		TOTAL	4668	99.1	97.0	56.7	11.7	0.0	56.0	15.7	0.2
	ELK GROVE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	89	100.0	96.6	89.9	4.5	0.0	93.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	23	100.0	100.0	8.7	78.3	0.0	87.0	8.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	100.0	97.4	70.1	20.5	0.0	88.9	1.7	0.0
	GALT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	28	96.4	82.1	82.1	0.0	0.0	82.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	76.9	0.0	76.9	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	97.6	88.1	57.1	23.8	0.0	78.6	0.0	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	14	100.0	92.9	92.9	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	95.0	70.0	10.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0
	SACTO US MAG	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
SAN BENITO	SUP SBENITO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	162	100.0	99.4	72.2	1.9	0.0	69.1	25.9	1.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	66	98.5	98.5	7.6	60.6	0.0	68.2	28.8	19.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	36.4	36.4
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	242	98.8	99.2	50.4	18.2	0.0	64.9	27.3	7.9
	JUV HOLLISTR	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BENITO (cont.)	HOLLISTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	8	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	100.0	46.2	30.8	0.0	76.9	23.1	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	229	96.9	73.8	86.9	4.4	0.0	85.2	1.3	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	32	100.0	93.8	25.0	71.9	0.0	81.3	3.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	76.9	84.6	0.0	38.5	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	10	70.0	60.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	284	95.4	76.1	72.9	15.1	0.0	78.2	1.4	0.4
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	419	96.7	37.7	92.1	2.6	0.0	89.3	1.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	91	95.6	94.5	8.8	84.6	0.0	89.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	83.3	91.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	8	37.5	50.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	530	95.3	48.9	74.3	18.7	0.0	86.6	1.7	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	121	90.1	39.7	81.0	2.5	0.0	77.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	28	78.6	71.4	7.1	60.7	0.0	50.0	7.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	57.1	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	8	37.5	37.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	164	84.1	47.0	61.0	13.4	0.0	66.5	2.4	0.0
	SUP MUN BARSTOW	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	288	95.1	62.5	86.1	4.9	0.0	89.2	4.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	75	93.3	92.0	12.0	78.7	0.0	85.3	4.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	84.6	69.2	15.4	23.1	0.0	23.1	15.4	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	381	94.2	69.0	68.2	20.2	0.0	85.0	5.0	0.0
	SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	16	75.0	62.5	62.5	6.3	0.0	37.5	12.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	57.1	71.4	14.3	42.9	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	60.7	57.1	39.3	17.9	0.0	25.0	28.6	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNARDINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP MUN RNCHO CUCAMNGA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP MUN CHINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	326	97.9	35.3	93.9	1.2	0.0	91.4	1.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	75	100.0	93.3	13.3	84.0	0.0	90.7	2.7	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	14	85.7	92.9	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	14.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	8	75.0	75.0	12.5	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	423	97.4	48.2	74.9	18.4	0.0	88.7	2.1	0.0
	SUP MUN REDLANDS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	421	96.2	54.4	93.3	1.7	0.0	91.2	3.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	94	95.7	88.3	12.8	79.8	1.1	85.1	1.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	27	92.6	96.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	40.7	14.8	0.0
		4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3	57.1	0.0
		TOTAL	549	95.6	62.7	73.8	18.8	0.2	86.7	4.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	886	99.1	67.5	93.9	1.1	0.0	90.0	0.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	235	97.4	89.8	17.9	66.4	0.0	66.0	9.4	0.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	58	94.8	89.7	5.2	36.2	0.0	25.9	10.3	1.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	92.9	85.7	0.0	35.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1193	98.5	73.2	73.5	16.1	0.0	81.4	2.8	0.2
	SUP MUN FONTANA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	707	98.4	41.2	93.1	3.1	0.0	87.4	1.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	166	97.6	91.0	12.0	79.5	0.0	84.3	1.2	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	37	94.6	94.6	10.8	40.5	0.0	24.3	13.5	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	50.0	43.8	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	926	97.3	52.3	73.7	18.5	0.0	82.8	1.8	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	545	97.1	47.5	91.6	2.2	0.0	88.1	0.7	0.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	147	97.3	93.2	15.0	73.5	0.0	80.3	4.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	29	89.7	86.2	3.4	58.6	0.0	51.7	3.4	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	726	96.8	58.5	71.9	19.1	0.0	84.6	1.8	0.1
	SUP MUN RNCHO CUCAMONGA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1534	98.5	35.5	95.8	1.0	0.0	92.9	2.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	381	99.5	88.7	19.2	76.9	0.0	93.4	2.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	62	98.4	96.8	4.8	37.1	0.0	19.4	12.9	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1982	98.6	47.8	78.0	16.8	0.0	90.5	2.6	0.0
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	122	98.4	86.9	92.6	0.0	0.0	92.6	2.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	24	100.0	100.0	4.2	95.8	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	149	98.7	89.3	76.5	17.4	0.0	90.6	2.0	0.0
	TWINPEAKS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	144	99.3	41.0	95.1	1.4	0.0	95.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	29	96.6	96.6	10.3	86.2	0.0	62.1	34.5	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	183	97.8	52.5	76.5	18.0	0.0	84.7	8.7	0.0
	NEEDLESSUPMU	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	118	98.3	42.4	61.0	0.8	0.0	65.3	17.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	18	100.0	88.9	22.2	55.6	0.0	33.3	50.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	142	97.2	49.3	53.5	9.2	0.0	58.5	23.9	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	209	95.7	38.3	90.0	1.0	0.0	88.0	1.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	47	97.9	89.4	17.0	78.7	0.0	87.2	2.1	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	93.3	93.3	13.3	46.7	0.0	46.7	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	271	95.9	50.2	73.1	17.0	0.0	85.6	1.8	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	107	79.4	93.5	34.6	0.0	0.0	18.7	4.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	42	69.0	97.6	2.4	21.4	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	11	63.6	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	23	56.5	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	183	73.2	95.1	20.8	6.0	0.0	13.1	2.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont.)	SUP VISTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	142	78.2	88.7	40.1	10.6	0.0	29.6	1.4	1.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	52	80.8	96.2	5.8	26.9	0.0	19.2	1.9	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	18	27.8	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	18	27.8	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	230	70.9	92.2	26.1	13.9	0.0	23.5	1.3	0.9
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	32	68.8	96.9	31.3	3.1	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	16	56.3	93.8	6.3	12.5	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	57	63.2	96.5	19.3	5.3	0.0	12.3	5.3	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	99	3.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	99	3.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1536	96.8	15.5	82.7	2.3	0.0	80.3	4.3	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	421	94.1	82.9	9.3	60.6	0.0	66.7	1.4	0.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	96	89.6	91.7	5.2	31.3	0.0	20.8	26.0	4.2
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	27	70.4	100.0	7.4	29.6	0.0	25.9	3.7	11.1
		TOTAL	2080	95.6	33.8	63.3	15.8	0.0	74.1	4.7	0.6
	VISTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2491	98.5	17.1	89.1	3.9	0.0	85.6	4.6	0.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	658	98.2	83.0	13.8	74.0	0.0	75.5	7.8	2.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	120	96.7	92.5	7.5	70.0	0.0	30.8	16.7	2.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	100.0	100.0	7.1	71.4	0.0	14.3	7.1	7.1
		TOTAL	3283	98.4	33.4	70.7	20.6	0.0	81.3	5.7	0.9
	SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3622	98.7	98.0	90.0	2.9	0.0	94.4	2.2	0.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	719	98.5	98.5	16.9	77.9	0.0	91.1	2.4	3.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	115	96.5	99.1	4.3	82.6	0.0	46.1	7.8	14.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	16	87.5	93.8	12.5	62.5	0.0	56.3	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	4471	98.6	98.1	75.8	17.2	0.0	92.5	2.4	1.2
	CHULA VISTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1501	97.9	9.8	91.7	2.5	0.0	92.5	2.0	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	316	97.5	85.1	10.8	77.8	0.3	49.4	43.7	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	47	89.4	95.7	0.0	53.2	0.0	19.1	36.2	2.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1868	97.6	24.8	75.5	16.7	0.1	83.2	10.1	0.2
	US CT SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRAN	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FRAN SUP	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0



TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN FRANCISCO (cont.)	SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	728	99.7	98.5	95.5	1.6	0.0	96.2	0.0	1.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	152	99.3	98.0	22.4	75.0	0.0	95.4	0.7	54.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	100.0	92.0	4.0	76.0	4.0	68.0	0.0	88.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	910	99.7	98.2	80.2	16.3	0.1	94.8	0.1	13.2
	US DIST CT SF	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	100.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	28.6	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
	SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1138	99.0	99.3	88.1	2.5	73.6	12.7	0.6
			2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	384	98.2	99.7	4.4	87.0	75.3	13.8	3.1
			3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	95	93.7	78.9	0.0	37.9	16.8	42.1	10.5
			4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	43	83.7	65.1	0.0	9.3	32.6	2.3	37.2
			TOTAL	1660	98.1	97.3	61.4	24.3	69.0	15.3	2.1
		FRENCH CAMP	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	39	94.9	56.4	5.1	0.0	10.3	10.3	0.0
			TOTAL	39	94.9	56.4	5.1	0.0	10.3	10.3	0.0
		LODI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	468	98.5	99.4	87.4	1.7	78.2	18.2	0.0
			2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	152	100.0	100.0	8.6	72.4	75.7	21.1	4.6
			3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.2	6.1	90.9	3.0
			4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	63.6	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.7	9.1
			TOTAL	664	98.3	99.4	63.6	18.7	72.7	23.3	1.4
		MANTECA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	330	98.8	99.4	93.0	0.9	86.1	5.2	0.0
			2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	91	97.8	96.7	9.9	75.8	76.9	13.2	13.2
			3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	31	96.8	54.8	3.2	29.0	12.9	45.2	29.0
			4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	100.0	62.5	0.0	37.5	12.5	50.0	0.0
			TOTAL	460	98.5	95.2	68.9	18.3	78.0	10.2	4.6
		TRACY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	240	99.2	99.2	94.2	1.7	87.5	6.3	0.4
			2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	66	98.5	100.0	12.1	81.8	84.8	10.6	9.1
			3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	13	100.0	92.3	7.7	61.5	7.7	53.8	7.7
			4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
			TOTAL	323	98.8	99.1	72.8	20.7	82.7	9.3	2.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	23	82.6	100.0	39.1	4.3	0.0	26.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	73.8	100.0	21.4	9.5	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SLOBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	77.8	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	77.8	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1201	95.8	96.8	89.5	1.6	0.0	83.3	4.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	331	96.4	97.9	8.2	81.6	0.0	84.6	2.1	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	70	94.3	98.6	7.1	68.6	0.0	8.6	2.9	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1614	95.8	97.1	68.6	21.4	0.0	79.7	3.8	0.1

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN LUIS OBISPO (cont.)	MORRO BAY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	46	80.4	95.7	6.5	6.5	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	19	63.2	100.0	0.0	26.3	0.0	15.8	5.3	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	82	74.4	97.6	3.7	14.6	0.0	8.5	3.7	0.0
		TOTAL	82	74.4	97.6	3.7	14.6	0.0	8.5	3.7	0.0
	SUP SAN MATEO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	14	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	64.3	0.0
		TOTAL	14	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	64.3	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1210	95.7	99.3	91.2	1.8	0.0	79.5	0.2	1.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	265	97.4	98.9	3.0	86.4	0.0	28.7	4.5	55.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	54	94.4	98.1	3.7	81.5	0.0	9.3	3.7	48.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	80.0
		TOTAL	1534	96.0	99.2	72.6	19.4	0.0	68.1	1.1	12.6
	REDWOOD CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	915	92.1	98.0	86.3	2.1	0.0	75.2	0.9	0.9
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	197	89.8	98.5	5.6	78.2	0.0	27.4	3.0	16.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	35	91.4	100.0	5.7	82.9	0.0	8.6	8.6	20.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1153	91.8	98.2	69.6	18.0	0.0	64.6	1.6	4.1
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	44.4	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1043	97.6	67.7	67.8	1.0	0.0	83.2	12.8	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	218	97.7	98.6	2.3	67.4	0.0	46.3	21.1	5.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	42	95.2	100.0	2.4	61.9	0.0	16.7	52.4	21.4
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.0
		TOTAL	1307	97.4	74.0	54.6	14.0	0.0	74.7	15.5	2.0
	SANTA MARIA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	523	97.7	82.2	38.8	1.5	0.0	51.8	35.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	174	97.7	96.6	6.3	49.4	0.0	28.7	65.5	6.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	42	97.6	97.6	4.8	38.1	0.0	7.1	85.7	19.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	22	68.2	77.3	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
		TOTAL	761	96.8	86.2	28.4	14.7	0.0	42.6	45.6	2.5
	LOMPOC	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	257	98.4	33.9	68.9	2.3	0.0	83.7	9.3	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	90	96.7	91.1	8.9	74.4	0.0	44.4	48.9	1.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	94.1	100.0	5.9	76.5	0.0	0.0	88.2	5.9
		TOTAL	364	97.8	51.1	51.1	23.6	0.0	70.1	22.8	0.8
	SOLVANG	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	136	77.9	96.3	36.0	11.8	0.0	14.0	5.9	1.5
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	78	57.7	100.0	1.3	37.2	0.0	9.0	3.8	6.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	51.5	100.0	0.0	15.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	30	60.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	277	67.1	98.2	18.1	19.9	0.0	9.4	4.0	4.0
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	56	98.2	60.7	76.8	0.0	0.0	7.1	85.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	4	100.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	60	98.3	61.7	76.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	85.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	391	98.7	90.5	87.7	2.0	0.3	84.9	6.4	1.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	98	94.9	100.0	7.1	78.6	0.0	62.2	23.5	35.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	21	100.0	90.5	0.0	57.1	9.5	4.8	0.0	76.2
		TOTAL	510	98.0	92.4	68.6	19.0	0.6	77.3	9.4	11.0
	SAN JOSE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3069	93.4	95.9	77.5	2.6	0.0	82.3	7.0	1.7
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	844	85.3	98.2	8.3	64.2	0.0	67.3	8.9	12.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	175	69.7	97.7	3.4	36.6	0.6	9.7	3.4	25.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	85.7	100.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	57.1	0.0	28.6
		TOTAL	4095	90.7	96.5	60.0	16.8	0.0	76.0	7.2	5.0
	SAN JOSE TRAF	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0
	SUNNYVALE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	445	99.1	93.7	91.9	0.9	0.0	91.5	5.6	0.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	118	98.3	98.3	12.7	75.4	0.0	64.4	6.8	5.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	100.0	94.1	0.0	41.2	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	580	99.0	94.7	73.1	17.2	0.2	83.3	5.7	1.4
	GILROY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	480	98.8	97.7	91.5	4.0	0.0	62.9	7.7	3.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	184	99.5	100.0	15.2	72.8	0.0	42.4	13.6	16.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	28	96.4	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	7.1	10.7	25.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	695	98.7	98.4	67.2	24.2	0.0	55.0	9.4	7.8
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	10	80.0	90.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	65.2	82.6	13.0	8.7	0.0	21.7	52.2	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	21	100.0	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.0	28.6	76.2	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.0	28.6	76.2	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	763	98.6	97.8	87.8	0.9	0.0	88.7	7.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	204	99.5	99.0	7.4	65.7	0.0	71.1	26.0	0.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	55	96.4	98.2	0.0	7.3	0.0	5.5	87.3	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0
		TOTAL	1033	98.5	98.1	66.3	14.1	0.0	79.9	16.1	0.1
	WATSONVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	251	99.6	98.8	63.7	2.4	0.0	61.4	35.5	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	91	100.0	98.9	5.5	51.6	0.0	56.0	44.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	8.7	78.3	0.0
		TOTAL	365	99.7	98.9	45.2	15.1	0.0	56.7	40.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV SHASTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	18	88.9	61.1	33.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	88.9	0.0
		TOTAL	18	88.9	61.1	33.3	0.0	0.0	5.6	88.9	0.0
	BURNEY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	25	100.0	96.0	96.0	4.0	0.0	64.0	8.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	100.0	100.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	41	100.0	95.1	61.0	36.6	0.0	70.7	4.9	0.0
	REDDING	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	700	98.3	97.9	90.3	2.0	0.1	83.9	3.9	0.7
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	212	97.2	98.6	7.5	81.6	0.0	79.7	1.9	13.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	66	83.3	95.5	3.0	31.8	0.0	16.7	7.6	30.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	20	40.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	5.0
		TOTAL	998	95.9	97.9	65.1	21.0	0.1	77.0	4.2	5.4
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	13	92.3	100.0	53.8	30.8	0.0	84.6	7.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	92.3	96.2	34.6	50.0	3.8	84.6	3.8	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUPSISKIYOU	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	7	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	10.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	WEED	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	93	98.9	95.7	81.7	0.0	0.0	71.0	2.2	2.2
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	25	96.0	92.0	40.0	48.0	0.0	52.0	4.0	12.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	125	98.4	95.2	68.8	14.4	0.0	65.6	2.4	4.8
	YREKA JUDIST	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	121	90.1	90.1	66.1	2.5	0.0	54.5	5.8	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	33	84.8	97.0	12.1	57.6	0.0	42.4	0.0	15.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	50.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	167	86.8	92.2	50.9	16.2	0.0	48.5	4.2	3.0
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	28	75.0	96.4	64.3	7.1	0.0	25.0	10.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	16	75.0	100.0	6.3	62.5	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	28.6	92.9	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	66	60.6	97.0	28.8	24.2	0.0	16.7	10.6	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	19	94.7	0.0	63.2	5.3	0.0	15.8	63.2	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	0.0	65.0	5.0	0.0	15.0	65.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	756	95.8	99.1	93.4	1.1	0.0	75.4	1.3	0.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	197	96.4	99.0	11.7	82.7	0.0	41.6	3.0	4.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	50	80.0	98.0	6.0	52.0	0.0	6.0	30.0	14.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SOLANO (cont.)	BENICIA	TOTAL	100.0	95.1	99.0	72.6	19.8	0.0	65.0	3.1	1.8
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	VALLEJO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	238	97.9	88.7	94.5	0.8	0.0	91.6	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	81	96.3	97.5	14.8	79.0	0.0	92.6	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	94.1	100.0	5.9	70.6	0.0	64.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	338	97.3	91.4	70.4	23.4	0.0	90.2	0.0	0.0
	SONOMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	19	57.9	100.0	15.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	54.8	97.6	7.1	2.4	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	20	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SANTA ROSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1506	98.3	96.0	79.9	0.8	0.0	79.3	0.1	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	449	96.7	99.3	4.7	63.9	0.0	61.2	0.0	0.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	91	90.1	100.0	4.4	18.7	0.0	16.5	0.0	1.1
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	27	66.7	96.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2073	97.2	96.9	59.3	15.2	0.0	71.7	0.1	0.2
	SUP STANISLAUS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	956	98.3	94.0	92.1	4.2	0.0	78.1	3.1	0.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	256	98.4	98.4	14.5	77.7	0.0	66.0	3.9	3.1
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	57	96.5	100.0	5.3	70.2	0.0	28.1	8.8	15.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	84.0	100.0	0.0	72.0	0.0	4.0	20.0	8.0
		TOTAL	1294	98.0	95.3	71.1	23.0	0.0	72.1	3.9	1.5
	JUV STANISLAUS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	15	80.0	6.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	76.5	5.9	64.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.9	0.0
	MODESTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TURLOCK	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	YUBA SUP MUN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	60.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	77.8	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	22.2	11.1
	JUV YUBA CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	YUBA CITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	258	96.9	97.3	91.9	1.2	0.0	84.9	2.3	1.9
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	80	96.3	97.5	17.5	72.5	0.0	71.3	7.5	37.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	53.3	0.0	20.0	6.7	53.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	100.0
		TOTAL	356	96.6	97.5	70.5	19.7	0.0	78.4	3.9	12.9

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	35.7	7.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	40.0	100.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	16.0	24.0	4.0
	JUV TEHAMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	CORNING	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	83	94.0	95.2	90.4	2.4	0.0	26.5	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	26	92.3	100.0	11.5	80.8	0.0	19.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	91.5	96.6	66.7	23.9	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
	REDBLUFF	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	172	90.1	98.3	1.2	1.7	0.0	81.4	7.0	0.6
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	52	76.9	98.1	0.0	65.4	0.0	71.2	3.8	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	6.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	240	84.6	98.3	0.8	17.9	0.0	75.4	5.8	0.8
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	69	100.0	94.2	92.8	2.9	0.0	89.9	8.7	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	17	88.2	94.1	11.8	70.6	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	87	97.7	94.3	75.9	17.2	0.0	88.5	8.0	0.0
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	20	55.0	100.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	57.1	100.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0
	JUV TULARE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	11	100.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	54.5	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	54.5	0.0
	DINUBA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	235	97.0	97.9	88.9	0.9	0.0	89.4	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	66	98.5	98.5	21.2	63.6	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	27	96.3	100.0	11.1	40.7	0.0	51.9	0.0	3.7
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	333	97.3	98.2	68.2	16.8	0.0	83.8	0.0	0.3
	PORTERVILLE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	438	97.0	92.9	79.0	4.8	0.0	36.1	0.2	2.1
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	153	96.7	98.0	21.6	66.7	0.0	19.6	0.0	14.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	38	94.7	97.4	18.4	50.0	0.0	2.6	2.6	28.9
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	92.9	100.0	7.1	50.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	35.7
		TOTAL	643	96.7	94.6	60.2	23.2	0.0	29.4	0.6	7.3
	TULARE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	311	98.4	75.2	89.4	4.5	0.0	44.7	1.0	1.3
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	95	96.8	96.8	14.7	71.6	0.0	27.4	3.2	6.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	76.5	0.0	0.0	5.9	11.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	425	98.1	81.2	68.9	22.8	0.0	38.8	1.6	2.8

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE (cont.)	VISALIA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	631	98.4	92.1	90.3	3.6	0.0	82.3	0.3	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	181	98.9	99.4	21.0	70.2	0.0	76.8	0.0	0.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	33	87.9	100.0	9.1	51.5	0.0	21.2	9.1	3.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	17	70.6	88.2	0.0	23.5	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	862	97.6	93.9	70.9	19.8	0.0	77.4	0.6	0.2
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	263	96.6	98.9	91.3	0.8	0.0	85.6	8.4	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	87	97.7	100.0	13.8	78.2	0.0	88.5	4.6	3.4
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	25	88.0	100.0	8.0	24.0	0.0	20.0	12.0	8.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	11	36.4	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	386	94.6	99.0	65.8	19.9	0.0	79.5	7.5	1.3
VENTURA	JUV VENTURA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA MUNI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2759	98.6	98.8	85.8	1.7	0.0	84.8	12.6	1.8
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	649	97.8	97.4	11.1	73.8	0.0	83.8	12.5	11.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	136	95.6	94.9	3.7	64.7	0.0	43.4	41.2	22.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	64.0	96.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	12.0	60.0	20.0
YOLO	WOODLAND	TOTAL	3569	98.1	98.3	68.5	17.5	0.0	82.5	14.0	4.4
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	522	98.7	96.2	88.1	1.9	0.2	79.1	3.6	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	139	95.7	98.6	16.5	69.1	0.0	81.3	2.9	7.2
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	31	96.8	96.8	0.0	87.1	0.0	19.4	38.7	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
YUBA	SUP YUBA	TOTAL	700	98.0	96.7	69.0	19.4	0.1	76.0	5.0	1.7
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	TOTAL	11	54.5	100.0	9.1	27.3	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	239	99.6	96.2	90.8	1.7	0.0	86.6	0.0	0.4
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	78	96.2	98.7	15.4	70.5	0.0	69.2	1.3	2.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0	21.7	0.0	17.4	0.0	13.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	344	98.0	97.1	66.6	18.6	0.0	77.0	0.3	2.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP  
FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
<u>7/01-6/02</u>													
No program	2,807	19.3	33.2	3.7	16.5	3.1	1.0	.20	9.4	1.57	.40	.44	2.01
Alcohol education program	5,036	21.0	33.1	2.8	16.8	2.9	1.0	.10	9.1	1.62	.40	.39	2.04
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 3.2$	$F = .165$	$\chi^2 = 5.7^*$	$F = 13.9^*$	$F = 1.8$	$F = .76$	$F = 3.35$	$F = .83$	$F = 54.6^*$	$F = .59$	$F = 124.1^*$	$F = 4.6$
<u>2001</u>													
3-month program	18,000	20.9	34.4	3.0	21.4	3.2	1.4	.10	8.0	1.61	.43	.43	2.0
6-month program	6,010	22.3	37.3	3.3	21.4	3.8	2.3	.10	6.1	1.61	.40	.44	2.0
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 4.7$	$F = 278.7^*$	$\chi^2 = 1.12$	$F = .06$	$F = 39.5^*$	$F = 205.2^*$	$F = .02$	$F = 128.5^*$	$F = .29$	$F = 3.88$	$F = 5.38$	$F = 1.76$